OF THE Confusions and Revolutions

OF

GOVERMENTS.

Wherein is examined,

How farre a man may lawfully conforme to the Powers and Commands of those who with various successes hold Kingdomes divided by Civill or Forraigne Warrs.

Whether it be

(1. In paying Taxes.

2. In Personall Service.

3. In Taking opposite Oathes:

4. In a mans giving himselfe up to a finall Allegiance, in case the Warre end to the advantage of that Power or Party which is supposed unjust.

Likewise

Whether the Nature of Warre be inconsistent with the Precepts of the Christian Religion?

Three parts, with severall Additions.

By ANT: ASCHAM. Gent.

Printed by W. Wilson, dwelling in Wel-yard neere Smithsield. 1649.



Preface to the READER.

gustines wish, and have seen Rome in its glory, it should have been only to have heard the great Kings of the morld like private persons (Et sepo-

sitis sceptris) examin'd and plead, pro & contra, at the Senate-barre, about the due admini-

stration of their Royall functions.

For there was a true soveraigne jurisdiction, and to be admired, if the Senate it self had been free from misgovernments, depopulations, and usurpation. But as the overboyling of their ambition shed it selfe over the whole earth, so the sighs and groanes of East and West met and eccho'd perpetually betwixt their walls: And if it had been likewise possible, that all the blond which by their Commissions was drawne from the sides of mankinde, could have met at Rome, the source was capacious enough to have made

1 2

a River passe before their Senate-doore, as big as their Tiber: Thus they commerc't with the people; but Cæsar afterwards by a Commission derived from himselfe, made the people all the world over, and the Senate likewise pay tribute to him; For which he repaid againe no lesse then his owne life as a tribute due to them. Here therefore I shall be so bold, in the Peoples behalfe especially, as to examine both Cæsar and the Senate; that is, I shall lay the facts of supremest powers to the rules of right, and not their facts only, but our owne also, as me are all moved, or rather hurried by their rapid motions. The original and inherent rights of the society of mankinde, is that which I here fearch. after, not those rights of this or that Countrey (of which there is no determined end, no not betwixt the Lawyers of any one dominion;) that so finding out, and afterwards holding to our owne native Rights as men, we may be sure we doe others no wrong as Subjects, be it either in acting with them, or dissenting from them.

The story of this Age is in no degree proportionable to these discourses, because it is not any may so calamitous as that of our foresathers under the disputes of the Red and white Roses. There I see true confusions and revolutions in Government; the same men invading one another, now for this party, by and by for the other, and after that for a third, and an avowing of right

right in all; the consciences only of those men we cannot see; but to judge of them aright, I shall in these discourses change the Scene, and put our selves into their places. This we know by Proverbe, that he who is afraid of leaves ought not to goe into a Forrest: so ought not we into any action, if we scruple at the least circum-Stance: for by Calars favour (who was farre from the complexion of a bogling Casuist) Satius est cavere semper, quam perire semel. I conceive that they who were not the first movers of those calamitous confusions, but were afterwards by a strict necessity involved in them, had a larger liberty and right then ordinarily any party would allow them, which ever sayes, That in all things we may in conscience act onely one way. Wherefore I have made it my take to shew in the two first parts, how some consciences in those tempestuous times, like our Albion rocks, might on every side have resisted the waves they were beaten with, yet have beene never the blacker.

The Chymists remedies stirring the spirits of a dying body all at once, make a sudden recovery of the patient, yet because they spend themselves without a supply, those remedies dispose the body to a sudden death: Thus the lampe which is consuming its last drop, burnes brightest, yet goes out suddenly like lightning; Such cures as those what valuable effects do they

produce? In like manner what advantage is it to have deduc'd out of the former parts, a Morall and Civill Latitude for the defence of our persons and fortunes, if Christianity disarme us totally? This were by vertue of the first parts to seeke to preserve our lives a little, but presently after to dye a worse death by the last, in which it is examined, Whether the Nature of all Warre be inconsistent with the Nature of the Christian Religion? as Erasmus in his querela pacis & Schlictingius contra Grotium & Meisnerum, and other Socinians, would po-

sitively perswade us.

The magnificentest triumphs did certainely by a reflexion represent to some eyes nothing but horror: because they were alwaies proportioned to the extent of desolations brought on those who had the soules and faces of men. But policy hath need of all its stratagems to confound the judgement of a souldier, by excessive praises, recompences and triumps; that so the opinion of wounds and of wodden leggs, might raise in him a greater esteeme of himselfe, then if he had an intire body. To allure others, something also must bee found out to cover wounds and the afrightments of death bandsomely; and without this, a Cæsar in his Triumph, with all his Garlands and Musick would looke but like a Victime. But what sorrow of heart is it to see passionate man, a raye of Divinity, and the joy

of Angels, scourg'd thus with his own scorpions? and so fondly to give himselfe alarums in the midst of his innocent contentments, as they did who were in the midst of their recreations in the Theatre of Tarentum. The cholericknesse of war (wherby the lustfull heate of so many hearts is redoubled) stirrs up the lees of a Commonwealth, as a tempest doth weeds and slimy sedement from the bottome to the top of the Sea, which afterwards driven to the shore, together with its foame, there covers pearles and precious stones. Wherefore here likewise I shall endeavour to shew how wee may weather out such stormes, & in the midst of so many swords finde an inculpata tutela, which may with as little contradiction passe through most oppositions, as Clemency may through most punishments. When Carthage was besieged, there was use even of womens haire to make ropes for engines: I know I do not contribute much strength to these difficulties neither conceive I my selfe a Pilot dexterous enough for such a Sea. All that I can hope for in this discourse (Reader) is, that my escapes or faults, like those which are found in the cuts of Diamonds, will passe the easilier undiscerned under the richnesse of their subjectmatter, or at least under the richnesse of your goodnesse.

The Reader may be pleased to undestand, that this Discourse was made Publique, long before any change of Governement was undertaken here, and therefore could not by any obliquity point at that, which it could not then by any meanes see. Plato saith, There are certaine seasons for our comprehending some certaine truths, which we cannot understand nor digest, till we arrive to that Age which is particular to them. I hope, tho the seuds of these last seven yeares warres, have been as the sewell of Nebuchadnezars surrace, to heate & inslame our mindes seven times hotter then they were before, yet so much Reason may move in us still, as to render some of these truths seasonable both to our understandings and Obedience.



The Titles of the Nine Additionall Chapters in this Second Edition.

in the first Part.

CHAP. 5. Page 17.

OF the Originall of Property, and of the entailing the parcels of the Earth in particular Properties.

CHAP. 6. pag. 26.

OF the Nature of Money, its Civill use and

and In the Second Part.

Abhadalli CHAP. 9. pag. 85.

ny Princes and Governours (as in the Historicall occasion of this booke is specified) and after the killing of five Royall Heires and successors, the people who then submitted, broke any Oathes of Allegiance or Supremacy?

F the Originall of Magistracy and Goverments in the state of Nature, Patriarke or Gearcha-ship, and in Heroicall seizures.

CHAP

CHAP. 12. pag. 110.

OF the Originall of Civill Government in the Confusion of Families.

CHAP. 13. pag. 118.

OF the time for Legitimating new Obedience after Confusions.

CHAP. 14. pag. 126.

Thether Prescription can give a Right or Title, especially such as will except against our Obedience in plenary Possession?

CHAP. 15. pag. 129.

Of the severall formes of Goverment, and how farre they may in their Changes Legitimate Obedience.

CHAP. 16. pag. 139.

OF Politicall Justice; Or the Rule whereby we know the Magistrate to Command, and our selves to AE Lawfull things under him.

Reader, be pleased to take notice that all the Additionall Chapters are marked thus in the Margent, except the fifth and sixth in the first part.

Errata.

Pag. 157. Those of Tyre and Sydon shall judge (adde) those of Jerusalem.

The first Part:

Wherein is examined generally in what things, and how farre a man may lawfully conforme to the power and commands of those who hold a King-dome divided by Civill Warre.

CHAP. I.
The historicall occasion and state of the Question.

9. 1. The causes of right wherefore hard to resolve.

2. The reasons wherefore men determine not easily the cases of Civill war.

3. Of each mans Soveraigne Alegiance to himselfe.

4. No war can be made without the exercise of an absolute power for the time during.

5. Absolutenesse of power wherein it consists.

Here of thate of Fire continuous continuous

Here can be only three confiderations of the state of Warre.

First, In its beginning; Secondly, In its continuance, which is most properly its state; And Thirdly, in its end: from

these arise three Questions.

First, What may be the Originall and Justifiable causes of a mans forming a party in the beginning of a War.

Secondly. How farre a man may lawfully submit to, and obey opposite parties, during the confusions of War actually formed and introduced?

B

Thirdly,

Thirdly, What may be lawfull for a man to submit to upon the issue of a VV arre, which may end to the advantage of him who by unjust force hath possess himselfe

of anothers right?

These two latter fall into the compasse of this discourse. The first is a Question apart, to which though much may be said, yet I hold not the knowledge of it so necessary for those who are the Achivi, and of the rank of the people to whom I now speake. These are the Anvill on which all forts of Hammers discharge themselves; they seldome or never begin a VV arre, but are all concenr'd in it after it is begun: Besides, the difficulties of it are not sogreat as of these two latter, for the people seldome know the secret causes of the beginning of a VVarre, (which if knowne would quickly take away doubtings) and which is worse they must come into it afterwards, though they would not have any at all. Manythings will be proved lawfull for men to doe in the state and winding up of a Warre introduced by others, which would not have beene so for them in its beginning: so that though by accident they may begin to puttheinselves into such a Warre, yet they cannot be said to begin the Warre, or affift to its beginning. I aftly, that Question growes not naturally out of the Historicall ground and occasion of this Treatise, as presently will appeare.

Our Conseiences more then our capacities should put us upon the search of these two Capitals difficulties, that so if occasion should be, we might the steadilier stand those straights and blows of a fortune to which humane condition lies open, in the Revolution and confusion of Go-

vernments.

Our forefathers above one hundred yeares agoe were above twenty yeares in examining the second question, and about source yeares in the third. Henry the Sixth being by force of VV arre deposed (after solemn Oathes of Allegiance, both of Parliaments and people) to make way for Edward the Fourth; who againe, after the like Oaths and Allegiance engaged to him, was as solemnly, and by the

the same fate of the sword deposed for Henry the Sixths right, who after nine yeares imprisonment was re-crowned, and after fix moneths Raine was againe forcibly deposed for Edward the Fourth, and stabb d by his Brother Richard Duke of Gloucester, together with Prince Edward King Henry's Sonne, upon his returne from France for his Fathers reliefe. Those two Kings, like the gods which the Romans tooke in their enemies Countries. were sometimes led in triumph, and sometimes adored. But that which was somewhat blacker then all this was the Duke of Gloucesters murthering his two Nephews. the young King and his Brother, Maluit enim rapere imperium quam expectare. Yet notwithstanding, particular men (according to the calamity of those times) were by Oathes and Allegiance forc't to submit to this Injustice; which after another bloudy Warre had its change, and after 24. yeares confusions and revolutions ended peaceably in the person of Henry the Seventh.

Here we see what those Consciences conford to in point of fact, which usually receives its motives from interest or feare, the naked sword permitting no nicenesse of o-

bedience.

Sett. I. But neither then nor since hath it been declard unto us what in such cases is lawfull to doe in matter of right: Every present power, whether establisht or strugling to be establisht, having this interest, that they who de fatto are under their power, should not during that time presume to question their Right; It being some kinde of victory already gained, to have gain'd the repute of the better cause.

Sett. 2. Many other questions hang upon these, which are all the difficulter because the subject of them which is Civill Warre, consists in consusion, in which the mindes of men are floting and divided, according to the variety of successes and divisions which Armies make in the places where they and their whole substance are fallen in-

to their possession.

Sect. 3. And let men argue at as much ease as they please, yet it is certaine, that no man is of such a captiva-

party, believing upon the engagement that he shall bee certainely destroyed in it. There is nothing in the skin (as they say) which will not doe its best to save it: And he must be a rare Example who makes not his last resolution for his owne life, or subsistence, which is equivalent to life; And therefore the valiantest and most strictly oblig d Troops stick not to aske quarter, when they cannot defend themselves any longer, and are justified

for it, even by those for whom they swore to die.

Our Saviour speakes of a time when a man will sell all he hath for a sword: And we reade of those who a long time ador'd and kist a Goddesse fastned to an Oake in a Grove; but when that Tree was ready to fall, no one would come within the shadow of her statue. And as it is naturall for particulars thus to consult for themselves, so is it as naturall for those Armies which have the said particulars in their possessions: Wherefore though in those cases were may lament our owne miseries, yet we ought not to wonder, that all master-powers take such interest in their owne presurvations, as to use all meanes that we erecover not a power to betray them.

4. This confideration obliges even those (who perhaps fight to have Laws sweetned) to exercise for a time

that Law which indeed is the sharpest, viz. the Martiall; and they who fight to free themselves from an absolute power, are by that obliged for the time to take upon them the absolutest (as Dictators did) which absolutenesse consists in these heads. In casting off all recognizance of any Superious or Collaterall power: In waging Warre: In levying Taxes: In giving Oathes: In making Leagues and in

Treating: In permitting no Appeale: In obliging to all fort of Fidelity: And finally in Judging of life and death.

Here's matter enough to perplex Conscience, especially if it should be exercized on it by that partie which is believed to be the unjust; but yet that is not the worst: For by the chance of Warre the other party may have the power to embroile our Consciences a new with contrary Cathes and Obligations: And after this the other may

be re-establisht againe; and then.

Vt male defensus?

But the difficultie paramount is this; Vt innocens sit animus in tam irata fortuna: and if we doe no more than that which is lawfull, we are sure our Consciences will be better than the times.

Thus having stated the question, I enter into its termes, and in the first place aske, What that is which we call

lawfull.

r

CHAP. II. What is requisite to make a thing lawfull.

- 6. 1. The variety and contrariety of humane actions, whence,
- 2. The difficultie of finding what is lawfull.
- 3. Humane Lawes whence: and wherefore the Lawes of Nature are aboue ours.
- 4. No man naturally more a Judge than another of Natures Lawes.
- 5. Natures Laws are for inward goodnesse and vertue, and state Law for quiet and repose.

Plain reason shewes us, that Naturall and Mathematicall causes have more certitude than Civill: For Nature is alwaies uniforme, and alike, in its operations. Hence fire alwaies burnes and never wets; a stone in the aire naturally tends downewards, and never stayes in the middle. In Mathematicall causes, ordinarily the formes are such, as have no middle interposed, as betwixt even and od, there is no medium participationis, betwixt a right line and a crooked, there is no middle sort of line; thus two and two alwaies make soure, &c.

1. But Civill or Humane actions proceeding from a mutable and a various Principle, (the will) cannot alwaies be alike or uniforme: and besides the will within, humane actions without, are subjected to different cir-

cumstances, and to infinite incounters: By reason of which their excessive number, they cannot be foreseene while men are making Lawes. Hence we may understand wherefore its said that Omnis definitio in jure est periculosa; and that Summum jus may be at some time Summa injuria; as to render a man his sword when he is actually mad, &c. And as circumstance hath power to change the matter, so in the forme of the action, it seaves in the middle a latitude and extent, sometimes inclining to one ex-

treme, sometimes to another.

2. For example, betwixthat which by præcept we are commanded ever to doe, and that which we are commanded ever to doe, is plact That which is lawfull for us now and then to doe, or not to doe, in matters of our owne right, so farre as they seeme expedient or not expedient for us. Thus so seems called a just man, because he thought of divorcing himselfe from Mary, though upon circumstance hee would not, &c. But that which perplexes all here is, that this Licitum leanes sometimes more to the one hand, sometimes more to the other; sometimes more to that which is absolutely good, somtimes more to that which is absolutely bad; from whence grow scruples, & doubtings, whether in such twilights we really participate more of light than of darkenes, that is, more of good than of bad.

3. Humane Lawes grow most out of these middle things, ex media licitis: And upon right examination we shall finde, that a man hath nothing else to dispose of. For we (poore subordinate vassals) cannot so much as deliberate de absolute debitis es absolute illicitis, for they were in sorce before man, Prince or people were in beeing; and God himselfe cannot now alter them, they slowing intrinsically either from his Sanctity, Wisedom, and Justice, as he is a Creator and a Governour; or else they flow from Nature, whose rule (according to Gods making it by that which is in himself) is right reason and honesty: This uprightnesse of Nature, together with the obligation we have to be subject to it, was not a moment after us, and therefore we could not determine any thing about it; For which cause we have not a legislative pow-

er to alter or diminish any of Natures Lawes.

4. Saint Paul tells us of those who without any after-knowledge of Gods reveled will or lawes to man, were condemnable by those of Nature alone: in punishing the breakers wherof, no man is naturally more a Magistrate then other: Otherwise what meant Cain, when after his murther he cryed, Whosoever shall finde me will slay me.

things, yet it is not as if they gave them their originall and Primary force of obliging: Yea, reason of state is not busied so much about inward piety and vertue, as it is about publique quiet and repose, or those actions which regard another mans receiving right or wrong; and hence it is that great prodegality is not so severely punisht, as a little robbery; and that malus homo potest esse bonus civis: The reason is, because though he may doe himselfe wrong in his owne rights, yet he may alwaies do other men right in theirs: Neither is there any cleare reason, wherefore those lesser since sand impieties should be punisht by any but God, who is wisest to know them justest to weigh the merit of them, and powerfullest to

punish them.

e

-

15

ŧ,

15

ee

at

d,

at

is

of

id.

lle

on

ot.

as

hey

ee-

hey

om.

and

the

nent

ning

ow-

elle ods

This is the state of Gods and of Natures fixt Lawes. to which we are all equally obliged; but our floting and circumstantiated Laws are onely to give a rule for an equall and mutuall community in things which God and Nature gave us to dispose of as we would ourselves: and now the question is, First, what right or liberty wee have naturally in our owne actions, as also how we were originally invested with lawfull possession of the gifts of Nature. And Secondly, how our willes fince disposed both of the one and of the other, or which is equivalent to both Queltions, That as originally we had and aid all by the Lawes of Nature, so whether now our state and conditions be such, that we neither have nor can doe any thing lawfully but by permission of humane written Law? The following Chapters of this first part treate Generally of this, as a ground or introduction to the second, where the particular cases of paying Taxes, serving Personally, Swearing

Swearing, and finall Allegiance to the usurping party, are more distinctly handled.

CHAP. III.

Of what things we have a lawfull right to dispose: Or what our originall rights in them may be before we de facto doe dispose of them.

\$ 1. Concerning our naturall shares in the earth, and whence came the Community of things at the beginning.

2. Whence the separate enjoyment of rights in present.

3. Concerning the right which menhad to seize on what they would at the beginning.

4. Of Possession and its originall right, and of Plan-

ters in Vacancies.

5. Of the Conditions of Plantations, and of Vacancies.

6. The natural right of making last Wils and Testamens of what we possesse in our lives.

Spirits of inferiour degrees: so is the earth to be inhabited by man and severall sorts of creatures inferiour to him; and that in order to his use and dominion. God twice gave us the earth as a common stocke and patrimony to live on, after the Creation, and after the deluge, Ast. 17. v. 26. Men then lived at ease enough, seeding only on herbes and those things which nature prepared for them, without their labour: And this state of Community might have lasted still, if we had but two qualityes which were proper to those times Charity, and Simplicity; of which, nakednesse, and coverings of beasts skins was, and is still an Argument: Witnesse the inhabitants of the new world, and of the American Isles.

2. But now our luxury and excesse is such, that it takes up the imployment of three parts of five, both of men and time; so small a number are they who till the ground, looke to pasturage, and things necessary to the subsistence of our lives: Nay, tis impossible almost for those who are by protession occupied in the necessary imployments of life, ever to rise to the fortune and honour of those, whose professions consist in, and subsist by luxury and excesse; For instance, a Plowman, Millar, Chandler or the like, never rise to the fortune or esteeme of Jewellers of exquisite persumes, Tavern-

keepers, &c.

Out of this we may easily discover the occasion of mens receding from their originall community, both in moveable and immoveable goods, That when men were no longer content to feed on the superficial bounty of the earth, aut de sponte natis, nor to live in caves, nor to weare nabits made of rude beasts skins, or of the barke of Trees, but sought a more delicate kind of life, then there was need of much more industry then before, which particular men appli'd to what they seiz'd on in particular. An other occasion (as Grotius hath observed) might be, the distance of their habitations upon the peopling of the earth; which might well hinder their laying up the fruits of the earth for a common stock: As also the defect of Justice and I ove, which occasion'd an inequality as well in labour, as in spending the fruits of labour.

3. Wherefore upon these occasions they began to divide: And tho they had no rules given them for the detaile, and laying out the parcels of this great Common, the earth; yet the first possessor might without scruple of doing others wrong, place their bodyes where they would, yea take what, and where they would to serve their natures: And after their hands had once fastened on any particular thing, no man could take it from them againe, without doing them manifest wrong. Thus an empty stage is common in every particular part or place, till particular bodyes have plac't themselves;

and then the maxime holds, In pari jure melior est condi-

tio possidentis.

4. Possession therefore is the greatest Title, which is nothing else but positio pedis; As if the Ancients had no other Seale to confirme their Tenures, but the prints of their feet; and good reason too, seeing the mind is not able to take up a place so well as the body: For many mens wils may concur in (wishing and liking) the same thing, but many bodyes cannot concurre to the possession what it likes, the minde cannot set an outward mark on what it likes, that thereby others might be warned to abstaine from it: all which the body properly doth. Abraham and Lot going to plant, declared no more then this, That there was roome, or vacancy enough; and therefore without further examination or scruple they knew they might turne to the right hand or to the

left, to possesse what they would to themselves.

5. This vacancy is twofold. 1. Naturall. 2. Civill: The first is in things which may be possest, but actually are not, neither in property nor use. Such a vacancy which is nullius in bonis, might be occupied by Switzers, who as Cæsar saith, would faine have changed their rough hils for some neater Campania, Civill vacancy is ubi nec possessio est plene in homine, nec homo plene in possessione: that is where it is not absolutely incorporated, as among the roving Arabians, and many Africans, who possesse one place to day, and another to morrow: These by their frequent returnes shew that they abandon not the places they remove from, as derelicta que quis in bonis amplius numerare non vult. We can onely fay, that their naturall and voluptuary interest in them is no way improved. But we must presse this Argument very tenderly, lest by the same reason others conclude, That those Estates which are not competently improved, are derelict and occupyable by others, which would introduce perpenual confusions; and eafily perswade every man that he could husband his neighbours estate better than himselfe. However this is a cleere case for all Planters, that those wastes, or alperi

asperi montes, which the Natives make no use of, nor can receive any damage by their being possess by others, may be lawfully impropriated by them: Reste fasta est

concessio, quæ est sine damno alterius, saith the Law.

our uses during our living here: but then some may question thus, that when we can have no surther use of the things of the world, but are departed it; whether then we have a naturall right to dispose of the parts of it to whom we please by wil and Testament. For it seemes unnatural and contradictory that a man should act any thing after his being ceases; which is reason enough: but then one thing is forgotten, That the dead mans gift is made during his life, tho it have not any effect till death. And good reason why it should not be bebefore; for that would be as the French say, Se desponither avant que de s'en aller coucher, which is, sor a man to put off all his clothes before he goes to bed.

Thus we see how the Earth by originall right is en-

tail'd; now it will be necessary to examine-

CHAP. IV.

Whether the Property which we have in our Goods swallows up all right.

2. Wherefore one man may naturally have more then another.

4. Of Christian Community.

^{9 1.} He who hath nothing by humane positive Law, may yet seeke his means of subsistence by the Law of Nature.

^{3.} Whence it is that there was at the beginning, and is still, a Tacite condition of re-assuming our Originall Rights in case of extreame or natural I necessity for a natural community.

5. Whether that which is Gods here, lyes under the same exceptions of our necessities, that our Properties doe?

Sett.1. Our generall rights surely are not yet all lost, though all the world be now trampled over, a impropriated in particular possessions a rights: there yet remaines some common right, or naturall community among all men, even in impropriation; so that that which is necessary for any naturall subsistence and necessary to another belongs justly to mee, unuslessed I have merited to lose the life which I seeke to preserve. There were a defect in Gods creating of our Natures such as they are, if he did not provide meanes to uphold their beings according to the naturall faculties which he hath given them,

Pia mater panem inter liberos distribuit ut fructum ve-

niat Ingulis.

A good mother divides her bread among her chil-

dren, as a morfell may come to each.

I et us judge this case by those rules of Justice, by which we judge other cases. If a Father in his Will passe by a Child, or name him, but upon false causes leaves him nothing; he is notwithstanding (by the equity of the Civill Law) admitted to a childs part, a legitima and may forme his action contra testamentum inossiciosum. Men areall akin, and we derive one from another, so we cannot expect but to make roome one for another, and to let others settle in our places, who may justly expect as much due to them for their naturall subsistence, as was to those who before went out of the world to make place for them, yea, though they assigne them nothing at their departure

Man were of all living creatures most miserable, if he might not during this life have that measure which God would not have an Oxe defrauded of. Thus hath every dunghill Flye a right to live, and to remaine insectile, which besides existence hath sence, and may not justly be deprived of that its chiefest felicity, unlesse it be importu-

nate to a nobler Creature.

2. No man in reason can pretend to share in the sweat

of another mans browes, or that the paines and wasting of anothers mans life, should be for the maintenance of any but his owne. Yet though it be granted, that they who enter d the world before us, had the meanes (either by their owne industry, or lawfull donation of the fruits of other mens industries) to be possest of something more then we might in reason expect at our entry, notwithstanding all that is not so properly theirs, but if another by extreme necessity be perishing, and they have above what they can confume in a naturall way then they have no fuller property in that plenty than stewards have, and for this regard they are so called in Scripture. The earth still is the Lords, and the fulnesse of it: it is his, for hee made it out of his owne matter, and for the falbion of it hee us'd not our aide: Yea, tishis Sunne still which produces, and his Clouds which drop fatnesse: we can onely pleade the contribution of a little paines, for that which is our naturall share; so that in plaine reasen wee may not expect to be otherwise qualified then stewards for all that which is not probably necessary for our owne subsistance, or for theirs, who subsist onely by us. Wherefore if Lazarus redy to perish (not by fault but misfortune) had taken Dives his crummes contrary to his will, yet he had not finned; no more than he who takes something which the Lord hath given him, though the steward contradict it. But if it so happen that they be in pari necessitate, then melior est conditio possidentis: Or as Saint Pauls words were when he excited the Churches charity & almes, for the reliefe of the distressed members of Christ; Not (quoth he) that ye should be streightned, or they abound. 2 Cor. 8.13.

divide the earth into particular possessions, was certainly such as receded as little as might be from natural equity: For written Laws are even now as neer as may bee to be interpreted by that; and from hence it is, that in extreme and desperate necessity, the ancient right of using of things, as though they had still reman d in comcommon, is revived. Tis necessity which makes Laws, and by consequence ought to be the interpreter of them

after

after they are made. Hence flowes this legislative rule, Leges humanæ ob igant uti factæ sunt, scilicet, cum sensu bumanæ imbecillitatis. All Admiralities judge this equall, That if provisions begin to faile in a ship at Sea, every one may be forc't to bring out openly, what hee laid in tor his passage in particular, that so all might be spent in common: by which distribution it may happen, That they who laid in ten times more then any one elle, shall have but an equall share with the rest. In like manner when the Sea breaks in upon a Country, we may dig in the next grounds to make a bank, without staying for the owners permission. In such cases of necessity, bumane Ears do not so much permit, as expound their naturall equity: and that which men give to those who are so innocently distressed, who borrow life onely from the shaddowes of death, Et pista se tempestate tuentur, is not to properly a charity to them as a duty; and if hee bee a Christian who gives, perhaps he doth more charity to himselfe then to the receiver.

sett. 4. The reason of this Christian-charity or communion is as farre above the naturall, as Christ himfelse was above nature; This requires an equall beating of all pulses, that as sellow members were have a homo geniall sence and palpitation. By the Rivers of Babylon every one sat downe and wept, and all their pleasant Instruments hung together on the sad Willowes. Every thing mourned alike for Orpheus when his torne limbs and his harpe were throwne into the Ri-

ver Hebræ.

Ovid. - Caput Hebre lyramque

Excipis, & medio mirum dum labitur amne Flebile nescio quid queritur Lyra, flebile lingua Murmurat exanimis, respondent flebile ripæ.

Wee are to divide a cruze of ovle and a few hardfulls of meale with one of Christs flocke, with an abandon'd creature, to whom.

Ne quick quam fundo suspirat nummus in imo. Persius.

And surely the violation of this sus charitatis is no lesse then these in those who being of extended fortunes never de-

defalcate a Gibeonits crust perhaps for a wandring Angell. These steale even the shipwrackt mans picture from him, which as his whole inheritance hee carried at his back to move to compassion, and by the insatiable Sea of their Avarice and Luxury they wrack him over again at Land.

But this free Primitive communion had and hath its bounds and its quantum in Contributions, as well as the Naturall; otherwise it might be fraudulent and theeving: For they who possesses but a little would contribute it all, on purpose to share equally with those who possesses which would introduce a visible decay and ruine in all; as Tiberius rightly observed upon M. Hortalus his petitioning the Senate for an almes for Augustus Casar's lake: Intendetur inquit socordia languescet industria, si nullus ex se metus aut spes, & securi omnes aliena subsidia expectabunt, sibi ignavi & nohis graves. Tacit. Idlenesse would encrease, and industry languish, if men should entertaine no hope nor feare for themselves, but securely exspect other mens releise, idle

to themselves, and burthensome to us.

Wherefore in the midst of that Primity Communion we find that the Apostles went Domatim from house to house breaking of bread, therefore they even then retain'd by their houses in property: which property is suppos'd by the Eight Commandment, as well as it is by Christian charity: For no man can steale, but by invading the right of another: and as for charity it is necesfary he have something of his owne to be able to fulfill its commands, and to make a dole at his doore: And it is very convenient that he give it rather with his own hand, than by some publique collectours, For Charity is heated most with the sence of its owne action, Mat. 7. 11. Moreover under the Law Jews were commanded to love one another as themselves, yet this command took not away property then, therefore it takes it notaway now. Notwithstanding we owe the use or usufruit of our properties to the distressed, though our selves bee at the same time in distresse; just as wee are commanded Neighbours life; which is yet a charity more transcendent then the other, by how much life is above lively-hood. Though states punish those who out of meer necessity take something out of anothers plenty, yet that proves not the act to be a sinne, or repugnant to equity or conscience, but rather repugnant to conveniency of state, lest thereby a gap might be laid open to Libertinisme. Reason of state we know considers not vertue, so much as publique quiet and conveniency, or that

right which is ad alterum.

5. Wee will now confider those things which are Gods; which yet are not his in such a strict rigorous sense, but that they lye open to the exceptions of our just necessityes. Hence that which is devoted as a sacrifice to him, in case of necessity may bee made our dinner : witnesse Davids a & : Wherefore the consequence of our Saviours answer was very strong, when he defended his pulling the eares of corne in anothers field; That if it was lawfull for David in his necessity to eat that bread which was provided for the table of God, then how much more was it lawfull for him and his Apostles in their necessities to take a refreshing out of that which belong'd to man. The Canon I aw faith, That if no other means can bee found, the Vessels of the Altar may be sold to redeeme those soules who are inthral'd in misery and captivity: and is there not good reason for it? seeing they serve but for the foules of men, and therefore the foules of men are preciouser then they: Yea, the sacrifice it selfe to what end is it, but to obtaine a state of piety for us? Sed nisi vivamus pie vivere non possumus. Eras.

CHAP. V.

The Originall of Property, and of the intailing the parcels of the Earth in particular propertyes.

- \$ 1. Whether the earth and its fruits be now usurpt by their Possessors?
- 2. Whether the Rights over Persons be now usurpt?
- 3. Whether servitude may be perpetual!?
- 4. The Civill state how it perfects that of Corrupt Na-
- 5. Whence the first right of the first dividers?
- 6. Inequality caus'd Property.
- 7. Wherefore the seller among the lews, gave his shooe to the buyer, in the alienation of a Possession?
- S. That which hath not any marke of one mans Property, lyes expos'd to any mans.
- 9 Of property in Paradice; and how the command forbidding eating, was forbidding stealing.
- 10. The simplicity of the first or Pythagorian food requir'd no Property.
- 11. Abraham recorded for the first Proprietor, the first purchaser with money, and dresser of slesh which was for Angels.
- 12. Poverty and Property consistent in the same state.
- 13. Wee have no Right of Nature to recover a State of Nature.
- 14. The difference which is betwixt a right of Nature, and a State of Nature.
- 15. The difficulty of maintaining a State of Nature.
- 16. A simple Naturall subsistence may be bad with out disturbing any State.

17. The

17. The advantages of the rich, are but advantages of Opinion.

18. VV by some ought not profestly till the earth, and yet

have subfistence by it and the paines of others.

A S reforming powers in all Ages make it their chiefest worke to take down the greatest Colossule's, and what ever else might be ombragious in the excrescencies of Civill Pomp; so some others of this Age, by a new Art of levelling, thinke nothing can be rightly mended or reformed, unlesse the whole piece ravell out to the very end, and that all intermediate greatnesse betwixt Kings and them, should be crumbled even to dust, where all lying levell together as in the first Chaos, Spades ought to be put even into the hands of those who were heretofore adorned only with Scepters. If the one reject the Office of Kings here any longerthe other will not allow their Effigies on coyne: So Tyrannicall a thing they conceive it to be, that they to whom God hath given a Sun and an Earth to produce subsistence for all the world, should be excluded from the fruits of it, un-If they have such a metall, with such a marke to buy those fruits afterward of one anothers; or that that which hath but a valew of Opinion, should be made the valew of all reall necessaries. They plead, that he who buyes, and he who sels the earth to a fellow Creature, removes the Land-marke from a third person, to whom the same Land belongs as well as to the other two, by which defraudation, hee, the third man, is murchered by them, from whence come all the great mischiefs of Property, and of Law which defends it, under both which the Creation groanes.

Sect. 2 As the earth is usurp'd thus, so are (say they) mens persons: For the Law enslaves one sort of people to another. The Clergy and Gentry have got their freedom, but the Common people are still servants to work for the other, like Israelites under Egyptian taskemasters; Whereas all the other Creatures seare and serve us alike; because they see the same Image of God in us alike, whose

whose sun likewise shines upon us all with equall dis-

pensation of his rayes.

3. I wonder not so much at this sort of arguing, as to find that they who have such fort of Arguments in their mouths, should have spades in their hands: torthey conteine the most intricate points of the Constitution of societyes, of the Lawes of Nature and Nations. It is a question which hath non-plust many who define justice to be a constant intent to render to every man that which is his own) How a thing comes to be riginally another mans own or Property? It hath been a common argument against Tyranny (which hathlikewise pretended old Conquests and compacts) that the rights which we have in our Persons, could never be alienated in an unreasonable slavery for ever; Yea there was a yeare of Jubile for the freedome of servants: Even so iay they concerning the natural right we have in things, they cannot be alwayes alienated in such a servicude to others, as thereby to involve us necessarily in distructi-

4. Tho the precedent Chapters have treated sufficiently of the rights of persons and of things in a State of Nature, and how necessarily they came at first to be impropriated, and how equitably they are now continued in the possession of those to whom they are configued by the Donation of others, and maintein'd by the equity of I aw, all which is justified by the Sripture it selfe: yet Ishall here examine the businesse further: And in the first place I can see nothing which should make those persons wish so much for a returne into a state of Nature, as the simplicity and charity, which they fondly suppose is inseparable from it, and to be found no where else. For good Civill states are not contrary to, but makeup the perfection of the naturall. St. Paul himselfe stiles thole who were lesse civiliz'd than others, Barbarians. Not as if there were not faults too bad in either state, but they are not to be attributed to the states themselves; only where there is lesse society, there must be lesse humanity; and they who lead depraved lives in woods, are

liker beafts than men, and they who live so in Cityes, are liker Devils than men, which is a corruption of the nobler part, and therefore of the nobler State. Tho our Luxury be now great in glorious Palaces, yet the woods & Caves of old were not free from it, nay, they who were most addicted to it among them were afterwards made their Gods: and I know no Art which was brought out of those wild woods into Cityes, but that of making Gods and Idols.

Quis tamen affirmat nil actum in Montibus, aut in

The Senate of Rome and the people had anciently a { De lege agrariâ. } great controversie about the Property and Division of those fields which were taken from their enemies neare Rome, at the expence of the Peoples blood, and it came to a secession, after which the People were necessitated to returne to the Towne againe, and to the society of the Senatours; Here was a controversie not of Property or no Property, but concerning a proportionable partition of an acquisition; A plaine downe right demanding a returne ad tiguria for all againe, I think was never heard on before now in history.

5. Here therefore I shall begin to shew the first right

which the first dividers had to the first Property.

Some think this is sufficiently proved thus, That the Originall right of Dominion is derived to us from him, from whom all things derive, and thus (say they) were have Dominion in the earth. In the next place, that God is the Author even of distinct Dominions, according to Deut. 32.8. When the most high God divided to the nations their inheritance, when he separated the Sonnes of Adam, he appointed the borders of the people according to the number of the children of Israel. But all this proves not a distribution made by God to every particular sonne of Adam, nor to every singular child of Israel, but collectively as Adams sons were divided into distinct Nations, and Israels children into Tribes, who received from God the Division for the Tribe in grosse onely, and not in particular.

ticular, Although it was easy for them to agree afterwards even in singular partitions or compacts for subsisting one by another, who alwayes had God and his

Prophets to counfell and command them.

6. Wherefore I rather conceive that in a state of Nature, and where families met confusedly, they participated in a Community: Where as Par in parem non babuit potestatem, to I conceive that inequality perfectly bred dominion, and that Property; It being but naturall, and no injury, that in a state where there is no mutual obligation, the inferiour in force should give way to him that is so much superiour. This necessarily breeding feare in many, could not but breed generall compact or conditions for secure neighbour-hood, and for holding what was first laid hand on, though in unequall parts. Probably hee who by power oveawed other mens perfons, assign'd them their portions; yet this followes not, but he the first possessiour or distributer had what he had, first by Occupation. And in this manner Nimrod probably was the dispencer of the fortunes of his followers, as our Conquerour William was of his here, of which tenure there is sufficient evidence still.

7. In the former Chapter I shew'd wherefore possession was so called a possitione pedis, for a manstaking up a place or distinct district for himselfe, as the first right and tenure from whence all the rest derivd to others afterward. For which reason possibly the custome was among the Jews, when they alienated any possession of Land, that he who fold it, pulld off his shooe, and gave it to the buyer, thereby giving him fymbolically his positio pedis or possession, as Ruth. 4.7. The use of this ceremony in a different occasion, Deut. 25. 9. disproves it not inthis; for this was their ancientest ceremony, and therfore must needs derive from the ancientest contract, which was this of possessing particular lands, and being the ancientest, might be drawn into use for other things afterwards. Belides, in that case where the kintwoman untyes the kinimans shooe (with reproachful spitting in hisface, because he would not marry her to raisenp seed

unto

unto his Brother) That might signisse the denuntiation of a curse, viz. that he might be disposses of his for-

unes, &c.

8. It is a good rule of I aw, Quod tuum non est, id scire debes ad alium pertinere, but this relates to a Civill state atter a meum and tuum are acknowledged; but in the other state, meum and tuum go together; or rather all men there having equall right to all things, there is neither meum nor tuum for any. By the dissolution of things we may see their Compositions, and if we will read our fortunes backwards by our misfortunes, there is a Maritime I aw concerning shipwrack, which will convey us clearely into this first right of acquisition, In naufragio quod guisque servare potest, sibi servet. A de leg. Rho. Whatsoever any man can save in a wrack, it is his own; because he at that time possess himselfe of it, when it was lost to the owner without hopes. Moreover the Law faith concerning things which are lost, that if they be things which beare no particular marke of the preceding owners property, (as a shilling which is alike with all other shillings) in such a case it is not necessary to carry it to the Cryer to know the owner of it; but if a purse of money be lost, that ought to be carryed to the Ciyer; because the purse beares particular markes for a particular owner: as if in the acquisition of a property, injury could not be done to another, where no man had acquired property before, which is the case of first impropriatours in Occupation.

9. When Adam was alone in the Garden of Eden, he was in a state of property, for of one tree thereof he might not eat: so that his first sin was a sin against property, and therefore thest, or at least a sin of Ambition by thest; as Ambition ever since is maintein'd by usurping some other thing also which belongs to another. For that reason he hid himselfe as fearing to be punish't for that thest; as if Gods command Thou shalt not eat, had been Thou shalt not steale. If Adam had not had enough without the allowance of that tree, he might have pleaded as David did, when he eate of the sacrifice or shew-bread.

10. How

Io. How full of simplicity the first life was, and what little need they had then of strict property, is apparent by an old Law De glande legenda, for gathering of Acornes, where by Acornes the law understands all fruits, as if those had been their cheifest food, as I am willing to believe they were, not onely by the evidence of that Law, but because I find Invenal so expresse it.

Et sæpe horridior glandem ructante marito,

The rude Husbands then belsh't Acornes through the greedinesse of eating; and they thought they might bee best nourish't by that tree, out of which by poeticall siction they thought they were first made, as Virg. saith.

Gensque virum truncis, & rupto robore nata.

11. But to returne to Argument, we find nothing I conceive in Scripture which intimates the abolition of this Pythagorian life, till Abrahams time, in whose house (for ought we know by Scripture) the first feeding on blood was, and that by Angels, for whom he killd his caste; By him likewise we first heare of property and of mony, with which he would needs buy Ephrons field for the buriall of Sarah, even when he was prest to take it other wayes. But if property had been a sin, he would not have acknowledged it so peremptorily as he did to the Hittites; and thereby have confirmed it to them.

God gave the Land of Canaan to the Israelites in property: and the reason wherefore one Land may bee in property to one people, distinct from the intrenchements of any other people, is the same in proportion, wherefore one Acre may be distinct in property to one single man of such a people. They had the Judges in the gates to Judge of this property, and we know what sentence was pronounc't from Heaven upon a King, for usurping the property of a private mans Vineyard, therefore Law and property are no such burthens to the creation.

e

It

t;

UC

ut

as

W

12. That some faultlesly lead indigent lives in a state, is no argument of Tyranny in Property, but of the illuse of it. Deut. 15.11. God saith, because there shall

shall be ever some poore in the Land, therefore I command thee to open thy hand to thy brother, and Levit. 19.9. When ye reap the harvest of your Land, you shall not reap every corner; and thou shalt leave some grapes in thy Vineyard for the poore and the Stranger.

13. 0b. Here it may be objected, that if it be consonant to the Law of Nature, that the tenure of things should be such as they now are, then there is no necessity lyes upon us but that they may be attempted by the same Law to be recovered into the same naturals state, which is a

State of Community.

Answ. I deny this consequence, for as it is consonant to Nature that my share of subsistence which was before uncertaine, should be now fixt, so is it as necessary that the consent which others gave to this at first, should stand fixt, especially if there was no obligation till that time, as our most learned Selden saith, de jure na. Egen. admen. Heb. Id obligat quod est ex officio, quod Legis est; but the Sanction of a Law supposes the nullity

of a State of Nature, not of a right of nature.

14. For they who so object should consider, that there is a great deale of difference betwixt a right of Nature, and a State of Nature. I have a right of nature to live, but not in a State of Nature, because others are not obliged, out of consideration of my wants, to foregoe all their rights, as they must if they will returne to Nature as to a State: Moreover I who am now fastened to a Civill state, have a right of Nature to do or not to doe such things as are not yet cautioned against in Law, and are honest; but yet the restraint which Law and Society hath on me in other things, hinders me from doing the former as in a State of Nature. Nay I beleeve though S Porior est Con- 2 most were willing to returne into a state ditio Neganis. Sof nature, yet in justice they ought not, if there bee any of the same society who dissent from it. For in a Negotiation of partnership where mony Art and toilesome industry are by free consent mutually contributed only for a such a particu-Jar negotiation, there the Major part cannot retract what they

they have done, nor recall all during the time of this negotiation, to undertake as they think a better other-how and else-where, quia in extremis potior est conditio negantis, and these dissenters conceive they shall be ruined if they

change from this to that.

ture, have not yet considered that to avoyd Law, they must live in perpetuall war, (there is no such thing as Salus populi, or protection there) and that they can never find a way, how the fruits of the earth should be kept as a Common stock, and be equally distributed and spent according to the equality of worke done, whereby every one ought to have his Quantum; neither have they considered who shalt take care for the subsistence of those who by infancy, impotency, and accidents, cannot work, nor provide for themselves: whereas the inequality of our being and coming into the world, makes a necessary society of impotencies and potencies, and so consequently of riches. For if the Strong son dye who shall looke after the weake father, whereas

16. If a naturall proportion be all which is aym'd at & no discontent out of Comparison of fortunes) it is a mans own fault if he finde not that in any state; because nature is contented with a little, and few wild men live so well as our beggars, which even makes most inferiour peo-

ple in a state, feare confusion.

with those who live softlyer than others, as doing them injury thereby; for their natures receive no advantage by it; nay they are unhappier than the poore, because they cannot want so many things as the other can, & are more sharply punish t for their delicatenesse; Plowmen being rarely rack t with gouts, tormented with petulant consumptions, the stone, or the like. Hee who in a Civill state hath many servants to attend him, & to give him the opinion of greatnesse, yethis person spends no more in a Naturall way, than any of his servants doth; who all of them have naturall Subsistences by him, which is all that is in question here.

(26) 18. Moreover the laudable condition of some fort of people is, not to work at all. For, that the mind may enjoy its contemplations, and thereby receive a culture for spirituall foode to be distributed to others afterwards. (who thereby enter into a Society with God and holy Angels) these must be provided for by others, as the Levites had Tithes, and Saint Paul faith, the workeman ought to have the price of his hyre. These must bee free from earthly toyle, as Seth likewise was when hee built his Pillar of Arts and Sciences; and as Noah was whil'ft he built his Arke, and as Hierom and Solomons fervants were when they were building the Temple; For which zeale God gave him gold and filver as stones in the street; which as it was call'd a bleffing to him, fo I shall in the next Chapter examine whether it be (as some now argue) a curse to us.

Of the nature of Mony, its Civill use and Valew.

5. 1. How Commerce is now made equivalent to natural Community.

2. Whether money be onely fit to maintaine the trade and credit of Vice?

3. Whether Abraham chose to acquire a property by money?

4. Of the Naturall and Instrumentall measure of the value of things.

5. How mony is equall to all things.

6. Money is for buying, and binders not but belps permutation.

7. Money the instrument of Coarity and sacrifices as well as of our Necessities.

Oney is one of those things which they who want, want al other things but words to reproach their bad fortune. But some times it is the policy even of ragges and Pover-

Poverty is selfe, to undervalue that which it cannot have, & to convert that which it hath (though never so meane) into an esteeme; and then to Lodge as much pride in a Tub, as Alexander could in a Palace, tho it could not tempt him to a change of condition.

Nil babet infelix paupertas durius in se Quam quod ridiculos bomines facit.

No wonder therefore, seing rich men will be obstinate to hold to their advantages, that desormd Poverty (which mixes with them in the same frame, as a shaddow to set off their colours the better) would have the rich to descend to them, in which equality they should finde nothing of reproach. Though it be demand d lowdly enough, yet I shall examine Quo jure it is, that in a State where Kings are layd aside, Regina pecunia should

likewise be deposed.

value of things, but the way to come by them, is onely by an Instrument of Civill value, which is money. In stead of Community therefore we now have commerce, which Commercium is nothing else but Communio mercium; But communion must needs bee by the meanes of another thing that may beare equall proportion on both sides, which is money only, as shall afterwards be fuller showne; For I shall first heare and satisfie the complaints that are made against it so impatiently.

Where there is great Luxury, there must bee I kewise great Industry to maintaine it, & therefore the Industry of this Civill State, must be greater than that which is in the simple state of nature; but what is there here toblame, seeing industry, no more than plenty is in it selfe a sinner

Object. It is the answer of envy or Ignorance.

Prima peregrinos obscana pecunia mores

Intulit.

2. Mony is that say they which maintaines the trade and credit of Vice, if that were taken away, we should looke after nothing but Necessaryes, which are virtuous. It makes too nice inequalities & distances, and is not significant enough in the best things: for all the money in the world

world is not really worth one penny loafe, which is convertible into our Natures and substances. It serves only to assure fortune, but not Virtue; It is accepted as the measure of all things Naturall, Morall and Divine. For Honour is nothing according to Aristot. Pol. but eρχαι σπλετ σ, ancient riches: and in Moralls Virtus post nummos: This in religion breedes that root of all evill, Coveteousnesse; For in a simplestate of Nature, necessary things must needs be spent within a short time, and the returne of the Sun brings a new supply and a trea-5 Mona. I fure greater than that of the Indies, of which Hisp. Scampanella said wel, That it was got in blood, failes home in a sea of blood, and never rests till it be all laid out in blood; This was that which was made the price of our falvation, even of the blood of our bleffed Saviour, thirty pieces for that which was worth 30000. worlds; but in the religion of the first times,

And if this had not beene brought into the world wee should not have so much to discount for at the day of Judgement. Why therefore should that which is coudemn'd to the obscurity of the earth, and lodg'd so neere Hell, now be made the price of all that which is above the earth, even a solo usque colum? or why should we bee excluded from the gifts of nature, unlesse we first have those of fortune? Is it not then more reasonable, that rich men lose this instrument of Luxury, than the poore should loose the necessary meanes of their subsistance.

- Nullo violatus Iupiter auro, Iuve.

Answer. This is the plea which is made in forma pauperis & de ipsa paupertate, which hardly ever was before.

But in the first place I desire to observe (as is above) That neither the stupid simplicity of the woods, nor poverty it selfe are any part of Virtue, and therefore are not reckoned blessings, as Riches were to Solomon (hee who built Gods first Temple, and put his Religion in luster,) and as they likewise were to Numa from whom money was called Nummus; he likewise built the first Temples at Rome, and kindled the first Vestall fire, & ferotem populum deorum metu mitigavit.

3. We know how God convers'd with Abram, who was the first that had mony & made use of it to buy a property. It is true, they with who he inhabited called him a prince of God, but that was no argument to him to disown their properties, but for the contrary, lest they should think that Dominion or a right to things was founded in grace.

But to descend more argumentatively into the reason and necessity of this kinde of Measure, I say, I hat money is like a Law or a Government which are all constituted by the same extreme necessity, and therefore the counfeiting or attempting to destroy any of these by private

meanes is every where Treason.

4. This measure is two fold, either naturall or Civillor rather naturall, & the instrument which expresses the naturall by equall permutation. The natural measure is proportioned either by want or plenty. In want we confider whether the thing be usefull or necessary. Things which are necessary are best, but of lest price, as a lose of bread is more necessary, but infinitely cheaper than a Diamond. One man hath hatts, another fwords; these two possibly have no neede one of another, and therefore there will be no permutation betwixt them; but if one had neede of another, then he who were most prest, would come to the price of the other, and therefore want or plenty is the measure of estimating things, and is the bond of fociety, wherby also one man shewes he is or may be usefull to another: & nature hath lo ordered it, that no man is so rich, who hath not some neede of the poore, and no min is so meane and abject, but he may beesome wayes ulefull to the rich.

5. The Civill measure, or rather instrument wherby the natural expresses it selfe, is money which hath but a seigned value, and therefore it is sometimes higher and lower in esteeme as men please, which could not be if its value were naturall, which is unalterable. Money is like the middle tearme of a Syllogisme, of which it is sayd, Quæ conveniunt in tertio conveniunt inter se; as for example, if I have cloath at such a price, and you have a quantity of Corne at the same price, then wee regarding the same

price may make an equal permutation; or if I give to you a piece o gold for your Corn at the same price, the sale is equall againe. Whether it be an inconvenience that in some Countries money is at some time of a higher value than at another, is not a consideration of this discourse, for the price of things themselves change more than any

mony doth daily.

6. Money is an invention onely for the more expedite permutation of things, but it doth not follow that men may not make any permutations but by money, even as well now as if we were in our Naturall State. If they who dig now in waste hils had their harvest of their beanes well gathered in, but had neede of wine for the Homacks fake, or of druggs for healths fake, if the Vintner or Apothecary have no neede of beanes, what use will they make of the naturall value of their beanes without money? or if neede were, what would they doe till their beanes be gathered? money therefore hinders not purmutation and commerce of natural things, but assists them; nay it is therefore an instrument of instruments. For he who hath money, may buy things which he neede not use, but sell; thereby to get other things afterwards for his use. There are no people so barbarous, but have money, or a publique instrument of permutation. either in Metalls, or Fish bones, &c. For it imports not to much of what matter it is, provided it be durable, not counterfitable, and difficult to come by.

7. Take away this fungible instrument from the service of our necessities, and how shall we exercise our Charity, which is a branch of Religion and Justice, as well as of Humanity? He who goes to Church, passeth as it were through two Temples, the poore at the porch, and the Temple it selfe, and the giving at the porch is called sacrifice, offering, and gift, as well as that at the Altar.

God would be secrificed to, onely in one Towne of the world, Ierusalem: But how could that have beene, if money and money-changers had not beene allowed? How could they who came from such remote places, have by any other meanes brought their Oxen, Calves,

Goats 4

Goates, and Doves to the Altar? If there were nothing further to shew, but that one piece, which our Sa. viour himselfe coind miraculously in the mouth of a fish, it were Argument sufficient, that the use of mony may be both good, just, and Necessary.

CHAP. VII.

Concerning the parties (just or unjust) which by the variety of successe in Civill Warre command us and our subsistence, and reduce us to these extreme necessities.

Whether for a justifiable obedience to them, it bee necessary for us to assure our selves, that those parties have a justifiable cause of Warre, or right to command us?

2. Whether Prescription make a right?

4. Warre for dominion and for possession.

These things being thus stated concerning our owner persons, the lawfulnesse and transcendent right which we have both by God and nature in our selves and that which is ours, yea and in case of extreme misery in othersthings also, above all those rights, privileges and obligations which others may pretend upon us; I may the easilier descend now into the bottome of the question, and speak to the maine parties whether Just or unjust, who by the variety of successe, and in both reduce us to the forementioned extreme necessity: In which condition or consusson the question is what is lawfull for us to doe?

^{\$ 1.} Wherefore difficult for us to assure our consciences in the points of right.

^{3.} A cause of Warre depending on a matter of fact, not a certaine way of confirming us that we lawfully obey.

I finde that most here seek to satisfie their scruples in searching, First, Whether those parties have lawfull power over us or no? That so finding the lawfulnesse of their right, they may be easilier assured of the lawfulnesse of their owne obedience. Secondly, In examining the cause of their Warres, whether it be justifiable or no? They supposing, that if the cause be bad, all effects which have any dependance on it, must needs be so too. I conceive that these two considerations serve onely to adde to the perplexity of a mans conscience, and are not necessary at all for us to be informed of.

doubtfull, and would be ever disputable in all Kingdomes, if those Governours who are in possession should freely permit all men to examine their Titles ab origine, and those large pretended rights which they exercise over the people: And though this parties Title may be as good or a little better than that parties, yet a man in conscience may still doubt, whether he have Limpidum titulum, a just title or cleare right, especially in those things which are constitued by so various and equivocall

a principle as the will of man is.

Besides, most Governours on purpose take away from us the meanes of discovering how they come by their rights: Infornuch, that though they may really have that right to which they pretend, yet through the ignorance we are in, of what may bee omitted in their history, either through feare, flattery, negligence or ignorance, it is dangerous for us upon probable humane gounds only, to sweare their infallible right, as is thewed in the following treatife of Oaths. Upon this ground Tacitus faith well, Tiberij Caijque & Claudij ac Neronis res, florentibus ipsis, ob metum falsæ; postquam occiderant recentibus odius composite sunt. And if the parties rights be but one as good as anothers, then his is the best who hath possession: which generally is the strongest title that Princes have. A whole Kingdom may be laid waste, before it can be infallibly inform'd concerning the parties true rights which they require men to dye for, & to ayow by oath.

2. As for prescription of long time, every mans conscience is not satisfied, That that added to possession makes a true right. This we know, that it conduces much to publique quiet; but the Canonists maintain it against the Civilians, That prescription upon an unjust beginning & ex titulo inhabili, doth by its continuance of time, increase and not diminish the injustice and faultinesse of the Act: For the lapse of time cannot change the morality of an Act: It is no plea in Divinity to argue the prescription which sinne hath on us, as an excuse: A Lie is almost as old as Truth; but there is no prescription against God

and Truth. This concerning the point of Right.

matter of right, or a justifiable cause (viz. that such or such things have beene done, or plotted, or advis'd, therefore the other party may lawfully doe this or that) that we know is without end; and ever is perplext and difficult to have perfect Intelligence of, especially such, as a man may safely venture his own life, or take away anothers upon it. Wherefore if we may reasonably doubt of the point of Right (which yet is a more cleare and uniform thing) then we may be more reasonably perplext in the story of Fact, which depends on so many Accidents, so various circumstances both in its principle (the will,) in its existence, and in evidence for the infallible knowledge of it.

From hence therefore I conclude, That we may in this great case ease our selves of this vast perplexity in examining whether or no the invading party have a just title, or cause, or no? or, whether he have a juster then he whom he opposes? But here I desire to he rightly understood; For I affirme this, not as if the knowledge of all this were not very convenient, and much to be desired, but that (as it is almost impossible for us to have, so) it is not necessary for us to search after; except in one case (which comes not out of the historical occasion of this discourse) viz. In assissifying to the beginning of a Warre. These Negatives shew onely what we need not ground our consciences on, in order to a

lawfull obedience; but it must be a positive and a clear principle which we must ground on, if we would bee warranted of a just submission to the orders of one who commands us perhaps unjustly. For it is a matter which concernes the mifery of others who never did us

There is a Warre for Dominion, and a Warre for Possession. If it be for Dominion, we may contribute our Money, Armes and Oathes, to the expelling

wrong.

perhaps of an innocent Family: It it be for Possession (which is the worlt) then it is for the flavery of thoufands of Innocent Families: And before either can bee compass'd, we may affure our selves, that thousands may be as innocently kill'd by the means of them who contribute to the strengthning of an unjust party. But because I state this question in a Warre already form d, and actually introduc'd upon the people, therefore in answertothis positive demand, I as positively say, That for a justifiable obedience, it is best, and enough for us to consider, Whether the invading party have us and the meanes of our subsistence in his possession or no?

CHAP. VIII.

To affure our consciences of a Justifiable obedience during the confusions or revolutions of Warre, it is best and enough for us to consider, Whether the invading party (just or unjust) have us or the meanes of our subsistence in their possession or no.

o 1. Princes themselves, notwithstanding their sworne Leagues one with another, ground their obligations on the tacite condition of their possessing what they had when they fwore. For

For entry to the proofe of this Chapter, I shall briefly (as a stating of the question) set downe a pertinent discourse which Cardinal d'Ossat (Henry the Fourth of France his great Agent at Rome) held with the Pope, upon this following occasion, as himselse relates it in his

letter to the King his Master.

The Duke of Guife (as is commonly known) form'd a League or Covenant against K. Henry the Third, which most of the Kingdome of France tooke, and it was to this purpole; That the King was fo cold in the Profession of the Romish faith, that it was in danger to be extinguisht by the increase which he permitted of the reformed Religion; especially seing Henry the Fourth (then King of Navarre was of that Religion, and was to succeed to the Crowne: Wherefore by the mediation of Philip the second of Spaine, the Pope qualifi'd the Duke of Guise, Head o' that Catholique League and (which in point of Government was to let him above the King) avowd him Protectour of the Catholique Faith in the Kingdome of France. When Henry the Fourth succeeded to the Crowne, then this League for security of Religion was most violent; and the Spaniard without, hop d, by nourishing thus the division within, to carry all for himselfe at last. To avoid which gin, and to answer all; the King chang'd his Religion, and negotiated by a Offat to be received by the Pope as a dutifull Sonne of the Church of Rome, demanding Absolution for what was past, and making large promises of due obedience for the time to come. The King of Spaine's interest was, that he should not be received, and thereupon he indeavoured to perswade the Pope, That Heny did but disfemble with him, and that under this disguise hee would easiliest ruine the Romish Religion. Notwithstanding all this. a'Offat obteined his Reception. Absolution and Benediction, through the many protestations, promiles and presents which he made to his Holinesse. Whereupon the Spa iard's designes were in a moment all blown over from France, but fell heavilier upon the united Provinces; which were to forely prest, that they appre-D 2 hendhended the losse and ruine of their Country, and thereupon implor'd affiftance from King Henry, who receiv d their Ambassadours very graciously, and gave their assurance of reliefe. The King of Spain, who wanted no good intelligence in the Court of France, immediately remonstrates to the Pope, Thathis former intimations concerning Henry's diffimulation, did now appeare in the face of all the world; and that seeing his Holinesse had been so credulous, he knew not now whether they should be able to save the Catholique Faith from being subjected to the Reformed Religionor no. For whereas the Hollanders had revolted from him, onely because he resolved to use the true meanes for the establishing the Romish faith among them, and that now he was in a faire way of reducing them, (which conduced fo much (by his Holinesse his owne opinion) to the establishment of the Romish Faith) Henry had taken their party against him in that worke; and that at Paris be had received their Embassadors to that purpose, although be knew they were his lawfull subjects, &c.

This startled the Pope not a little, who immediately charged d'Ossat for having betray'd him, and put the Church in danger. This argument was as subtill on the Spaniards fide, as changing Religion was on Henrys, and therefore d Offat was not a little perplext how to answer it to the advantage of his Master; as also coherently to the confiderations of his former reception into the Church: but at last he replyed, That his Holinesse needed not wonder how in reason of State those different Religions might joyne together for Politicall ends, without hazard of altering Religion: Thus David fought protection of the Philistines, and Abram redeemed the finfull Sodomites. That he tooke it to be upon the same ground, that his Holinesse himselfe not long before received a Persian Embassador, who was so farre from being a heretick, that he never pretended to the name of a Christian. That it was but a plausible argument which the King of Spaine used, in complaining of Henry's receiving and avowing their Embassador, especially

cially knowing at the same time that they were Rebels. and could pretend no right nor title separate from his Crowne: "For Princes (quoth he) when Embassadors " are addrest to them, never informe themselves of the rights " and titles of those Princes from whom they are sent: But "whether they have possession of the force and power of those "places, from whence the Embassadors are imployed. For it would be an endlesse taske, and require an infallible true "History of the world (which is not to be made by man) if all "the Embassadors, before their receptions, should be ob-" lig'd first to prove clearely to the world the just right by " which their Masters derive those Titles and jurisdictions "which they assume to themselves. This reply as it seeled the Popes minde, so the reason of it may well settle ours; And in the first place it may not be amisse to see what the opinion of Princes themselves may be concerning it.

1. It is evident that most Contracts and Cathes made betwixt Politicall, or publique persons, are made in this Politicall sence, viz. with a tacit condition of holding their possessions. And therefore the world wonders not that the King of England having sworne a league with the King of Spaine, expresly also as he was King of Portugall, did notwithstaning since receive two Embassadors from this new King of Portugall; & that without being judged either in England or Spain to have broken his former Oath & I eague. But not to ground too much on matter of Fact, Grotius in his Treatie de legatis, judges the point of right; Where after he had shewn how they who are partly Subjects, and partly not, have a right of Embassy for that part by which they are not subjects, he descends to those places which are divided by Civill warre; in which as it is naturall for each party to preserve it selfe by all meanes it can, so usually correspondence abroad by Embassie is one meanes which is not omitted: and the rule which States have for this case is, That in regno diviso, gens una pro tempore quasi duæ gentes babentur. The ground and tacite condition wherefore feverall States contract one with the other, is (according to that Author) the confideration of the power which easb

each Kingdome bath to afford benefit one to the other: so that the contract is rather with the places, then with the perfons. Hence the same Author saith, Reges qui regnis exacti sunt, cum alijs regni bonis etiam jus legandi perdiderunt. As this may sound harshly, so it ought to have good reason and authority to countenance it. It is not enough to object, That such tacite conditions are not proper to, nor consistent with the nature of Oathes (which asterwards is resuted) For they who consider those admirable Chapters of the 18th. of Icremie. v. 7, 8, 9, 10. Ezech. 33. v. 13, 14. will find the same in Gods declared will. though positively express, which is argument enough for us, as is largelier proved heareafter: vid. p. 2. c. 3. Selt. 2.

Thus we see by what ground of right or desect in possession Princes disavow one another, though they be mutually oblig'd by solemne oaths, which is the greatest tye that Princes or just Governours can have upon their subjects. Now I conceive I may aptly speak to subjects obeying an usurping power, after an obliga-

tion of Allegiance to another Power.

The

The second Part.

Wherein is examined:

WHETHER,

1. A man may lawfully pay dutyes or Taxes to an unjust Party?

2. Or whether he may lawfully serve such

a Party personally?

3. Or whether he may lawfully swear sidelitie to such a Party during the War?

4. Or whether he may lawfully swear a finall Allegiance and subjection to such a Party after the Warre?

CHAP. I.

Whether a man may lawfully and with a good conscience pay Taxes to an unjust Party during the Warre?

§ 1. What was meant by paying Tribute to Casar.

2. In what case a man at the beginning of a Warre may contribute to it, though he finds not its cause good.

3. The manner of a Levie.

4. We cannot properly scruple at that which is out of our power. D 4 5. C,

5. Of the condition of these who live upon frontiers.

clares, that he will not have us pay any thing to the invading party; Likewise, whether any Law but that which derives immediately from God doth indispenceably oblige the conscience?

IN the first part, the ground for all the particular questions in this, is laid and treated Generally; but here we come to closer and more particular proofes: and first,

of Tribute and Taxes.

There are many who not finding this liberty in their consciences, unnecessarily choose rather to give their bodyes up to restraint, and to abandon their whole meanes of subsistence in this world, both for themselves and their children, which ought not fondly to be done, unlesse we would be worse then Insidels as Saint Paul saith.

Obj. They object, that they know not whether the monies they give, may not furnish to the destruction of many Innocents, and perhaps of the just Magistrate himselfe; That though a man may give away his owne as he pleases, yet not in this case, when it is to the pre-

judice of another, &c.

They who thus icruple are in conscience obliged thus to suster, because they have not faith to doe otherwise; But the question now to be examined, is, Whether these be necessary scruples in themselves, and such as admit of no exception or liberty? Perhaps upon examination we may finde these scruples to be like scandals, whereof some are rather taken then given: And therefore to state the question aright, I shall paraphrase a little upon another question which was propounded to our Saviour; It may possibly appeare to be the same with this, though propounded with more subtilitie and malice.

The Scribes and Pharises sought two wayes to entrap our Saviour; One was, as if hee had blasphemously taught a new Religion, and a new God (viz. himselfe) They hop't the people would be provok to

Itone

stone him for this, according to the 13 of Dent. The other was to bring his actions into the compasse of Treaion, as if hee could not lead great multitudes after him without traiterous designes; but this gin faill'd too, because the multitude which follow'd him was alwayes ready to defend him: However, when he was at Ierusalem, where the Roman Troopes and Prætor were, they thought they had him fure, by propounding this fub-

tilty to him.

Sect. 1. Is it lawfull for us to pay tribute to Cefar? "which was as much as to fay; Wee who are descended "from Abraham, and are the peculiar people, to whom "God hath given the large privileges of the earth, at "home to bathe our selves in Rivers of milke and ho-"ny, to have full barns and many children; yea that "God himselfe will be ador'd in no other place of the "world, but at this our Jerusalem; and that abroad we a "should triumph over the barbarous and uncircumci-"led World, by vertue of that Militia which he never "order'd for any but our telves; How are we then in "duty or conscience to submit now to the Ordinances of the uncircumcifed Roman? Or what right can hee "have to exercise supreme Jurisdiction over us the pri-"vileg'd feed of Abraham, by levying Taxes on our "Estates and Land, which God himselfe laid out for "us? by which meanes hee holds this very Temple in "flavery, and infults over our Consciences and Religi-"on, by defyling our very Sacrifices with the mixture "of impure bloud; which as they are the price of our "soules, and a tribute farre above Casars (payable in no "other place but this Temple which God himself built) " so our bloud ought not to seeme too deare to bee sacri-"ficed for the liberty of these Altars. And though the "Roman State could pretend right, yet what can this " Cæsar pretend? Every mans conscience knows that "it was but the other day hee ulurp't over the Senate, in "which resides the true Jurisdiction of Rome: And if "that were otherwise, yet how can hee pretend to a ti-'s tle, unlesse poyson be a Pedegree, or violent usurpa-

"tion a just election; by which hee who is but the "greatest theese in the world, would passe now for the "most Soveraign and Legislative Prince? How then " are we in conscience oblig'd to pay Tribute to this Casar? Thoughthele Lawyers thought in their consciences, that they were not truly oblig'd to pay it, and that our Saviour likewise as a Jew thought so too, yet they suppos'd hee durst not say so much in the crowd, nor yet deny it, by shifting it off in silence. lest the Roman Officers should apprehend him. But when our Saviour shew'd them Casars face upon the Coine, and bad them render to Casar that which was Casars, and to God that which was Gods, his answer ran quite otherwise: Not as fome would have it, that by a subtilty hee answered nothing to the point proposed: for then the sense of the whole text would found very ill in such tearmes, viz. That if there be any thing due to Cafar, pay him it; and if any thing be due from you to God, then pay it likewife. This had been a weakning of Gods right for Cafars, and to have left a desperate doubting in a necessary Truth. Tis beyond all Cavill, that our Saviours opinion was positive for paying of Tribute to that very Casar, because de facto he did payit; And the plaine reason of it appeares evidently in this his answer: Casars face was upon the Coine; that is to lay, Cafar by conquest was in possession of that Coine, by possessing the place where hee oblig'd them to take it; coining of Money being one Prerogative of Soveraigne power.

And now to answer more particularly to the forementioned objections at the beginning of the Chap-

ter.

A. In the first place I distinguish betwixt Perferre, inferre bellum; The one is Active and properly at the beginning of a Warre, and in a place where yet no War is, and where its cause only and not its effects can bee consider'd: In this case every thing ought to be very clear for warrant of a mans conscience, because of the calamities which he helps to introduce, and is in some manner Author of. The other is Passive, and there where

War or the power of War is actually formed, which is

the case of this discourse.

Secondly I distinguish betwixt that which cannot be had, nor the value of it, unlesse I actually give it; and that which may be taken whether I contribute it or no: In the force of this second distinction lies the reason wherefore I have so much examined the nature of Possion in the former part. To apply all this to the Objections, I say, That if a manscruple, he may not inferre bellum by any act which may be properly his owne; I

Tay, properly his owne.

Sett. 2. Because though Warre bee not yet actually form d in a place, yet a scrupling conscience which likes not the cause may be excus'd in contributing to it in this one case, viz. If some number of men able to take what they aske, demand (with an armed power) the payment of a certaine sum to be imploy'd in War, then in such a case, the man of whom wee speak may pay it; as a ransome for his life; or give it as a man doth his purse when he is surprized in the high-way; The reason is, Because to this man it is as much as if the whole Countrey were possest by an armed power.

Sect. 3. The manner of the I evie is here Principally to be considered. For if the person taxed be not for the time in the full possession of him whose cause hee scruples at, and that he have not a probable feare of extreme danger, nor as probable assurance that without his helpe, the thing demanded nor its value can be taken from him, then there's little excuse remaines for the Act, because the said Act (which his conscience dislikes) participates more of

Action then of Passion.

But in the case of this discourse, where a man is fully possest by an unjust invading power (from whom whole Countries cannot possibly slie, nor make away all their Goods and Estates,) there I say a mans paying of Taxes is no gift, which if providtakes away the master-scruple. Let us Judge of this by that case which we all grant; If a man sall into the hands of many desperate theeves who assault him for his Money; though with his owne hand

hand he put his purse into their hands, yet the Law cals not that a gift, nor excuses the theese from taking it, but

all contrary.

Sect. 4. By this it's apparent what a groundlesse scruple it is for a man thus taxt, to say, He knows not to what evill they may imploy the money so put into their desperate hands: For this supposes a gift, and a mans proper voluntary Act; of which indeed he is alwayes to be scrupulous, because it proceeds from that Principle which is totally in his owne power: whereas other mens actions are as farre out of our power as winds and tempests are; to which two as we contribute nothing, so wee cannot properly be scrupulous in our consciences concerning their bad effects.

For further proofe I might aptly reflect on those arguments which were discust at the beginning of the first part, concerning the transcendent right which were naturally have in the preservation of our selves, and of

thosethings without which we cannot be preserved: As also on the high privilege of extreme necessity, nature it self being more intent to the preservation of particular, then of publike bodyes, which are made out of particulars, and as much as may be for the particular ends and preservation of each singular; no man obliging himselfe to any particular society of this or that Coun-

according to the right of the more generall society of mankind Vid. Par. 1. c. 1 Sect. 3.

Sect. 5. Thus much concerning those who are fully possest by the unjust invading power: Now I shall speake to the condition of those who live upon frontiers; whose condition is more ticklish and deplorable, because they are not sully possest nor taken into the line of either party. These live as it were in the Suburbs of a Kingdome, and enjoy not the security or privileges of others. Though they can owe true Allegiance but to one party, yet they may lawfully contribute to both: For though they be but partly possest by one and by the other, in respect of their suddain abandoning them, yet

both parties have the power of destroying them wholly. Wherfore those former reasons which justifie those fully possest, do also acquit the payments of these; for their condition here is more calamitous, seing they are really but Tenants at will, exposed to a perpetual Allarme, and that both partyes wound one the other only through their sides.

6. The last consideration in this Scruple, is of the wills of them whom we acknowledge our lawfull Gover-

nours, viz.

Obj. When they declare to us that they will not per-

mit to us to pay any thing to their Enemies.

Answ. To this I answer, That the declared wills of Governours cannot make all those our acts sinnes, when we obey that power which against our wills (as much as against theirs, and it may be with more of our misery) hath devasted them of the power of their rights, and deprived us of the comfort of their Governments.

Quest. I would not here willingly dispute whether any Law but that which derives immediately from God dothindispensably oblige the conscience? For there is but one Lawgiver who can fave and destroy the Soule for the observation or violation of Lawes, and this is God; who therefore hath the solepower of obliging consciences to Laws as the Lord of them, through his creating, governing, and moving them. Esa. 33. 22. Iames 4. 12. There is one Lawgiver who is able to fave and destroy: who art thou that judgest another? Princes cannot by their commands change the nature of humane condition, which is subject naturally to those forementioned changes: This were to pretend to a power of obliging us to morall impossibilities, and repugnances in the reason of government. And though those politicall commands were as Lawes, yet they ought not to be made, nor to be obliging, but according to the Legislative rule, which is cum sensu bumanæ imbecillitatis. This is that which ufually is called the presuntive will of a Governour, or the minde

minde of a I aw: For in extreme necessity it is to bee prefum'd, that both their wills recede from the rigour of what they have declared, rather then by holding to that which is their supposed right, introduce certaine milery and confusion, without receiving any benefic thereby themselves. Neither are such commands without there sense and profit though they bee not positively obey'd. For thereby Governours shew to all the world, that they renounce no part of their right, no though it be there where they cannot exercise any part of their just power: Secondly, they may thereby help to retard their subjects from being forward in giving admittance to their Enemies, or in being actively assisting to them, but rather to themselves; besides which lense there can be no fense. For if they meare by those commands, That they would not have their Enemies strengthned or advantag'd by them and withall, meane that they would not that their subjects should submit themselves at all to those usurpers, though it were then when they and all their subfishence are absolutely possest by them; I say then that these are commands which dash against themfelves, and the one countermands the other. For if they refuse to submit in such a case, then they doe that which advantages their Enemies: Because at that time they will take all, whereas in case of submission they aske but a part. In all wars there are alwayes some by whose disaffections Enemies gain more, then by their compliance; just as Physicians do by distempers. Though. by after variety of successes the just Governours should recover that place which so submitted to the power of their Enemies, and for that reason should punish those who were ployable to extreme necessity; Yet it follows not upon that. That they who fo conformed, finned, or did that which was absolutely unlawfull. For we know reason of State oft cals for sacrifices, where there is no fault to expiate: Offrociline and Jealousie make away those who are knowne to deserve most: In republica idem est nimium o nibil mereri : But in right (which is the terme of this question) the just Governour ought

And perhaps in equity he ought to consider, that the orignall fault of all might possibly be on his part; God sometimes punishing the people for the Prince, and sometimes the Prince for the people. But of this more shall be said in the following Treatises of New-Allegiance, and of opposite Oaths.

CHAP. II. Whether we may lawfully serve an unjust Party in our Persons or no?

He answer to this question is very present, and Negative: For here action is required to an end which our consciences allow not. Our estates are separate from us, and therefore may be had without us, or without our wils: But our persons are our selves, and cannot be had nor act without us, and therefore a man hath not the same liberty in the one as in the other.

But yet there are two cases wherein a man may law-

fully serve an unjust party in his person.

First, when it is in order to a just and necessary action, which concernes not the opposing of the just party at all, but onely our owne necessary preservation: in which case we consider the unjust Governour abstractively, not as a Governour, but as a man. Suppose the case were such, that if the lawfull Governour himselfe were with us, he would probably command us the same thing: and though perhaps he would not command it, yet we might lawfully put our selves into that action against his will; as if the Turke, or any other common enemy should invade those Provinces, which the unjust Party hath divested the just of: For such an enemy would deprive one as well as the other; Where-tore betwixt two unjust Parties, it's better to follow him

him who is in possession, especially if his Government be probably better for the society and Religion of mankinde: and as for the just Government he must consider that such actions are not so much contra as præter suam

voluntatem.

The second case relates in some manner to the apposing of our Lawfull Magistrate, but not by a direct intention. For example, when wee see much cruelty exercised upon the continuance of a warre, and probable ruine of those Places where the Armies seeke one another; then if the said Armies fall into our quarters, and we be summond to assist the unlawfull Party, we may then arme our selves, not for him, but for our selves, not in any regard of the cause of the warre, but of its effects; which are Destruction of life, or of livelybood. In this case Nature helps us to put on our armes, and shews us the way to the place where we may redeeme our lives, and finde a remedy, though it be in our very disease.

Ob. But is not this to doe evill to advance our owne good, to cut the throats of those whose innocency our owne consciences absolves? Can our extravagant sears warrant us to take away other mens reall rights? Cato habet potius qua exeat. Sen. brave men would rather die.

How then can any of this be lawfull?

yet by the third Treatise of the Lawfulnesse of some Warrs, Chap, 2. It is clearly evinc'd, How Innocents may be innocently kill d, and this objection goes no further, nay not so farre as that which is there cleared; For children and babes could never threaten us with the sad effects of watre, yet we see how they may be innocently destroyed by the course of warre. For Nature commends me to my selfe for my owne protection, and preservation, and that, not as if I had not that right of desending my selfe, unlesse they were first faulty who threaten me the danger. For though they fight bona side on their side, and ignorantly take me to be another kinde of person then I really am just as men passionately distracted (& in dreams use to do) yet I am not for this reason necessarily obligid

to deferrmy selfe, nor to suffer all which they prepare probably to inflict upon me, no more, then I am when another mans irrationall beaft or dog fals upon me with fury or miltake. Governours of men are like keepers of beasts; Every man as he is an Animal, participating halfe with the brute. Alterum nobis cum Diis, alterum cum bestiis commune est, saith Salust. When an irregular passion breaks out in a state, an irrationall beast hath broke out of his grate or cave, and puts the Keeper to a great deale of trouble, and those whom he meetes with in the way in a great deale of danger. If he invade any one he may be kill'd whether the Keeper please or no, although whilsthe kept his cave quietly he might not be stirr'd without his permission. It is a knowne case, that if a man unjustly assault another, and be slaine in the act by the other, this other shall not suffer for it.

But in this case we must be certaine of two things: First, that we have try'dall other meanes of saving our selves and our livelihood: Secondly, that we enter not the Army with an offensive minde, but cum moderamine inculpata tutela: not with a direct designe to kill, but rather to fright, weaken, and to drive away the cruell enemy: Before we may strike, we must see our danger imminent, and in ipso pene puncto; then it is that we may occupare facinus, prevent our owne deaths by the Invadors deaths: For when lives are to be lost, then the possession which we have of our owne, is to be present d

by us before our enemies lives.

The rule of defence is very difficult, because on every hand it is full of Circumstances: yet a point in Morall actions (even as in Mechanicall, not in Euclidian Geometry) is not without some breadth. Hence the Law saith, Potentia proxima actui, pro ipso actu habetur. The reason wherefore the Law (which justly is so tavourable to life) takes that for killing which immediately goes before the blow, is, Because if it should not be favourable to us before the blow or act, it would not be favourable to us at all; A mans life is that which can be lost but once, and after that, nothing can make it good to us againe

againe, wherefore we are oblig'd to a perpetuall guard of it: if not for our owne sakes, yet at least for theirs whose life it may be as well as ours.

CHAP. III.

Whether we may lawfully take new Oaths for the interest of the unjust Party, especially after former Oaths of Allegiance to an other Party?

o I. What an Oath is.

2. The matter of the Oaths here mentioned is the same with that of a Promise. Of Assertory and Promissory Oaths. All Contracts and Oathes, though in absolute termes, have some tacite conditions adhering to them, and so are mutable. Whether all Oaths he now unlawfull? Two cases concerning the qualities of the persons giving oaths.

3. The cases wherein the matter of a promise and of our sorts of Oaths doe agree.

4. In matters of Vertue and Piety.

5. In Possibilities.

6. In transferring a right on him who will receive it: Whence dispensations arise.

7. In things whereof we have infallible certainty.

- 8. Concerning a mans swearing that such or such opinions are true.
- 9. Of Oaths made to Pyrats and Tyrants.

IN the first question the invading party demands our goods, in the second our bodies, and in this our very soules, so farre as a man can make an other sure of them.

But as they are preciouser then the other two put together,

of them out: Furor est post omnia perdere naulum, and to erre in this is to erre in all. And seeing we here contract with God himselfe (who is alwayes the Justest Party) and doe solemnly invoke his justice and vengeance upon the breakers of so high a Contract, we ought therefore to invoke his Grace more earnestly, that by the direction of his holy Spirit, we may not precipitate our selves, either through seare, faithlesnesse, or avarice into the forseit of such a religious Act: lest whiles we run to save our momentary goods, our immortal soules fall from us into Hell-fire: but rather that we esteeme it no losse with Elias to drop a Mantle in the way to Heaven, or for a scratch to get a Crowne:

- Atg; ideo intrepidi quæcung; altaria tangunt. Juv.

Though in this particular case our condition be rendred most miserable by the extravagancies of ambitious aspirers to Government, yet we see nothing new practis'd in it: For all this naturally forts with the deprayed condition of mankinde. And though we can finde little to justifie the Usurpers actions, yet we may finde reason enough, why after his engagement he should advite of all meanes for securing himselfe both at home and abroad in the field: of which meanes this of obliging by Oath is a principall one. Through this jealousie the Roman Lawes permitted not a man to be a Citizen of Roine, and of another Provinciall City together; for in time of warre and division such a person would be open to secret attempts and practices. The Chimilts lay those mettals soonest dissolve, in which the elements are most unequally fixt; Et inter symbola facilis est transitus: to turely must those men be most volatile, who have not something fastened on them to render their indifferencies more determinate. The extremity of this present case, as of the rest is, That as a man may successively be under opposite parties, and so for opposite ends be taxt in his goods, and summon'd to serve in person, even so he may be commanded to sweare oppositely to what he swore before. But before I speake to this diffi-

culty, and the cases depending on it; I shall set downe what I conceive of the nature of an Oath in generall. Sect. 1. An Oath is a Religious at estation of God when we affert or Promise that which is lawfull and in our Power, and is then at its height when we put our selves under Gods severe wrath, if we deale fraudulently. Some adde this to the rest That it must be of that which cannot be cleared otherwise, Exod. 22. 10. 2 Cor. 1. 23.

2. That which distinguisheth it in futures from a Promise, is the attestation of God, and the imprecation which we make on our fouls if we be not faithfull, Heb. 6.16. God is here in the contract onely ut testis; but with this particular prerogative, that he is the only univerfall true witnesse, who by right of government, hath also a right of punishing alwayes, and every where.

In a vow there cannot be lesse then two, and they are enough, because God is both sufficient witnesse and party. In other distracts or contracts we think it best to have at least three, the party contracting, hee with whom it is contracted, and a witnesse; and all ordinarily under a legal | penalty. In the contracts which wee make by oath one with another, God stands as the witnesse, and is to require the forfeit by our owne

confents.

All Oaths are best divided In Assertoria & Promissoria Sof A errory For in order to the time present, past, or and Promis- future, we religiously affert or promise and Promif- future, we religiously affert or promise fory oaths. Something to be, or not to be; to have been, or not to have been; that it shall bee, or shall not bee. The Afferting oath is of something past, or present; the Promising is of something to be done or omitted in the future. Truth ought to be in both, but with this difference, that in the former it is but single, because it relates onely to the time present, and to the Act of swearing: In the latter it is double; First, in regard of the time present, or the act of swearing, viz. That then the swearers minde and words go congruously together, with a true intention to observe what he then promises: And because upon the very act of swearing hee hath

hath either sworne true or false in order to his intentions, therefore in that part there lies an indilpensable obligation on him: The latter truth relates to the effect and the time to come, that is, That the swearer will have his actions go along with his words, and that he will make them good. But because the matter of this oath (which is a thing to be fulfill'd in the future) is naturally (and whether the swearer will or no) obnoxious to change and uncertainty, therefore the obligation which toucheth this matter, and which the (All positive con) fwearer bound himselfe afterward to tracts of futures fulfill, is mutable and separable from (why mutable. bis oath. It was in his own power to make the first truth good, which was, that his mind should be bona fide like his words; but unlesse he were a controuler of nature, he may faile of making good the fecond, which was, that his deeds should be like his words. Hee is forfworne who intends not what hee by oath promiles, but he is not alwayes so who effects not what he so promiles. The right apprehending of this Distinction will much facilitate the difficulties of the following cases.

Because an oath is a tie to the greatest of Contracts, therefore there are three things especially prærequisite to it. I. Iudgement, lest we be irreparably insnard, as losua and the Israelites were in swearing with the Gibeonits. 2. Truth, lest God be invoked to a lie. 3. Equity, lest we make God a countenancer of wickednesse, and of things unlawfull; as Saul would have done in his sentence given against Ionathan, when with the tip of his rod he had tasted hony; and David when he fwore hastily to lay Naba's house waste. Of these three conditions every man is oblig'd to the two latter, before he swaeres them, but not so so-We are obligid lemnly as afterwards; The first may (to the marter of be in things properly in our owne Some Oaths beright of disposing as we please, before fore we sweare, we sweare, but that obligation or Proland of others mile comming, we have afterwards / not till no liberty in them at all: In this there sweare.

E 3

then we are bound at all hazards to our selves, to make the Oath good, but not alwayes the other, when we sweare contrary to our antecedent obligation in the matter of the Oath. Quia prior obligatio prajudicat posteriori, and obliges onely to repentance for our rashnesse or ignorance.

Obj. But against all Oathes in generall it may be ob
{ Levit. 19. 12. } Law it was sufficient that they were not forsworne; but Christ saith, we may not sweare { Some Oaths law } at all; that our communication must full under the be yeavea, and no no; Two affirmations to satisfie as well as an Affirmative or a Negative Oath.

or unnecessary Oaths, and these onely were by Christ absolutely forbid; For if otherwise, then we should not have had so many of Saint Pauls Oaths recorded by the Holy-Ghost; as Rom. 1.9 and 9.2. 2 Cor. 1. 23. and 11. 31. In all which places Sairt Paul used most religious asseverations and attestations of God for amplifying his glory, and therefore when it may reach that end, we may still sweare as warrantably as he did.

The cases of affective are not very implicate, because their cases not whole truths are immediately sulfilled in the act of swering. as being of things past or present. Inst. ii. 4 tit. 16. Sect. 6. Conditiones que ad præsens vel præteritum tempus reseruntur, aut statim instimant obligationem, aut omnino non disserunt, indeque obligationem set in pendenti: Quæ enim per rerum naturam sunt certa, non morantur obligationem, licet apud nos incerta sint. Those conditions which relate to the time past or present, either instantly take away all obligation, or else differ it not a Moment; So that the obligation which riseth from thence, is not uncertaine or

or if Sempronius be now alive, I will give you 100. li. This is immediately fulfil'd, because it is concerning the time past and present, which are both really fulfil'd, tho

not yet fully known to the Promifer.

The acts of Governours relate most to the establishment of the time present and suture: wherefore the nature of Promissory Oaths will here most concerneus. In clearing where-of the matter of our Oaths, and of a promise the matter and conditions of a Prothe same, and the obligation fort of Oath, and (which few have observed) their obligation is all one, and differre but in

the forme of Penalty.

Upon this ground we may safely say, That the bare promise in an Oath oblig'd as strictly before he swore, as after he swore; and the reason is plaine; because a man is oblig'd onely by that which is of Pact. The punishment express in the Oath, relates onely to the Penalty: for which reason such a Promissory oath signess no more then such a Penalty upon such a promise; but a Penalty alwayes relates to that only which is unlawful, and sollowes the Violation, destruction or negation of a Pact, and not its making or being. Tho it be never so severe, yet it confers nothing to the first jus or Pact, but onely to my suture searce less I do injustice.

All therefore to be inquired after in these Promissory or State Oaths is, what the Nature of the things them-selves are to which they are affixt, our obligations stand-

ing or falling with them.

Their matter and conditions therefore are of three

forts:

First Necessary; When the effect hath an infallible cause; as I promise Titius 100. if the Sunne rise to morrow.

Secondly, Impossible, either in Nature, as I promise Titius 100, if he touch the skie with his finger; or impossible

possible in equity; as I promise Titius 100, if he murther Sempronius: This being repugnant to honesty and piety, obliges not no more then the other, and the third præ-

requisite to all Oathes, excepts against it.

Thirdly, Possible, in things which may happen or not happen, because depending simply on our owne or others wils, or mixtly of both, or else of that which to us is chance or fortune, which cannot be determined by our wils, Namomnis status bumanus ex insidiis fortune dependet, on in perpetuo metu consistit, l. 2. Sest. sed quia. C. de vet. jur. enuel. The state of mankinde depends totally on, and subsists in the midst of the ambushes and treacheries of tortune, and is in perpetual motion and change. This third branch is that which affords most matter for perpexing Oaths and Promises, because it relates not to one uniforme principle, nor to such things as depend on our selves, This makes some say no promissory Oath can be sold. I lawfull, because oathes must be certaine and true, sold. I lawfull, because oathes must be certaine and true, sold. I but alls unire effects of things are uncertaine.

To this I answer that it is certaine that my minde and words go truly together when I fo sweare, as Abral ams fervant did when he swore to take a wife for Isaack, a future very uncertaine. A man might as well fay promifes are unlawfull because of future uncertainties, the obligation of a promise and of a promissory Oath being the fame. It is sufficient therefore that we sweare things not necessary but possible. Wherefore it is to be carefully observ'd, That as there are no contracts, and consequently no Oaths fo conditionall in their beginnings, but Why positive pro- they are supposed to end positive missory Oaths mu and absolute ones; even so there table.) are none to positive and absolute in their beginnings, but are supposed to have some tacite conditions adhering to them. In pura obligatione dies tacitus sive Legalis subintelligitur. l. interdum 73. l. continuus 137. Sect. cum ita. l. eum qui calen. 4. Sect. quotiens ff. de ver. obli: All our engagements and actions lye open to the controlement and exceptions which may be made by Law, equity, the right of a third person, the permission of God.

God, and the like. For example, King Solomon forgave Adonijab his usurping the Crowne from him, on condition that wickednesse should not be afterwards found in him: after this Bath/heba came to petition ; 1 King.? Solomon in his behalfe, and he positively pro- 1.52. mis d her that he would not say her nay: she upon this demands Adonijah might marry Abishag 5 1 Reg. 2.7 one of K. Davids concubines: Solomon in- 20.21.22 } stead of making good his absolute promise, swore Adonijah should dye. Although there was an evident contradiction betwixt the termes of Solomons Promise and his Oath, yet he broke not his Promise; because in his positive grant there was included a tacite condition, which ought to be as valuable as any thing exprest, viz, that Adonijah should attempt nothing unlawfull according to his former pardon; But it was treason for any man to attempt the Concubines of the deceased King, besides the fuccessour, for feare of occasioning divisions, 2 Sam. 12. 8. 16. 21. David swore, and with an obligation 5 18am ? of a curle on himselfe. That he would destroy \ 23.25 } Nabals house, which Cath as he kept it not, so he did not breake it, because it had never any tye on him, for equity made just exception against it, The same is to be faid of those who vow or sweare something but are not any wayes in their owne powers, and confequently polfesse nothing for themselves, as the wife or the daughter, whole Caths are justly reclain'd by husband or father, Num. 30. 4 &c. But above all it is observable, that God himselfe doth oft contrary to what he absolutely declares, yet without infringing either his constancy or his verity; because in all peremptory comminations there is yet a supposition of his grace and favour. Hence Abrahams lervant, Gen. 24. 27. said God had not left his Master destitute of his mercy and truth, which usually in God goe together.

Obj. But out of the words of Balaam its \ Numb. 23. \ objected, that God is not as man, that he \ ver 19. \ \ should lye, nor as the sonne of man, that he should change or repent. Therefore hath the Lord said it and shall

shall he not do it? Hath he spoke it and shall hee not

make it good?

Ans. I answer, that God is not as man, that he should lightly change, no nor change at all, if it certainly appeare that his decree be absolute; but that doth not alwayes so, if we will Judge by his words. God declared to Adam, that the day he should eat of the forbidden fruit he should surely dye, and yet after he had eaten of it, God permitted him to live almost 1000, years. But here some reply, That by the words, Thou shalt surely dye that day, Godunderstood that Adam that day should begin to be mortall, but not actually dye. To which I answer, that this is of no necessary consequence; For God threat-5 Gen. 20. 7 ned Abimelech and Ezechiah in the same Smanner, but no wayes in that sense, as being persons as much naturally mortall before Gods threat as they were afterwards. To Hezekiah God said, 516a 38. I that he should dye of his present sicknesse, yet I God afterwards affured him that he would adde yet fifteene years to his life, because he had seene his teares, and heard his prayer; which it seemes by the effect was the tacite condition prevailing with God, to alter his politive resolution and threat. The like also is observable in Gods decree against Ninivel, which was Slonah ? not destroyed after forty daves; For God re-23- 10. Spented of what he had faid, because they repented.

They who wrought in the Vineyard but one houre, received as much as they who wrought all day; for in that unequall distribution, the I ord did no wrong to any other in giving what he would only out of his owne: In like manner, God in remitting a forfeit or a punishment due to himselfe from another, doth not an act of injustice, because he still disposes of his owne. Punishment is not a debt from the party injured to the party injuring, but quite contrary from the injuring to the injured as a satisfaction, in which sence the offender when he is punished, is rightly said, Dare panas scilicet injuriam passo If this were otherwise, then there were no place at all for Clemency

celsaria plane est, clementia este desinit: And if there were no clemency after we have sorfeited our selves, then consequently were there no place for Prayer in Exo.32. Religion; and Moyses had in vain strugled 10. 14. S with God, that he would not consume the Israelites after he had declared so to do. Mercy therefore is not opposite to justice but a part of it, I lohn 1. 9. God is faithfull and just to forgive, Psal. 71. 1, 2. In thee, O 1 ord, have I put my trust, deliver me in thy righteousnesse. All which appeares most clearly; Ier. 18. 7, 8, 9, 10. Ezec. 33. 13, 14. Thus Princes by their prerogatives pardon criminals, and like God here preserve those whom they might justly destroy.

3. Cut of all this therefore it followes, That as promises may be absolute or upon supposition (in which the obligation is founded on a condition) so may

oathes be.

4. As a promise is not alwayes of those things to which we were oblig'd before the promise, but of those things onely which may be done without sinne, viz. of things lawfull and in our right of disposing, or of things which hinder not our encrease in piety and goodnesse (to which we are in a higher obligation bound to God both body and soule) even so oaths may be of all these, otherwise scelus esset sides, as Herods was, to kill sohn Baptist.

5. As we cannot effect, so we cannot positively promise nor sweare impossibilities. Things which though they may be done, yet not by us; or things which are

in a future uncertainty.

6. Hee who fulfils not his promife to him, who will not have it fulfill'd, breaks it not: so in the like case hee breaks not his oath. The ground of this is, because we have not a right of making others keep what is their own in property, longer then they will themselves. This is the foundation of the dispensation of oaths: which the Doctours even of our Vniversities (as well as others elsewhere) give every yeare to those who re-

the debtour so long as the creditour will have it a debt; but it is not a duty alwayes in the creditour to receive it from the debtour: For acceptilation is equivalent to payment. As in the others breaking a conditionate promise or league, a man is freed from the obligations on his part; so in the same case a man is freed from his oath.

7. As a man cannot peremptorily promise a future uncertainty, lo neither can he assure himselfe of that which past before he was extant in nature; and therefore cannot safety affert or sweare the evidence or certainty of that which was before he had any knowledge or being. Hence though possibly the Catholique Religion was not long agoe establish't and profest in England, yet I cannot sweare it was so, without sinning, because I have onely a morall or probable certainty of it (not an infallible one) viz. the Tradition of man or 50b. Thearefav. And (to prevent an objection) though LAn. I none of this age law Christ or any of his Miraeles, yet the certainty of them is not to be doubted of, as of the other; because even at this day we have Gods infallible spirit, which still gives testimony both of him and of his miracles, according as they are let down in his word.

8. This case shewes us the dangerousnesse of those affertory oaths, which require us to swear possitively, that such or such a Polemicall thing or opinion is true, which may seeme clearly so to the learned contrivers of those oaths, but not to others. The thought of the mind is only reserved to God, who onely can be sure of it: It is that which doth another man neither good nor harme, unlesse it be communicated, which indeed may be forbid by oath, because in the communication of it there is externall action. Twere happy wee had as infallible means for our opinions in all divine and civil matters, as we have in our own matters of fact: but if wee think not aright in those matters, it is no fault nor fraud in us; it is a desect of our understandings, which wee

cannot possibly belp, not an act of our wills.

9. In an oath made to a Pirateor a Tyrant wee contract with Godhimselfelikewise. And if it be concerning things in our power and lawfull (that is in things which no man hath so much right to dispose of, as our selves) then wee are bound to make the oath good. Neither is it difficult for us to comprehend, how there may bee an obligation in us to give that to another, which he may have no strict right to demand, as in debito gratiae, in doing one good office for another. And if this were otherwise, then the most solemn and religiouses thing in the world, would have no sense nor effect. Nam ut non tenearis, nist ipse nolis, non est de natura Iuramenti.

Out of this we may examine and conclude two things

concerning the persons who give the oathes.

Quest. 1. Whether they be our lawfull Superiours or no? for some think no oath can be lawfully taken, but from a

lawfull power. To this I answer,

Ans. That Saint Paul in the forementioned chapters swore, not constrain d by any legall formall power, just or unjust, but mov'd by the just nesses of the matter which heasserted. If there be a peccancy in the matter contrary to the right of a third person, the Magistrates title and supremacy cannot warrant it; and if there be no peccancy in the matter, but only in the title of the Magistrate, then necessity which is the Casar of Casars, and which admits an appeal above them (as hath been largly proved in the first part) is our Magistrate, and warrants our oaths as well as such promises.

Quest, 2. What if the powers above us swear us to such things as may be good, but which afterwards we

cannot actually accomplish?

ans. If the deficiencie proceed from a decay of those powers, and that we contributed nothing to it, then we are free: nam qui non est rausa causa non est causa causati. Secondly, If the good things sworne be of Religion and the promoting of piety, and that by the means of the power which swore us, then if that authority faile, wee

way, and we are left onely to that obligation of Precepts which tied us sufficiently to advance piety and Gods worship before we swore. In this case we owe nothing to the Magistrate for the matter of the oath, but to God who hath here fus tertiae personae ut partis testis. For we are originally bound in all things to serve him with all our hearts and soules to our lives end. In modo promovendi pietatem, we are more intensively oblig'd by oath to cooperate with the Magistrate, and if hee on his part faile wilfully or negligently, at his owne perill be it, for we are thereby dispensive from the tie of the oath that condition failing.

CHAP. IV.

In whose sense is a Promissory oath to bee taken and kept, either in the givers or takers sense?

Answer that it is to betaken and made good in the Administrers sense (whole oath I suppose it be) or as the swearer conceives it understood commonly by of thers. For it is a Promile or contract of transferring fornething to another, viz. to the first propounder; and therefore in it we do but follow his will or mindeas the principle, to which the words relate. Suppose I voluntarily promife a man fomething absolutely or without delay, and he conceives but upon condition, I am notwithstanding obliged to give it him immediately; Because all the Obligation which is, comes from my mind, of which the declared fense was, to give without delay: So if I promile 20. and he conceives but 10. I am by my owne will, and the faith of a man, obliged to 20. which was the principall and declared fenie. In In like manner, if I know the Propounders mind and meaning in his Cath, and sweare in those words which properly signifie his mind, I stand bound to his sense; because my sense tells me, that such or such was his sense. It is a mans thought within, more then his words without, which are to be considered:

Deos qui rogat ille facit. Mart.

Because internall Acts (such as are the thought and will of the mind) are not visible by the eye; Yet something is certainly to be determined, that so the religiousest and strictest Obligation in the world might not be

without its effect

The measure of interpreting, is the Collection of a mans mind by probable signes; which signes are either words, or other probable conjectures. In case there bee no signe which might shew us the contrary, then words are to be taken in a plaine common sense, and not too much Grammatically: And therefore the Romans (as others likewise have observed) dealt treacherously with Antiochus, to whom when they were to give halfe such a number of Ships, they divided each Ship in two: In which, tho pars dimidia navium were true Grammar Latine in that divided sense; yet all the world could not understand it, but of whole Ships.

Thus when we speake of Law, we use the termes of Mens Legis, though indeed it have nothing but words; And we say, Summum jus may be summa injuria, which is impossible according to its words. All this shews, that it is the meaning of the Principals mind, and not bare words which specifie the things in question. And seeing all Oaths are composed of words, and that wee have found what must be the sence of them; I shall examine what Obligation they have in the Societies of

men.

I

r

I

n ve es

n,

ed In

Of the Obligation of Words.

TEaven and Earth shall passe away sooner then Gods Word; the reason is, because it made both Heaven and Earth, and the cause is alwayes nobler then its effect. All change argues imperfection, and therefore Gods Language is alwayes the same: But man is imperfect both in his understanding and will, and so confequently in the fignes of both: His habit, manners. Lawes and Words derive his Pedegree from Babell and confusion. However, though all Countries vastly differ in the expressions or signes (which are the signistications) of their minds, yet they all agree in the same fence of the fame thing: Two and two in all Countries make foure, though two and two signifie foure but in one Country. Wherefore every Country is obliged to communicate in its own words, according as they are popularly, not privately understood by them.

Quest. But how are they obliged to that?

their particular I awes. For that power which makes a Law, makes a Word, and that which abrogates a I aw, takes away a Word: Custome makes a Law, and custome makes a Word: Yea, I aws themselves are the vaincs things in the world for Government, if we have not an Cobligation one upon another, whereby we are assured of the publique sence of the words, which every one is to practice those Lawes in.

If words change, we are to accompany their change, as we would accompany the Society in which, and by which they are changed: But vet wee are alwayes to keepe to the same sense, which is the end of all words: Insomuch that if by old Tenure my Ancestours were obliged to suraish to the Land-Lord so many Oxen

yearly.

yearly, and that by change of time the word Oxe should now signifie per haps a Fly, yet I am obliged to pay in that which was meant by a Fly at such a time, which was then an Oxe: I put this plaine case, because in other Tongues there hath de facto been as strange, yea stranger changes of words; witnesse Lupa & lupanar, &c.

It were needlesse to speake further concerning the Legislative power of making Words, the reason of it is so cleare. and every where in practice: I shall onely observe that the Romans, even out of Italy obliged the Countries where they had their Legions, to follicite their affaires, and communicate with them in the Latine Tongue which gave them their I awes: For it leemed some kind of subjection for the Governing party to apply it selfe to those whom it Commanded. Hence French, Spanish, and English also, retaine a mixture of Latine words rothis very day. Thus the Pope pretending now to the Supreme power and Jurisdiction in Religion, sets those of his Jurisdiction (in severall Countries) the Language of their Religion: And were it not, but that I consider Horace lived when all this was practifed, I should much more have admired his profound determination of this Question in his Ara Poetica.

Quem penes arbitrium est, o jus o norma loquendi.

The consequence of all this shewes us what an unnaturall and unlawfull thing it is for a man to fallifie
his words, to tell a lye, to use mentall reservations. ex
subticentia, or equivocations, ex amphibologia, which
makes a martyrdome folly, and leagues and contracts of
no assurance. This therefore was originally the act of
the first Law-breaker, who therefore is called the father of lyes, as God is called, I am that I am, the Father
of Truth, in all his words.

Levit. 19. 11. Te shall not deale falsly, neither bye one to another; for (Eph. 4.25.) Te are members one of another. Gal. 2.11, 12, 13. Peter is severely reprehended by Paul for dissembling, out of a feare of those of the Circumci-

y

0

y.

son. Here he who was at all perils to professe truth, pro-

fest lying, for he intended to deceive.

Ob. It is objected, That he who equivocates tells an untruth, not to deceive another, but to preserve himselfer.

5 Of Equi- ? Answ. I answer, That he who thinks o-Evocation. Stherwise then hee speakes, deceives, and intends to deceive. For he tells his thought to another, different from what his owne heart tells it him, that lo he might beget that opinion in the mind of another, which is to deceive, and to elude: To say hee doth it only to preserve himselfe, reaches not the difficulty; for though that be his primary end, yet bis Intermediate end is to do it by deceiving. Let him allow the same liberty of plea to one who hath robbed him, and he shall presently heare the Theefe lay, That his end in that Action was onely to preferve himselfe, not to wrong him: Which yet he his Adversary will not take for a justification. No evill therefore is to be done, that any good may come of it, Rom. 3. 8. otherwise the Devill would be as a con-cause co-operative with God, and collaterall, not opposite, to him. Truth and Falshood are parts of Iustice and injustice, which have relation to our Neighbour ad extra; and therefore are not to be estimated according to that which is feigned, retiredly within in the mind, but which flowes from the Tongue in known and intelligible words;

For the mouth of an honest man is in his heart, and the heart of a knave is in his mouth. But it is to be well observed, That he who tels not all the truth tels not a lye; which was Abrahams case, Gen. 20. 2. when he said no more of Sarah to Abimelech but that she was his Sister. That of St. Paul's pretending to be a Pharisee, Act. 23. 6,7. after his being an Apostle, is a little more intricate, because in executing an Office, especially such a one as consists in an upright witnessing a Truth, and such a Truth as immediately relates to the Interest of Gods glory, and not of our own, and which ought to be

done in season and out of season, (as St Paul himselfe faith) there we ought to be most peremptory and unmaskt, Exod. 23. 13. Elish : permitted Naaman to live in an idolatrous country, on condition that he testified his dislike. 2 King. 5. 18, 19. However St Paul struck not in with the Pharifees against the Sadduces upon a Contradiction, though in that dangerous Riothe would not declare upon what ground he beleeved the Resurrection. To passe a dangerous Wood safely, it is sometimes lawfull to put on such skins as the Beasts have which haunt those Woods; but not such as Apuleius his Theese put on to deceive and rob men. Nay, those words which are not in themselves true, are not alwayes lies; For they are directed to a Morall and to a pious end, and therefore by that intention are not contrived to deceive or abuse. Of this fort were our Saviours divine Parables, the Story of Dives and Lazarus (for fingers are not in Heaven, nor tongues in Hell,) and of the Prodigall Child. Likewise of Jothams Trees going to chuse a King, Iudg. 9. 8. And of the poore mans onely Ewe, which the Prophet Nathan affured King David was forcibly killed by a rich man.

Having therefore thus throughly (as I conceive) examined the nature of Oaths, I shall speake to the particular cases wherein they are or may be practised: and in the first place, I shall speake of the Leagues and Oaths which passe betwixt Prince and Prince; that so we seeing how farre they conceive themselves mutually oblig dby Oaths, may the easilier guesse at the Nature and

obligation of our own Oaths to them.

CHAP. VI.

Concerning those Oaths which passe betwixt Prince and Prince.

Some dispute, Whether as Kings can absolve their subjects from their oaths to them, so whether they

can absolve themselves from their owne oaths. The grandsubtilty of this is, because the acts of supreme Princes are not contrary to, but above all Law: and they are done in places where they are not subject to any superiour jurisdiction. For if they be acts done at home, then it is cleare they are above their subjects: If abroad by any Proxies, then its clearer that their persons are lesse subject. But because they have promissorily transfer'd rights to others, and that God is in the obligation, and that all persons and places are alike subject unto him, as he is King of Kings; therefore such Princes are oblig'd as much as others, in foro interno, (of which jurisdiction all Oaths are) though not in for o externo. The former descriptions of the nature of oaths are sufficient for the further proofe of this, and therefore it would be needlesse to enlarge upon it.

If oaths be made by Princes to Princes in their Princely capacities, but that those Princes are in their Principalities oblig'd to Lawes, then their Lawes being above them (in regard they stand oblig'd to them) their persons are onely conditions of their oaths, whereby it is manifested, onely with whom they contracted, viz. with the communities whereof they are the first and su-

premest Officers.

If Oaths and Leagues be made betwixt Princes who were above their Lawes, but that the qualities of their persons be afterwards changed, which qualities formerly were as conditions moving to the Oaths and Contracts, then the whole obligation ceases betwixt them. This case is specified above, in the Oaths and Treaties which lately past betwixt our King, the King of Spaine, and the now King of Portugall; as if our King stood obliged to the King of Spaine, only for so much as he should be able to hold in his Possession.

Thus much briefly concerning Oaths betwixt Prince and Prince. Now I shall speake concerning Princes Oaths to the People, especially concerning Coronation-oaths: and afterwards I shall speake concerning sub-

jects Oaths to their Princes.

CHAP.

CHAP. VII.

Concerning Princes Oaths to the People, and of Coronation-Oaths.

- § 1. How Princes thinking to keep those Oathes, may breake them.
- 2. Of State Oaths.
- 3. The Oaths and State-acts of Communities in Ages past, are the acts of the present Communities, if there were no intervening fundamental! Change.
- 4. How a man may justly change his will.
- 5. In what sence Com nunities change not.

VSually in all places Kings at their Coronations Iweare, That they will keepe, and see kept?, all the Lawes which they finde at their entrance, and that they

will not innovate and change any thing in them.

Sett. 1. Though this seeme very candid, yet I say there is nothing which can sooner ruine a State, then Princes rigid keeping, or carelesse breaking such Oaths. If they hold to their naked termes, then there can never be any good reformation: For that usually requires the taking away of some Lawes: And a power of abolishing some old Lawes, is as essentiall to Government, as the making of new. Thus also if they care not for keeping their Lawes at all, then they may take away the good lawes as well as the bad; and so there is tyranny in both. What is then to be done?

Sett. 2. I conceive that all such Oaths, as they are of matter of State & Government, so They are to be interpreted according to the Tacite Conditions and F 3 foundations

66 foundations of Government, of which, The preservation of the community is the chiefest. And because the dangers of a State can never be all foreleen by any company of men, by reason of the infinite circumstanges of humane actions and accidents, therefore they cannot be all caution d in Positive Lawes; Nam optimis rebus sua semper adstant pericula aut adnascuntur, which as Plautus saith, nobis ancillant quasi scaphæ. Those I awes for this reason, are said to be made cum sensu humanæ imbecillitatis, and to last no longer then they may bee for the good of the community who gave them their being to no other end. So that we may well fay, those Magistrates who obstinately hold thus to their Oaths, break them. For thinking to save the law in its letter and words, they may lote and ruine their states and lawes, by not keeping to the originall sense of them, further then which they cannot goe: Wherefore each Community hath a liberty of excepting those future cases from the rigour of their laws, which in all probability they who first made the laws would have excepted, if they could have had certaine knowledge of them, when they were making the faid laws.

Obj. But it may be objected, That we have not such a liberty in others acts as in our own, nor yet have we liberty in all our own. For an act once past, is in faith to be

kept, especially if an oath be fast ned to it, &c.

Ans. Sect. 3. To this I answer, That it is ill supposed that the Legislative acts of former ages are not ours: For we are still the same society or body politique, which dyes not, no sundamentall change intervening: Though the particular persons of past ages be no more, yet the society is the same; just as the Rhine is the same river it was at the beginning, though its waters still runne away, and are every moment buried in the Sea.

When our actions are for our selves (and not in making over some rights to others) then wee have alwayes a Naturall liberty to dispose of our actions for our selves, as we shall at any time finde fitting. The body Politique can be considered but as one particular person, and what it acts is usually for it selfe: and so in that consequence hath a liberty of doing or undoing what it shall finde

best corresponding with its particular interest.

will in the disposing of what is his own, so long as hee lives, and the last will invalids all the former: and hath not a body Politique as large a privilege, which is the fountaine of all privileges? And indeed how is it imaginable that a man hath power to bind or shackle himtelse, or that a Community can force an obligation upon it selfe? seeing it is neither superiour nor inferiour to it selfe. Surely there is a better way for remedying an inconvenience, then for a man to obblige himselfe to continue in it.

5. Neither can a Community bee properly faid to change: Because it still holds to the same end, viz The preservation of the whole. 'Tis a true maxim, que ad finem ducunt in materia morali estimationem habent a fine; take the casethus: The generall end of a Pilote is to fave, not to cast away the ship committed to him: his obligation is to bring it fafely into such or such a port: If the lands change the channell, then he is oblig'd to quithis old course, and to change it according to the change of the fands; but yet all this is not with the change of the generall end. Thus though he be oblig'd to preserve the goods for the benefit of those who committed the ship to him, yet in a tempest, fave the ship, he may not throw over his men, but the faid goods, notwithstanding his obligation to save them. Aurum non valet templum, saith our Saviour, because it serves it: Wherefore the old Priest did very prudently, when hee faw his Temple on fire, to throw all his holy water on it; and when that would not do, to cry for helpe to this his last remedy, cum aqua non pofsum ruina extinguam. Though in this necessity hee pluckt downe the Temple which he was bound to preferve, yet by this meanes he say'd some of the statutes of the Gods, for whom the Temple was built.

F 4

CHAP.

CHAP. VIII. Concerning subjects Oathes to their Princes.

1. Wherefore dangerous to examine supreme rights.

of Monarchy, Aristocracy, and Democracy. No sort of Government which can give alwayes a certaine remedy for an inconvenience of state.

3. Why some Countries more inclin'd geographically to one particular sort of government then to another.

4. Wherefore we are abus'd so much in distributive Iustice, (Rewards and Punishments) as also in the pleasures of sense, riches and honour, for the enjoyments whereof we so vainly terment our selves.

5. Of the power of dominion, and the right of exercising

it specifically in this or those hands.

6. Foure cases wherein subjects are freed from former

Allegiance.

- 7. How a man may take an oath from an unjust invading party, contrary to those oaths which perhaps hee tooke first from the just party, who possibly brake no conditions with him.
- S. Some Kingdomes for the Prince alone and his benefit.

9. Of the Harmony of Oaths.

10. Two wayes of taking opposite oaths.

11. Of the presumptive will of the Prince.

12. Of the conditions of those oaths whereby we are sworne to lose our lives for our Prince.

13. In what sense may a man sweare that he hath the right, whom he knows is in the wrong? Of subscription in the Church

: Church of England.

14. Why Penalties better securities for Princes Rights then Oaths.

tioned, that a Society of State rayell not out into a dissolute multitude. For in confusion there is a rage which reason cannot reclame, and which must be left to calme and settle, as waves do after a tempest, both

upon themselves and of themselves.

Tis confusion arises most out of the reflection which particular men may make on their particular rights and liberties, which perhaps may lawfully belong to them, but are not alwayes convenient for them to have, no more then knives and daggars are for young children or distracted persons. Libertas enim singulorum erit servitus omnium. Besides it takes away all suture intelligence, and breaks a Christall glasse, which can never be peec't againe so finely as it was, but ever after will shew bro-

ken and angry faces.

2. We think our service here very hard, being on every hand expos'd to perpetuall combats, and faine we would meliorate our condition by experimenting whatsoever presents it self first to our pressures, but in vaine: for like men in feavors we may change the sides of the bed, but not our temper. The state of Monarchy Monarchy is of all the rest most excellent, especially when it represents Gods dominion, more in the Justice, then in the singularity of the Governour. But because there is no Prince who is inabled with prudence & goodnes any way fogreat & foveraigne as is his power, therefore hee cannot but commit great errours; and standing on the peoples shoulders, he makes them at last complaine of his weight, and of the loffe of their liberty which is alwayes their defired end. Ari- S Ariffocracy. Stocracy Stands like a moderatour be-2 twixtthe excesses of Kingly and popular power; but this mixture oftentimes produces monsters. The bloudiest commotions that are, happen in this state, though efteem d

efteem'd most temperate, just as the greatest storms are found in the middle region of the Aire, and in those seasons of they ear which are least sharpe. No one part of a state can be strengthned, but by cutting the sinews of another, Sed spoliatis arma supersunt; uve. and impotency representing at the same time both milery & scorn, takes life even in dispaire, and if it cannot be beholden to the rehefe of an Enemy, will make the publique ruines of a Kingdome its grave. Take away Armes and Libertie, and every man is without interest and affection for his Country: Invade his goods, and the fountaine of a treasury is immediately dried, and hee as soone made a beggar; and after these distresses Machiavell saith, He will not lament so much the losse of his publique Parent, as of his private Patrimony. Democracy reduces all to equali-Democracy 3ty, and favours the Liberty of the people Sin every thing: but withall it obliges every man to hold his neighbours hands, it is very short fighted, permits every one in the ship to pretend to the helm, yea in a tempest; through policy it is oft constrain'd to introduce all those desolations which ought to be feared onely from envy, and at lat blindfoldedly gets fuch falls, that it scarce hath force enough remaining to raise it selfe on its legs againe. It hath swing of liberty large enough, but such as is not proper to cure its owne distempers, seeing it is very dangerous for a man (when he may have other choice I to be both patient and Phyfitian to hunfelfe.

Finally, If this supreme power fall into the hands of a heady and of an unconstant multitude, it is lodg'd in a great animall, which cannot be better then in chaines. This is the circle which we so painfully move in without satisfying our desires: And no wonder seeing Nature in every part is sick and distemper'd, and therefore can finderest in no posture. Humane lawes grow out of vices, which makes all governments carry with them the causes of their Corruption, and a complication of their infirmities; and for this reason they are ever destitute of vertue, proportionable to the devotions of our crazie

com-

complexions; just as the statutes of Trajan's gods were disproportion'd to the gate of his Temple, out of which (as Apolodorus told him) they would not be able to get, if hee should at any time stand in need of their assistance.

3. Yet in the midst of these our shiftings and changings we are naturally inclin'd to one fort of government more then to another, and it is observable, both out of the Histories of the former Monarchies, and out of the Moderne state of the world, That the Eastern and hot Countryes which Iye under the course of the Sun, are most dispos'd to the state of Monarchy, as in the large extents of Persia, Turkie, Africa, Peru, and Mexico, in which later quarter of the world, the people who have experimented both, are governed better and more contentedly by the Spaniard or Portuguise, then by the Hollander, who are by fits in the excelles of kindnesse and of cruelty: and as is recorded of the French in Sicily, who one while would bee dancing in the Streets with those whom they had conquered, and presently after would command them upon paine of Death not to stirre out of their dores. But in Europe and so neerer the Pole, Countryes are dispos'd more to Republiques and popular mixtures, temper'd according to fundamental! laws, and the authority of Diets and Senats. Nec totam servitutem pati possunt, nec totam libertatem. Taci. For this reason some vainly say, that the Northen quarter of the world is alwayes more imbroil d in Civill Warre then the Easterne. For though such fundamentall parties in their locieties, seem to confine as it were on a battable ground, where a gap is open to usurpations, and to fnatchings one from another, yet the supremacy and ultimate result of power in such states is so defin'd and lodg'd, that they provide more effectually for the stifling, then for the growth of ambition and tyranny. Seing therefore this tempest which wee live in is likely to be perpetuall, the best way to sleep in it, as our Saviour did in his, is to quiet all within, rather then unnecessarily to dispute any thing without: and

turne to no fort of government which hath not in the very constitution of it a power to wrong us in all the parts of Distributive Justice, Reward, and Punishment.

Ment. Smay wrong us, the power of the government (whatloever it bee) must be above all other powers, and consequently absolute, without redresse or appeale. Magistrates are they of whom it may bee [suve.] rightly said—Qui nolunt occidere quentioned of Rewards. Squam—Posse volunt. As for Rewards,

though the Governours were all like Casars, and had his Kingdoms and ge-

Florus. Sipsa beneficiorum potentia. Besides all this we doe but abuse our selves in presuming of a pleasant condition to be recovered in this life, be it either in Sense, Riches, or Honour: For really pleasure is here softhe p'ea Junattainable, not so much through a sure of Sense. Scivill, as through a Naturall defect, in the disproportions which are betwixt our Appetites,

our Senses, and their objects.

As for that which we so pompoully stile the ravishment of the Senses, or sensible Pleasure, it is onely a present being out of that paine, which troubled us immediatly before; and when that is over, then another presently begins to enter in the place of it. Besides no man I believe yet ever was in the actuall en joyment of to high a content, but he could at the same instant have imagined to himselfe something of the same kind a degree higher; and his missing of this (which in right reason he should not have expected,) indiscreetly breeds his anxiety. The paines of hunger and of Repletion spurre us on to the functions of Life, and Natureaccompanying all our painfull actions with some secret promife of joy, under that charme hides from us what she intends we shall afterwards suffer in our fenies.

V

tl

h

Ia

N T

in

ar fo

be

Py ha

jed

ha be

it c

and

ИП

As for Riches, they consist in things of Riches. without us, and were to be sought after of Riches. bove all things if they brought content, as well as content brings them. If they be for reall uses, then it followes that he who hath not need of so many things as another, is richest of the two: and the sewer things they be which relate to and concerne a man, the lesse trouble afflicts him. Upon the whole we may say of them with Seneca; accipinus peritura perituri.

This reason abates much likewise of Sof Honour. }
the price of Honour; which indeed even Sof Honour. }
in Princes is no better then an abandoning the dominion of their own persons, to take the care of thousands of others: For the wretchedest children in their Nations expect strength and remedies from them in their unjust sufferings; just as the weake Infant doth Physicke in the milke suckt from the Nurses body, which is

weakened thereby:

Nemo eodem tempore assequi potest magnam famam & mag-

nam quietem : Quinctil.

Meane people lye all at the roots of Trees, and enjoy that quiet there, which they who sit alost in the bowes cannot. This made Casar seare Pompey's sate, when hee had got his power and became what Pompey was.

Iam cinis est, & de tam magno restat Achille

Nescio quid parvam quod non bene compleat urnam.
The old Philosophers considering this over-richnesse in our fancies and appetites, yet concluding that God and Nature made no faculties in vaine, rightly sought for selicity and pleasure out of Nature, and beyond the bounds of this jarring world: they were onely unhappy in not discerning the right Object, Christ Jesus; he hath shewn us that which will satisfie, because nor subject to change, nor any way elementary. They who have faith to embrace it, see the world immediately beneath them, and the considerablest commotions in it ordinarily to be but as a wind's blowing of leaves up and downe, in which scusse it matters not which triumps over the other.

In

In the examination therefore of Rights, and of the causes how they are forseited. The samiliar canvassing of these supreme Rights appeares (by what is proved before) to be the dangerousest. For it unloosens the very pins of Government, and so lets all the Frame sall into consussion, and by that into the extremity of dangers. However, because there may be just cause of canvasing the forseiture of those Rights, according to those tacite conditions, which are the ground of the peoples Oaths, as well as of the Princes, therefore I shall briefly set downe what they, who are for strict obedience to Governours, have declared long agoe upon the case, together with their reasons.

But as a ground to all their following suppositions, it will not be amisse to agree first in the competency of the Tribunals from whence their determinations issue. They who derive neither from Prince nor people, but from Jesus Christ, as the immediate dispensers of his my-iteries, interpose with greater assurance then any of another Robe. But because they hitherto have in this differ 'd among themselves as much as any Profession;

other Tribunall to judge of them, and before an intire resignation, to make use of private reasons, to see whether there cannot be a distinction betwixt the Power of dominion, and the right of exercising it specifically by this

or those hands.

The Powers which are, c'erive solely from God, by whom all things live move, and have their being: And because this is a sacred theme, therefore many Divines (or rather Ministers of the holy Order) think the whole question is to be defin'd at their Tribunall by the text of Saint Paul Sout of Aratus and Hessod, the Scriptures doe not solely discover this power of God, but only the power of the new Creation: If it had been otherwise, Saint Paul in stead of appealing to Casar, would have appealed to himselfe, or to his owne order. Therefore the Ministry is not the sole Judge even of this first part of

n

th

cł

(

n

to

If the distinction. The I awyer he only speaks properly to the latter, because it is in jure ad rem, and consists in, and is specified by Contract, and in those tacite conditions which are cleared by the rules of naturals
Equity. Our Druids therefore are not utriusque fori;
neither are they as the Levites, Keepers of those arcana
Indicit & Imperii, which God solely ordered for the
Jewes Common-wealth. The Lawyers therefore best
sinde out the right, and the Ministry best perswade us to
make conscience of it. Thus therefore I enter upon the
particular cases.

6. He who hath sworne Allegiance and fidelity to his Prince, is absolved, and set at liberty, if his Prince abandon his Kingdome. For upon his own act, he hath and will have no right to it; and so farre as he hath no right, his subject can doe him no wrong. Hee who throwes away money (ut in jactumissilium) is no longer owner of it. But it is to be noted, that he who uses not his own will, is not to be supposed to have abandon'd it, as is largelier providin the first part, c. 3. Sect. 5.

Secondly, If a Prince give away, or subject his Kingdome to another Crowne, then he may be resisted in it, notwithstanding any oath: Because the alienation of a Kingdome, is not comprehended in the government of it, and it is his government which is the ground

Thirdly, If a Prince through mad fury or folly, seeke in a hostile way the destruction of this whole Kingdome, then we sowe him nothing by oath. For the will of governing and destroying a Kingdome, is inconsistent with it selfe. Nero virtually declared he would not governe Rome, when he was setting it on fire. Thus the Civill Law permits fathers to disinherit their children, and children to disinherit their fathers, (which the Casuists permit though they swore before never to disinherit one the other) if they have attempted to destroy one the other: for thereby they become unnaturall, and consequently cease to be either father or some, San. pre. 7. Sect. 7.

And this is that which the Law and the Casuists call solutio vinculi per cessationem materiæ, aut mutationem ali quam notabilem factam circa caufam juramenti principalem Sanderson in his Prælec. 7. sec. 7. saith, That the matter of an oath cealeth, and is confumed, when the state of things is so chang'd betwixt the time of swearing and fulfilling, that if at the time of taking the oath, the state and change of things which afterwards follow'd, could have beene then foreseen, the oath would not have been taken at all. It should seeme that this is one Tacite Condition, which is afterwards as effectuall, as if it had been at first exprest, although the oath at the beginning might

have been only in positive termes.

Fourthly, if the Prince have part of the supreme right, and the People the other part, then notwithhanding an oath of Allegiance to him, he may be opposed if he invade the other part of supreme right. And this Grotius faith holds though such a Prince have the power and right of Warre alone; for that is to bee understood saith he of forraigne Warre onely: Nor can we conceive how that party which shares in the supreme right, can be without the right of defending it, infomuch that if the Prince invade the others right, he may (faith he) lose his right by the law of Warre. Supreme right (as is largelier set down in the first part) consists in a capacity of making and abolishing laws, in levying gemerall Taxes, &c.

But all these cases onely shew how wee are absolred in our owne consciences from all oath and contract, when one party forfeits his conditions first, in which the difficulties are not very profound, wherefore now I shall endeavour to shew. How a man may take an oath from an unjust invading party, contrary to those oat' es which perhaps be tooke first from the just party, who possibly brake no conditions with him. This case I take to be almost the utmost of that which can be pur; However I shall see what we

may lav for our lelves in it.

There are some Kingdoms which are considered for the King and his benefitalone: and wee cannot properly

perly call such, Common-Wealths, because there is no Community, neither of Law, nor of any other right, betwixt Prince and People: but as the old Roman flaves, so those subjects, Non babent capita in jure; or as those who were anciently excommunicated, of whom it was faid. That they had Wolves heads, that is men might kill them as pardonably as they might Wolves: Thele likewise had no community or participation of right; Excommunication then being more then a bare putting out of table commons. Such subjects as those, though they be gnaw'a to the bones, and that their bookes of Lawes be but bookes of account for the Princes demanding their whole fortunes, yet they have not the right scarce of a figh: These must bring their Tributes to their Cafar, like the mute fish in the Gospell, and afterwards are as sure as it to pay their lives into the Contribution. For God many times finding some nations grosse'y peccant and obnoxious to his severest Justice, instead of destroying them, gives them up as a prey to another Crowne: Thus were the seven nations; And afterwards Israel it selfe was thus in the hards of Nebuchadnezzar: for repining at whole rigour they were expresly reprehended by God: which particular case is not a generall Argument or consequence (as some argue it) for the manner of Government, and the duty or latitude of subjection in all Kingdoms. The relation betwixt fuch subjects and such Princes, comes not properly into the scope of this discourse: for the more immediate entry of which it is to be confidered by way of objection,

Obj. Sect. 9. That as contradictions are not verifiable. so God who is truth it selse, cannot bee a witnesse to them, unlesse it be to punish them. But seeing in such oaths there is contradiction, How then can we hope to

be justified by God in taking them.

Ans. All that which hath been cleared above, serves mainly to helpe us in this difficultie and to lead us to a true harmony of oaths; which some stretch wildly to finde even in the very termes of opposite oaths, at least by

a secret sense which they say the swearer hath liberty to put on them for himselse, Quasi propositio mixta ex mentali & vocali esset legitima; which opinion is in some manner perhaps resuted above.

10. I conceive but two wayes of taking such oppo-

fite Oaths.

First, When it is in a thing wherein a man may justly presume that the right party for a time releases him of his
former oath or duty to him. This is meant during the War
onely, at which time Usurpers never declare their full intentions, because they are not as yet certaine whether
they shall finally possesse the power whereby they may
be enabled to make good what they pretend; neither can

they forelee what their after necessities may be.

11. Secondly, A man cannot by oath, or any other way be oblig'd further to any power, then to do his utmost in the behalfe thereof? And though the oath for the right magistrate be taken in the strictest terms of undergoing death and danger, yet it is to be understood alwayes conditionally, as most promises are, viz. If the action or passion may be for that Powers or Princes advantage. Let us take the case as we see it practiz'd. In an Army each man is or may be oblig'd by oath to lose his life for the Prince whose Army it is, rather then turn back or avoid any danger; such an oath is call'd Sacramentum militare: This Army after having done its utinost, is beaten, and now the Souldiers can do no more for their Prince then die, which indeed is to do nothing at all, but to cease from ever doing any thing, either for him or themselves. In these streights therefore it is not repugnant to their oath to aske quarter or a new life; and having taken it, they are bound in a new, and a just obligation of fidelity to those whom they were bound to kill few hours before, neither can the Prince expect that by vertue of their former oath to him they should kill any in the place where their quarter was given them. They who live under the full power of the unjust party may be said to take quarter, and to be in the same condition with the former: and so have the liberty to oblige

lige themselves to that which the Prince may now expect from them, viz. to swear to those under whose power they live, that they will not attempt any thing against them.

All that this amounts to, is, That it is Prater non contra prius juramentum; and as the condition which was the ground of this promissory oath is such, that it is impossible for a man in it to advance his parties cause, so is it im-

possible for him to be bound to an impossibility.

13 But what if the usurping power should exact an oath in termes more repugnant to a mans confcience: as, That he shall now sweare not only not to do & Quest. any thing against him, but to do all he can for him, and besides will have him sweere, That the very right of the cause belongs to him, and not to the other party. as in Edward the Fourth, and Richard the Thirds cases, &c. In answer to this I first say, 5 That probably the man call'd to sweare Answ. here, formerly oblig'd himselfe to the other party by oath, but not as if that party positively had a clear right, but that he knew none who had a clearer, and therefore upon the same ground, he may neither sweare action nor positive right to this party; Though my hand trembles to write further of this case, perhaps as much as his would, who should come to sweare it tactis Evangeliis, yet I finde great Doctors who have taught us that which favours oaths in such termes; Notas if they had a Pofitive or Grammaticall sense in them, but that they require only that we should do nothing contrary to the termes of the oaths, or of their sense, which is as much as to say, That though wee know not wherein that parties rights positively confist, vet we take our oaths that we will not do any thing to weaken his pretentions. Though this lense latisfie not the terms of the oath in their rigour, yet those Doctors lay it may satisfie the scope of it, beyond which a man is not oblig d unlesse he will himself. For instance, No man could formerly bee admitted to the Muistry in our Church, unlesse he subscribed first to the Articles, Liturgie, Canons, and Jurisdiction of our

Church: And though there were a great contradiction betwixt the Arminian, Episcopall and Calvinists opinions in the matter to be subscribed, yet they all concurred in this, that they might subscribe in this sense, First, that they meant not to disturbe the peace of the Church for any thing contained either in the Articles, Canons, or Epilcopall Government, whatever their pofitive opinions about them might bee. Secondly, That they thought those in a saveable condition who conform'd to the strict sense of them. And this they conceived was all that was meant by subscription; Witnesse Master Chillingworth, who onely writes so much, but the Doctors and Divinity Professors at Oxford licensed the printing of it, and the Arch-Bishop prefented it to his Majesty, so that it passed an avowd sense both in Church and State.

My Penelties furpations ingaged, they cannot stop the best securify till they have acquired all they aimed ties for Princes at (as he did who because Rubicon was past, Et quia jasta erat alea, could not rest till he had supt in the Capitol) they would finde it a greater security to put a penalty upon those who should question their rights, then to force their subjects to acknowledge their pretensions by this oath. For such an oath may be broke in the very taking of it; and he who seruples not to forseit his oath for seare or interest, will disavow any pretended rights whensever he shall stare in Lubrico.

CHAP. IX.

Whether in the Violent Changes of so many Princes and Governours (as above specified) and after the killing of five Royall heirs and successours, the people who then submitted, broke any Oathes of Supremacy or Allegiance?

- of 1. Why affertory Oathes have no conditions to suspend them from immediate expiration.
- 2. Of Dispensation.

3. Of Absolution.

4. False suppositions for Absolution.

5. Of dispencing.

6. Of the Violation of an Oath.

7. Of the Extinction of an Oath.

- 8. Of the Solemne League and Covenant its Obligation and Extinction.
- 9. Of the Extinction of the Oathes of Allegiance and Supremacy.

10. Wee can sweare nothing simply necessary.

11. Whether the Oath of Supremacy inferrs that of Allegiance.

12. The Negations of supreme right.

- 13. Plenary Possession the condition of Obedience or Allegiance.
- 14. The Oath of Supremacy containes nothing of Postitive right.
 - 15. The Various acceptions of the word Lawfull.

VE have hitherto treated of the tye and making of an oath, now wee will confider the various wayes



wayes of its solution and ending, Wherefore after have ving showne that the Pact or Obligation of a promile, and of a promissory Cath is all one, that the Penalty of it relates onely to our future feare, and to the time of breaking it, not to the time of our holding to it; That tacite conditions are innate to all Promissory Oaths, of which they are the life and death. So that when a man hath endeavoured all his Morall (not his naturall) possibilities for fulfilling such Oaths, and the product comes out different from his fincere intentions, which he had at the beginning, he is absolutely freed; And that the condition of a State Oath is even in the sense of Princes, nothing but plenary possession; I could think that out of all this there hath been eenough fayd to shew how the People of England in those confus d and contradictory changes of Governours and Allegiances, were notwithstanding free from breaking their Oaths either of Supremacy or Allegiance, which I shall now further examine and so conclude this treatise of Caths.

Oaths usually are said to end foure wayes,

Si By Dispensation.

2 Absolution.

3 Extinction.

4 Violation.

In the examination of these we shall briefely call in all that which preceded and which may most glance

from those times upon others which may follow

Sett. 1. But it is to be noted, that none of those foure expirations relate to Assertory Oaths; For they being onely declarations of our meere beliefe of something past, with assurance that we expresse nothing fraudulently, they have nothing of Legals Pact or obligation in them, or of transferring suture rights to others upon them, no more then when a man by the way of a Narrative tells either a truth or a lye.

Those source expirations therefore are only of Promissory Oathes: So that having found their relation wee shall the easier describe their natures.

2. DISPENSATION is when in a Promiffory Cath the Principall voluntarily waves his part of right, after which the promissor is freed from giving what the other will not take, but remits back again,

Because God can wave his part of right in promises, or promissory oathes made to him, therefore if they be made in relation to sacred things, from thence the Pope onely who ownes himselfe to be the very mouth of God, and to have that spirit of his Collectively, which is given to others more diffused y, pretends to dispense from Gods part of right, and thereby to put an end to, if not

fulfill such on Oath with security to the swearers-

3. ABSOLVTION is where two swearers wave nothing voluntarily themselves in their mutuall rights, but a third person which hath no part in rhe Oath, and hath supreme power over, and right in the person or persons swearing, and to whom the swearers have more principall obligations, then they can have to one another, pretends by the interposition of his great Authority to separate and loose both swearers, so as they shall be secure likewise from any penalty mentioned before in their Oaths.

Thus in Numbers 30, the Father could not so properly dispence, as absolve his Childe from the vow: for the Parent had greater right in the Childe then the Childe had in himselfe, or at least in the actions or things which the Childe pretended to do or have. Thus the Pope againe by a supposed vertue of eminent Authority pretends to absolve those who yet would not be untyed, as when he oft undertooke to dissolve the relations betwixt some Kings and some People.

4. But as this hath severall wrong suppositions, so hath it some very repugnant to the right of his Authority. For he supposes two things true, which are ex-

tremely false.

First that all Oaths relate to God, not onely as a witnesse, but also as a party, in a mans giving himselfe up to his wrath by the way of Penalty: whereas God may

be attentively invoked by attellation without imprecacation, to that there is no right to be freed from in such a case.

Secondly, that the Penalty of the Cath due to God is part of the Promise, pact, or tye, and so consequently relates to his right of disposing or dispensing,

5. In dispensation the oath ends by a voluntary cession of the principal's right; In Absolution the authority of a third person intervenes and necessitates both parties

to wave their rights.

6. VIOLATION of an oath puts an end to it, not by a naturall deficiency of the things fworne to, but by a corruption of fraud or force in the parties who swore. This is the laddest conclusion of an Oarh, and is (as it were) a death of Murther, yea of selfe-murther, nay if Credit be given to some Arguments, a stroke of felfe-murther, which likewise reaches thousands others; For in those confusd 24 years above mentioned, when five heires & fucceffours were kild, when one Supremacy and Allegiance was broken, to introduce another, some tay the Peoples consciences then were likewise wounded by the Infidelity of their Superiours, Which because I deny, and from thence affert, that they in those Changes broke no oathes of Supremacy or Allegiance, therefore I palle from the Violation to the Extinction of an Oath, where it shall be particularly handled.

7. EXTINCTION of an Oath is when the things promised, of their owne Nature (or as the C. I aw saith, per majores casus bewils Bian, by extraordinary Accidents and the power of Heaven) receive not so much Change in their relations, as Extinction, consumption, or (as I may say) Annihilation, contrary to the prayers, wishes and sincere wills of the Promissers.

In this wee see that the deficiency or corruption of possible things without us, excuses, when there is no defect or corruption of our wills within us: so that such an Cath cannot be kept from expiring, though it may be from Violation, and I may say, that it goes out like fire, by extinction rather then by corruption. The act

of swearing addes nothing somuch to the duration of bligation, as the consistency of the things sworne doth.

8. Two examples for this extinction may be given: but first of that which happens in Leagues or Covenants made betwixt supreme Powers of severall Nations, and so as the People of each are likewise sworne to co-operate conjointly, but yet respectively by the interposition of their severall Magistrates, by virtue of whose authority they passe (for somuch as is exprest) into Vnion one with another, and into action against others. This I confesse is a rare Case, and such as perhaps History can hardly paralell with that which was lately thus compacted betwixt the Nations of England and Scotland.

Their Parliaments have had great disputes about the interpretation, & extent of this League, all which Controversies might compendiously be reduc'd into this single one of Supremacy, Who had the right of Judging the other, or of sentencing the bare Neglecters of the League Nationally, with the Penalty of warre, seing no such things were in terminis authorized in the League, and that the breakers of it were lest where they were found, viz. in the presence of God to whose Iudgement day they remitted the sentence of all after-fraud, and supposed the Cases of warre the same in, and after the League, as were before it, and as are defind for all the world in the Lawes of Nations.

How dubious soever the dispute may be betwixt such publique Parties, yet source grosse contradictions were very evident to most men, out of which private men who swore it, may now conclude themselves in nocent-

ly freed from it.

First, that whilst the Scots argued the breach of Covenant here, they really broke it themselves according to the sense of their owne Principles, by dividing the King from agreement here, and the People one from another, and by invading the whole Nation it selfe afterwards. This was a breach so farre from being dubious

bious, that all the Ministers of Scotland oblige the en-

gagers to confesse it publiquely in their Churches.

Secondly, the same Covenanters say, That a Violation of the Kings Person or rights was indispensably against Covenant; yet they grounded their warre, and all the Accidents of it, on Covenant. And if by any of them the King had been kild either in Field or City, before the satisfaction they calld for, his death had been violent, yet no Covenant had been broken, neither should they who had slaine him, have answered for his blood,

Thir dly they say, that change of Government is a breach of League, & yet with them the Covenant is the supremest sanction that ever was made in a State, yet made without a King; and is to be offerd, even to their. Kings and others, as the first thing which determins all other rights grounded on former Lawes; It changes the relation and station of Persons, grounds of Policy and Oathes of Supremacy and Allegiance, and calls for Lives and Estates to preserve the Covenanters in any forme, and in any opposition against any Persons. As it was made, so it is established without Kings and against Kings. The Kirke by their Declaration of the 27. July 1649, state the supremacy of England in Scotland, requiring p. 11. 12, That their King after his Coronation affure them under his Hand and Seale, That Le will establish Presbytery, the Directory, Confession, and Catechisme, as approved by their Kirk, in all his Dominions, and that he will never endeavour any change thereof.

The fourth and last Contradictions is, that seeing the Covenant hath beene more then fraudulently broke by that Nation, so that the obligation to the Covenant qua Covenant or Nationall Pact is dissolved; and that we all crants that Nationall League ought to be observed in all points conjunctively, otherwise the Violation of one part puts an end to the whole, as he who in Gods Covenant breaks one Commandement breaks all, yet sither through passion or policy, they would have those who took it in private places and callings understand

that they are eternally tyed to it.

Out of these Contradictions one truth comes clearly, That this oath or I eague of the Nations is at an end; and the Magistracy of which Nation soever it was that guiltily broke it, and put an end to it by Corruption, I am sure the people of private callings who tooke it, are thereby innocently discharged from it as a thing dead to them by the way of Extinction, and which they could not hinder by reason of their private Capacities. Just as 30. Num. 16. where the Child vowed and swore the same thing with the Parent, but was free from breaking it, if his father violated it, and would not have it suffill'd; in which case the Father is charged with what was amisse in both.

Now I shall more particularly examine what I before promised in the Violation of an Oath, viz. Whether the people of those twenty four years contradictions of Suprema-

cy and Allegiance, broke either.

9. Here I assert That the people who are for the most part passive, and seldome a Con-cause of their own establishment, though falling into, & necessarily giving way to great changes, yet break no Oaths of Allegiance or Supremacy, what ever the other publique part of the

Kingdome doth as a cause of it.

For both those Cathes are Politicall ties, but with severall knots. That of Supremacy is most Assertory, without obligation or Pact, and is Declaratory only of something past, and therefore is at an end, quatenus Assertory, so soone as said. That of Allegiance is more Promissory, and therefore cannot possibly be without the hazard and expectation of a suture condition to sulfill it. Neither one nor the other are acts of science, because not of things necessary, but the Assertory relating to ancient Compact, is an act of Faith, depending on the Probable evidence of what is past; and the Promissory is an act of Hope, relating to that which is possible and to come.

10. For it is to be well heeded, that we never swear any necessary things in Promissory oathes, because to

sus and our Actions there is nothing necessary. We suppose a continuation of the force and course of Nature, and consequently make the rising of the sun to morrow, necessary; yet there is a great deal of difference in swearing to give Sempronius a hundred pound if the sun rise to morrow, and to give him so much to morrow, if the sun rise to morrow: For he or I may die, or the things in Condition may possibly, though not probably change, &c.

For this reason we may safely say, That if by the apposition of so searful a Penalty in the Oaths, we can secure our selves from traud in the present act of swearing either of those Oathes, that is all we can be secure of: as for the rest which we hope may fulfill one of them, it is neither necessary nor possible for us to reckon up all the Circumstances which might afterwards happen to perplex the futurition of thing promis'd, though it self be possible.

Protection infers publique obedience; In this Chapter it shall be examined, Whether the Oath of Supremacy infers that of Allegiance? which is as much as to say, Whether my swearing or Asserting the particular right of such a Person or Family, obliges me never to give pub-

I que obedience to any buthim or them?

If we will understand Protection to be the same with Supremacy, and Obedience to be the same with Allegiance, then there is the same measure of Inference

from one to the other.

Ob. But it may be objected, That there is as much difference betwixt Protection in that sense, and Supremacy in this, as is betwixt Might and Right: whereas the matter of Right is the only thing in the Oath of Supremacy, and from the Evidence and Unity of that right, we may know our particular Allegiance, the admission of that one right but in one person, being the necessary exclusion of all other pretended rights in all other persons.

Ans. Sett. 12. For answer, I shall first see Negatively what kind of supreme right every one grants this cannot be.

First, it is not a right held out to us by divine or unloubted Evidence.

Secondly, It cannot be a right of Pact, as the people of

this age please to fancy or make it.

Prince or his Predecessours making, unless we can scale up by the degrees of so many preceding persons, as reach directly to the Family which was originall, either in birth or Pact. For I suppose they who so scruple understand right, as it is opposed to Injury, against whom-soever and whensoever: and if so, then no intermediate injury can this way be made a Cause of a clear conscientious right in any other Commanding persons afterwards, no not by the Quantity of time, as is expressely proved in the following chapter of Prescription.

Lastly, it is granted, that such Oaths of Rights cannot oblige to Morall or Naturall impossibilities, such as is to give actuall obedience to none but those (who for ought any mortall man knowes) may never be enabled actually to Command: This were to require a man to subject or put himselfe under one, who is not over him. If that which is declared a right, be not so before, no mans declaration of it as such, can by a missake really make it such to himselfe, nor oblige to the

Consequences of it as such.

Wherefore I say, That Obedience must now be continued upon some other Positive right, which I here seek after, and such a one as will stand a fixt and permanent rule for all lawfull Allegiance or Obedience.

13. We all come thus nere, and confesse that there is a Condition innate to all Promissory Oaths, and by consequence to that of Allegiance; And by the precedent Negatives of right, we can finde it no where but in Plenary possession, which likewise is very much favoured by the intent and scope of the said Oaths.

14. The words of the Oath of Supremacy point only at a Negative right, and shew who hath not supreme right, viz. neither the Pope, nor any forreigner; and the reason of that is cleare, because no forreigner can be presum'd

presum'd to derive a right of family over this People, as others of our own Country and cohabitation may

Those words which relate to the person governing expresse nothing of right, but only of fact, Viz. That we declare him to be the supreme Governour, by which I conceive is meant Possessour. The word Lawfull is used no where in it, save where the present Governour speaks of his lawfull heirs and successours, and not at all concerning his Lawfull Predecessours, to whom he was a successor. King Henry 7. (in the law mentioned at the end of the next Chapter) gives the reason of this, it not being a thing allowable for the People to examine Princes rights, or to informe themselves of the justnesse of their Quarrells. Queen Mary, and Queen Eliz: in their Acts of Recognition, confirme their rights by that only of their Father, higher than which neither of them goe, and mention his Authority as us'd by him, not receiv'd from others in Title. To shew further that this is no contrived interpretation, it is to be noted that when the Oath of Supremacy was reformed (I. Eliz. and 5. Eliz. cap. I.) there was a Proviso relating to Admonitions of 1. Eliz. 1559. concerning the fense in which every man was to take the faid Oath, In which the Queen accepted it as Iworne in opposition only to forreigne pretensions, and (as the words are) due to Kings and Queens possessours of the Crowne.

It were repugnant to that maine Politicall condition of Government, if in an Oath of Supremacy or Allegiance it should be inserted, That the present Governours right should be acknowledged only as received from

bis lawfull predecessours.

15. Wherefore Lawfull in King Henry the 7th time, fignified no more then the supremacy of the Kings power being in possession. In Queen Maries Oath of Allegiance, the word Lawfull was applyed only to Heirs and Successions, and signifyed only a Linealland Naturall Legitimation in respect of the private marriage of her Parents, as those successors were no bastards;

not lawfull Civilly, in regard of their lawfull publique marriage to the Body politique, according to ancient and originall Contract, whereby they the successours appeare to be no issue, either of a Rape, or of those who kill'd any former Husbands, as five heirs and successours were, in the 24. years time here premis'd.

It may be said that the Oath of Allegiance is more peremptory, and swears us to declare the King being

to be the Lawfull and rightfull King, &c.

But seeing the words Lawfull and rightfull here, cannot be understood contrary to the former Negative acception of right, and that Possession is the great Condition for our Obedience or Allegiance, there remaines then no other sence for those words, but that they be understood thus, Viz. That we know none who hath a certainer right ab origine then the King being. And that this right must needs be a comparative right, appears by the validity of that right in supremacy which they say infers this of Allegiance, wherein the present Kings right was immediately put in counterballance to a Forreigners, which was to be ejected as usurped; vid: Act for restor: the Crowne. I. Eliz.

How farre a man hath right to obey those who may not have right to command him, if possess by them, is a question of some reflection here, but shall be treated in

the Additionall Chapters.

Thus much concerning the nature and harmony of oathes. Now it remaines onely to speak to the last difficulty of this second part, which is—

CHAP. X.

Whether upon the issue of a war and the expulsion of a just party a man may law-fully give himselfe up to the finall Allegiance of the unjust party or no?

- \$ 1. The troubles of Warre end not presently with the Warre.
- 2. Wherefore a strict government is necessary for those who conquer in a Civill Warre.
- 3. Wherefore We cannot swear to obey none but such or such Governours.
- 4. When may a man judge such a party or place to be conquered?
- 5. What conquest or acquisition can never be justified.
- 6. Of Ferdinand King of Naples his Cession.
- 7. Of the Society of mankinde.
- 8. The case of places taken on Frontiers.

By a reflexion on that which hath been already examined, this last Question may seeme to be but a casting up into a summe, all that which is gone before. Physicians to adjust their remedies aright, consult the time past, present and suture; and so should we in all these cases, if that which is Civill had but as Uniforme Principles as that which is Naturall. For if a peremptory assent be demanded of us in a matter which hath connexion with the time past, the evidence of that depends only on the credit of ignorant or disguised Histories, and not on any which we durst peremptorily say cannot misinforme us.

Segnius irritant animos demissa per aurem Quam quæ sunt oculis subjecta fidelibus, & quæ

Ipse sibi tradit spestator. Hor.

The time present is governed by the wils of men, which are mysterious one to another, and are so covert and serpentine, that they who sit in Councill together, rarely penetrate one another: As for the future which relates mainly to this Question, that depends solely on his Providence, who never tooke humane reasons into his Counfell, and for the most part produces events con-

trary to, or beyond our hopes and machinations.

Here therefore we mult suppose an unhappy War, as unhappily ended, and according to the variety of humane condition, that the usurping Prince rides now in his Triumph, and that they who follow, if they doe but figh, shall be punisht, as if they intended to be. tray their Countrey; so that now there remaines nothing to be done, lave that Ius dandum'est sceleri. All the Usurpers pretensions are to be avow'd, and nothing is conceal'd which the power of the place is able to make good.

Sect. 1. But though the War be ended, yet its troubles

and miteries doe not to toone cease with it:

Bella movet clipeus, deg; armis arma feruntur. Ovid.

Waves after a tempest are observed to rage, though the winde which raised them be downe, and those refractions do not so quickly unite in a calme peace, as to make the Sea presently become Heavens looking-glasse: Interfecto Vitellio bellum magis desierat, quam pax caperat. Tac.

The Ulurpers resolutions towards the winding up of all, are naturally foriousest; and good reason too; for justice here allows him to be severer for peace, then he was for Warre. That his dangers might not be infinite, he is oblig'd to pull up the feeds of War; otherwise

instead of taking it away, he would but defer it.

2. Civill Warre is naturally more subject to these rigours, then other Warres: Because they who yesterday were Enemies, would be inhabitants alwayes. The conquerour suspects that these will be the first infringers of his new Lawes; the violation of which ought at the beginning to be severeliest censured, as of dangerousest consequence: according to which reason, God himself gave sentence of no lesse then death on a gatherer onely of sticks, immediately after the promulgation of the Sabbath; and Ananias and Saphiras first hypocrisies and mockings of the Holy-Ghost were rewarded with no lesse then suddain death; though now adayes the like be ordinarily done without immediate sentence. Wherefore for these reasons though the Usurper thought not of establishing himselfe in an absolute Jurisdiction, yet at last he will finde himselfe oblig d to secure his conquest by the same meanes he obtained it. And Dido gave Anaas the true reason of the same case.

Res dura & regni novitas me talia cogunt Moliri, & late fines custode tueri. Virg.

3. We should be exceeding happy, if in the midst of these imbroylinents we could now know Gods decree concerning the Princes and Governours which he would have raigne over us, as certainly as the ancients did by his revelation and Prophets. Yet though we know not so much, this we know assuredly, That the great changes of Government happen not by chance, but by order of the most universall cause, which is the fountaine of Dominion. God's Image in Adam by many Divines is understood to have been his Dominion over the Inferiour Creatures of the world, and fincehim we finde that none are call'd God's but Magistrates; For they like God are most known e by Commanding. It's observed that Moses in the first of Gen. names God fimply without any attribute, but in the second Chapter after the Creation was finisht, alwayes calls him Domimus Deus; hereby expressing his right to order, what before he had produced by his efficacy. From hence we can well conceive why God fayes, By me Princes raigne; For the governing Powers which are, are of God. God hath declared that he will chastize and change Princes and Dan. 4.32. ? Governours; and though now we may 2 Pfat. 73. 7. 5 doubt of their Families and persons, yet when

when we see the changes and chastisements, we may be sure they are by Gods order, yea though the invading or succeeding Governours be like Iebu, Nebuchadnezzar, or those who shew us a severe though a secret part of Gods Justice. Wherefore it can be no lesse then sinne in us, or treason against God to swear we will never obey any but this or that Prince, or State, or any but of such a Family, or to think that none other shall raign over us but such. For this depends on God's Providence and Justice, which sets the bounds to the duration of Governours and Governments.

— superat quoniam fortuna, sequamur, Quog vocat vertamus iter. Virg. Quid quisq; vitet nunquam homini satis

Cautum est in Horas — Sed improvisa

Vis rapuit rapietq; gentes. Hor.

We are bound to owne Princes so long as it pleases God to give them the power to command us, and when we see others possess of their powers, we may then say, That the King of Kings hath chang dour Vice-Roys: but then the difficulty will be this.

4. When may a man rightly judge the invadour to be in a

full possession of his conquest?

This is considerable in regard of the time when we are to give our selves up to a new Allegiance: For an Army in a Countrey doth not still possesse it, because in sew dayes it may be repuls'd againe. Thus Hannibal though he quarter d about Rome, yet the fields wherein he quarter'd were sold in the Towne at as high a price as before.

A place therefore is judg'd to be in full possession, First, When it's so held that an other power as great as that which holds it, cannot approach it without great

danger.

Secondly, when there is no probable hopes of recovering it. Thus of a ship (which is a moveable) the possession of twenty source hours is a Title in all Admiralties; because of the improbability of recovering a thing so hard to meet with Ea desinual nostraesse que no-

stram persecutionem & recuperationem effugiunt, & que exsemplis non hobent animum redeundi, ff. de acqui. rer. dom. 1.44. Thus the Jewes were to obey and to pay tribute

to the Romans when Jerulalem was taken.

Thirdly, when the party overcome doth by some acts acknowledge the Invador for the supreme. Thus the Senate could plead no excuse for Casars murther, whom themselves acknowledged supreme after the successe of

his armes.

5. Yet there is one kinde of usurpation, which by no possession or prescription can ever become lawfull, and a Christian can never submit himselfe to it, without wounding his conscience and faith. For it is that which is founded in impiety and blasphemy; and yet would beforet uponus, as by Gods order, and by title of true Religion. Such Invaders as thele though they may succeed one another, yet their succession and possession cannot legitimate any, because no prescription can run against God. As this authority is grounded in the depth of all impiety, it pretending a jurisdiction both in heaven and hell, body and soule, spirituall and temporall rights; so to assist to introduce it into any place, can be no lesse then the height of treason, both against God in heaven, and his Vice-gerents on earth: for thereby it is endeavoured indirectly, and by just consequence to alienate and transferre all Soveraignty to an Idolatrous Prieft; and an assisting to the massacring of those who are not of his Idolatry.

Fourthly, a place is fully possess from the just Prince or State, when they become private persons, and so, subjected to the power of another Magistracy, which the Civill I aw calls, diminutio capitus, or a civill death. In this case they who were formerly their subjects need not now scruple as if they durst not doe this or that, as being ignorant whether their wils dispence them of such or such duties in order to their rights. For it is to be presumed, that the expell'd Princes or Senats wils are, That they would not have them hopelessly begin the miseries of a new consulton for them: It is a

bad

bad way of remedying an evill, by renewing it without any hope of recovery. When the case is thus, they ought to let the people enjoy, Tuta & prasentia, and forget veteraco periculofa. If their subjects were sure of their wils, and as fure, that by their owne powers they could restore them without an universall calamity of their Kingdomes, then their endeavours were more reasonably due to them. We have not now Gods revelation for the particular events of things, wherefore we are excusable if we consider the probability of the meanes: Cur Saviour would not have a Prince begin agwarre with 10000 men against 20000. Besides every thing is more favorable for peace then for warre: And to what purpose is it to take part with those who are as it were dead, against them who are alive? The Law supposes a womans husband to be dead, quando mortua est spes eum revisendi, and for that reason she may then transire ad alia vota, though he be not then naturally dead. And if possession was really the truest evidence to us of their rights, then it is equitable to follow it still, though it be perhaps in a person of more injustice then they were.

0

d

ıt

d

y

n

n

1

e

It

0

1

6. As the goodnesse and prudence of Ferdinand King of Naples was admirable in this case, so I shall faith-

fully translate it, as Guicciardin sets it down.

Charles the eighth of France invaded Italy npon a pretence to the Crowne of Naples; Ferdinand was newly come to the Crowne, but unhappily succeeded two, who by excesse of tyranny utterly disobliged the people, to that though he was a Prince very debonair of himselfe, yet the remembrance the people had of the former mil-governments, together with the cowardile of his Captaines, afforded him no other newes, then the daily losse of Armies, Townes, and of whole Provinces, even to the gates of Naples it telte; whicher Charles was now advanc't without having to much as dilcharg'd a Pistoll. And because Feramana found Naples, and the Countrey behinde it, halte dead already with teare, and ready to revolt, he reloved therefore H 3 net

not to expose them to ruine, by standing out perhaps only a little while for him: Wherefore he summon'd all the Nobility, Gentry, and prime Citizens to wait " on him at his Pallace; to whom when he had be-"wail d first his owne undeferved calamities, and pin "tying those of his subjects, who let themselves be de-"ferred so easily by their fears; he then advis'd them " to fend to the French King for the best conditions "they might get for their owne preservations. And "that they might doe it without any stain of honour, he absolv d them freely of all oath and homage: And "for his owne part told them, that he was resolv'd not " to wrastle with, but to yeeld to his fate, rather then by "trying to stand out after to many losses and misfor-"tunes, to be an occasion of the ruine and pillage of his "Kingdome, which was an effect contrary to that end " for which he defired to be their King. But if the mif-"government of the French should make them desire "his return, that he would indeavor to preserve him-" selfe in Sicily, whence he would willingly return to expose his life for their better condition.

Here was the true duty both of a man, and of a King; year the Action was more then Kingly: For to lay down a Crowne is more Majesticall then to weare it. It is only from glittering Thrones, whence the descent is not easie: Reges enim de tanto culmine non descendant, imo deturbantur. Though other places in the like or worse condition have not so cleare a Declaration of their Princes and Governours wils, yet the same is to be presented from them; rather then that they should occasion a fruitlesse and a calamitous consustion in their behalfs: For he who spits against the wind, spits but in his owne face.

7. VVe speak sometimes of the society of mankinde, and of a morall continuity, as if all the world were to be considered but as one Common-wealth, as indeed it eights to be: But our practice in this belies our reason, especially when we reflect on the distinctions or separations of Kingdomes, and examine by what ground

round of reason Princes pleasures should oblige those who live on each fide of a fimple River (which divides two Crownes) to doe no acts of humanity one for the other. VVe know there is a confanguinity betwixt all men, by which we are bound to keep the faith of men even with heathens as well as with others, moralia enim non tollunt naturalia: so that it is pitty to see some so much libertines, that they thinke they cannot doe a Turke, Tew, Infidell, or any one of a Nation, against which warre is declared, any wrong: whereas the metall of the coine is the fame, though the inscription differ.

8. But to approach nearer to this particular case: Upon frontiers all men are most strictly oblig d to the destruction one of another, according to their severall Allegiances: yetit happens daily, that by fieges and other extremities, Townes are reduced to the jurifdiction of those to whom they were sworne not to submit, but to destroy: This is not all; for upon their surrender they must swear to destroy those whom the day before they were sworne to preserve. In such cases the Prince to whom they were first obliged, releases them of all imaginable duty to him. For he cannot expect that which is impossible for them to doe, viz acts of former Allegiance to him. If afterwards he take any of them, he looks upon them not as travtors or revolters, but such as by the law and necessity of warre, are of the same condition with the rest of his enemies subjects. The reasons which justifie such particular places, justifie a more universall; such as are whole Kingdomes, which are made out of such particular places, and are subject to the same fate and ne cessity of warre, to the same consussions and revolutions of Governments, and so to the same opposite Allegiances.

But I shall conclude this Argument with that prudent law which concluded these contradictions & revolutions in the Person of Hen. 7. as it is recorded by my Lord Bacon in his History, P. 144. That law was rather just then Legall, which did ordaine. That no person which did assist the King for the time being, in armes or otherwise, should

the course of Law or by Act of Parliamet: But if any such Act of Attaindor did happen to be made, it should be voyd and of none effect. For that it was agreeable to reason of State, that the subject should not inquire of the just-nesse of the Kings Title or Quarrell; and it was agreeable to good Conscience that (whatever the fortune of the War were) the Subject should not suffer for his Obedience. The spirit of this Law was wondrous pious and Noble; being in matter of Warre, like the spirit of David in matter of plague, who said, If I have sinned strike me, but what have these sheepe done?

Parce precor Paan, & tu depone sagittas, Nil pueri faciunt, ipsam configite matrem.

After all Titles and arguments both of the sword and of the pen, the King himselse adhered most to this Title of plenary possession. For he had bin long declared an Enemy and a banshed man. And for the entailing of the Crowne, he would not have the Act pen'd by way of Declaration or Recognition of right, nor by a new law or Crdinance, but by a middle way of Establishment, & that under covert and indifferent words, That the inheritance of the Crowne should rest, remaine & abide in him.

And because the King was attainted, the Judges declared. That the imposition of the Crowne takes away all defects and stops in blood, and that from the time the King assumed the Crowne, the fountaine was cleard, and all attaindors and Corruption of blood discharged.

CHAP. II.

Of the Originall of Magistracy and Governments in the State of Nature, Patriark or Genarcha-ship, and in Heroicall seizures.

2. Why we read of Shepheards before Kings.

Dominion

[&]amp; 1. Of the Obscure extraction of the first Kings.

- 3. Dominion or Government why it supposes no actuall Sin.
- 4. The first Magistracy grounded in Nature and Patriarkship which was Monarchy.

5. Subjection to a Magistrate why filiall not servill.

6. Our naturall relation to a particular Magistrate or Magistracy, bow extinct in the confusion of Families.

7. The Civill and Theologicall effects of feare.

8. The prejudice of our Naturall rights and freedome.

9. Inequality of force, that is Potency and Impotency, are naturally now the ground of Dominion and Subjection, as well as naturall extraction was at first.

10. Of the Naturall Heroicall Government.

11. The condition of Naturall power runs even through all States of Civill compact.

12. To attaine personall Security we lose most of our other

reall rights.

13. No people but the Jews were ever assured of their Originall Compacts, or of the right of obeying and Commanding in and after changes and confusions.

14. Nothing of chance in the revolutions of Govern-

ments.

15. In our subordination to God and cooperation one with another we may sweare to endeavour not to effect.

Sect. 1. Ordinary people can hardly believe, that to derive the Pedegrees of Illustrious Princes aright, we must at last redire ad casas, and looke for them as Samuel did for Gods two first Kings, Saul and David, in meane imployments, and in obscure cottages, who certainly came out of them with as much astonishment at the greatnesse they were going to, as we now may be at the obscurity they first came from.

2. Man in general! received commission from the beginning to exercise dominion over all beasts, and creep-



ing things over the whole earth; but nothing is faid ab origine, in what extent either of place, or Power, he shall rule over his owne kind in Generall: For which reason we heare of Shepheards, before we do of Kings or keepers

of men.

3. Although we may fafely fay, government in it selfe supposes not actuall sinne; Because it is onely a power whereby things are kept in order to act conformably to their natures, and that God exercises a government over those who never sinn'd, viz. over the good Angels, of whom some attend us; yet notwithstanding the government of man supposes possibilities of disorder, and provides against it in different wayes, according to our different states of nature and of civill

fociety.

The first Magistracy was grounded in Nature, which shewes that onely he was naturally a true publique Magistrate and Father of his Country, who was a Genarcha or Patriarch, and who evidently ruled over tamilies of his owne extraction, and Cities of his naturall generation. In which tense we understand the fifth Commandement to speak of honouring, which is of reverencing the power of the publique Parent or Magistrate. For it cannot be imagined but God provided for such an important duty, as this of obedience to Magi-Hracy in the Decalogue though it be not named there in terminis; Because he there hath cautioned for acts which cannot be done in the same families, nor by vertue of the fame family-derivation: as against Adultery (which implyes a remotenesse of blood) against stealing and coveting the goods of a neighbour, and restrayning a stranger especially of not bearing false witnesse, which relates to a Magistrate. But by this we have gayn'd a great point.

5. For if we now would know what the Power, Jurisdiction, yea duty of a supreme Magistrate, together with our owne is, we cannot understand that to be other, in the Deca'ogue, then a Paternall, nor our duty there, other then Filial, not servile: That as a City comes

out of private samilies, so the laws of a State or City ought to be modelled out of those which belong to samilies, and he who rules in either hath obligations of care and tendernesse over us, as we are reciprocally bound debito gratitudinis to render the like to him, with submission and feare to grieve his spirit, yea, then when our naturall power shall come to be equall to, or exceed that of the Parents, who ere we be free, (and go out of his family,) may have the supplement of something of Pact, or Promise from us, as usually there was in the Emancipations of servants.

6. He that will make the Magistrate sacreder then this, may at last easily commit Idolatry, and fall downe and worship. But this is not the state which any people now under heaven are in; we are all shuffled and blended together, and they perhaps of the Originall and Capitall families, serve meanly now to those who stept into thrones but yesterday, and are lost in their first obscurity: which not being denyable, the consequence

of it must be this.

7. That we stand not now originally associated to any Magistrate out of naturall duty, but out of mutuall seare, or forecasting how we need not seare, which seare produced Civill compact as it did religions also; According to the Poet——.

Primus in orbe Deus fecit timor.

For we of several families thus depending on our selves (I speake not of the persons in each family relating to it selfe, the sonne not being borne in a free state, but in subjection which he owes for conservation) we had (I say) a right of nature to protect our selves, which supposes, primary allegiance due to our selves, which therefore in marriage obliges a man to leave all Relations to adhere to that of his private samilie. And as for the use of things, which are for our natural suffentation in the world, who can say they were not in this state free likewise and common? which once granted, it will be in vaine to deny but that we had absolute and supreme right alike to our private persons and to all things, and having mutuum jus in both we were sitted for Mutuall com act.

8. But

8. But all this Liberty (upon consideration of peopling the world) was I confesse a great prejudice to us; for hereby we were clearly lest in a state of warre, to make good this naturall free state of the world, which refer'd all to the tryall of force, and not of law, against

which no one could offend.

9. For which reason we rightly oppos'd whom we would, and as rightly submitted to those who were inabled with such a power, as we could not naturally resist, Impotency being the ground of Subjection, as well as Potency is of Dominion; neither can any reason be given wherefore he who could naturally over-power another, should not in this state use his naturall priviledges for taking others into his possession. Omnipotency or Supreme irresistability is the primary reason for the obedience which all things owe to God.

So that Mr. Hobbs his supposition (if there were two Omnipotents, neither would be oblig'd to obey the other) is very pertinent and conclusive to this subject.

10. In those times which Historians call the Heroicall, when Nimrod prov'd the stoutest hunter, and Hercules travelled to tame Monsters or usurpers, the world was in this subjection, and all things were polsest in this Tenure; and I believe few Empires are yet

free from it in the world.

runs through all Governments, is power, which being a quality, may have more or lesse, and so be fair from fixing any thing in one determinate point, place, or person; and when it is exercised against nature and our consents, it may as lawfully be shaken off, as it was imposed; which occasioned many songs upon Hercules his valour and Vertue. Wherfore Compact was judg'd a securer way then mear power for the coalition of societies, and for the rule of Obedience and Subjection, and for distributive, if not for the chiefe part of Commutative Justice, and that State is now the best which needs I ast force to maintaine it, and being fastned to a state by the ligaments of our owne wills, we are clear-

ly restrencht of so much as we concurr'd with others to forgoe, and de sure creaturarum rationalium could forgo.

Security or Protection being here the chief end, it is suppos'd alwayes that we must contribute our obedience and riches so farre as may best conduce to the security both of our owne persons and estates, and of theirs also who command us, without which contributions, it were

not called Society,

12. To attaine this security for our persons, we lose much of our generall rights; every new Law still diminishing some degree of them, by bringing us into a narrower swing of Liberty. But that which perplexes all here is, that seeing we are now forc't only by Accident into the bounds of government (which is various) and that according to the wills of the Originall Compactours (which is a principle no way uniforme but Æquivocall, and now to us of uncertaine evidence) we are hereby in great straights to assure our selves indubitably, how farre we have made our resignation in things lawfull, and to whom we made them, and upon what conditions.

13. All the Divines, States-men, and Lawyers in the world (how peremptory foever) are not able by traditionall learning to shew any evidence hereof so authentiquely and infallibly confign'd to posterity, as to warrant any man to take his oath upon it, or on the consequences of it, without committing a grievous sin; In this point never was any Nation so blest from heaven, as the Jewes, who when they were ready to fall into controversiies, and mistakes like ours, had frequent answers from heaven by Prophets infallibly inspired. When they had a mind to change the Government, to enter into civill warre, to change a Royall Family, to reforme Religion, and to dismember their Kingdome (things which ever were, and still are coincident to all times and states) they presently had a voyce from heaven to assure their actions, and secure their consciences.

14. This we know by Prophecie in generall,

that God as univerfall governour of the world, will till make change of his substituted Vice-Roys, year and of governments: men not moving in that station by chance this way or that way, as Pis-mires doe upon a mole-hill. Nec tanquam tali ex fritillo temere buc illuc volventes; not like dice which come at haphazard out of the box, and runne some higher then another by the same chance. But because there is an O Altitudo in Gods wayes; and that he may use the obliquities of our actions to a good, though secret end (just as we our selves may strike a right stroke, with a stick that is crooked) It therefore concernes us importantly to act alwayes bona side, with good intentions, and sincere endeavours, for so much as concerns our parts and concurrence.

our selves more composedly, if the product of our actions come out either besides, or contrary to, our first intentions; as many times God is pleased so to preordaine, though we know not of it, till it be done.

In this point some State-oathes have been very well advised, obliging only to endeavour, not to effect: for the one relates to us, the other to God; and otherwise, I pray were not this to undertake to prescribe to God; to his will, to his power? were not this rather to force him to our bent, then for us to come under his? and to presume we have as much power over things without us, as over things within us? such as is our fincerity and endeavouring to our power.

Of the Originall of Civill Government in Confusion of Families.

^{§ 1.} How the People are alwayes in Politicall Guardianship.

Why in confounded Families the people must beget their Parent.

Boxhornius his ill division of Succession into Hereditary or Patrimoniall, and lineall or of right of blood.

4. How Princes themselves declare the right of people a right of Politicall Guardianship.

5. How the state of a Common-wealth is alwayes a State

of Guardienship in regard of Priviledge.

6. In the difficulties and confusions which are in jure ad regnum, people had best follow Possession as the best and Orignall Right.

7. The uncertainty of proving ancient and certaine

Titles.

8. We have as little certainty of what was long before

us, as of what will be after us.

9. The difference betwixt those who are naturally incaple of supreme rights, and those who only are Civilly such.

But though it be past contradiction, that there are no infallible records of the actions of the world from the beginning, kept any where safely and certainly but in heaven, so that we here talke but in the darke to the consciences of people, concerning old Originall Compacts, right lines, the certain bounds of Governments pro bic or nunc, and the like; Yet in the midst of these uncertainties we must allow some government, and such as either is, or may be equitable if not lawfull both in respect of the persons commanding, the formes of Government in which they command, and the rules whereby we know them to command, and we to act lawfull things. The two first orderly come into this Chapter, the last belongs to the Chapter of Politicall Justice.

Sect. 1. It is an old and a well reform'd Axiome of Policy, Populus semper est in cura tutela, A State or Kingdome is alwayes in Guardienship not in servitude, and

when it becomes free, it is rather manumitted then emancipated. In the other Chapter I shewed how there may be a naturall generation of a civil State, as in Patriarkship, in which the Father is supposed to be alive: But here we must suppose him to be dead and buried, and that his off-spring are lost one to another.

2. So that in a place where Fathers of Families are confounded together, without such a table of Heraldry as is recorded of the Tribes, when they went to poffesse the Land of Canaan, there a Kingdome is without a father, unlesse we would allow one necessary and happy monstruosity, which is, that the Children beget themselves a father, that is, choose one who should take care of their preservation: But neverthelesse such a place and people are in Curatorship or Guardianthip, and so ever must be, and well it is that they are so: The question only ever was and will be, Who in this Minors expos'd condition ought to execute that office, especially seeing there is no fixt or determinate Tribunall for the severall pretensions which are violently made to it? I answer, no particular man hath any right to it of himselfe. The case stands so here that there cannot possibly be any other Magistrate to appoint this first and supreme Officer of State: It remaines then that none on earth can pretend to it but the Minor, or people themselves; which may the more rationally be allow'd them, because the civill Law comes thus neare, that the Minor though he may not choose, yet he may at least resuse such a Curator as is offer'd him, except in one Case, which is very pertinent, viz. in a Controversie for his rights and estate, and then it is conceiv'd very equitable he should have fome good done for him, even against his own peevissi will. The words of the Law are, Inviti adolescentes curatores non accipiunt præterquam in lite. Inst. Tit. de Curat : Sect. 2.

But this is not the Case of one people alone: For the Duke of Rohan hath left that recorded in his Memoires; that when the Protestants of France had elected him their General against their King, they garrison'd seve-

rall

rall places near their homes, but in remote Provinces from him, which were most of them easily taken by the enemy; Whereupon they highly importun'd him to treat immediately with the King. But he judg'd not that a Conjuncture fit for the reputation of fuch a negotiation, and therefore advis d them rather to take courage, to flight all garrisons but foure, and adventure into the field. For many petty garrisons tooke up many men, and much Ammunition, and would require succours in case of sieges, which for so many, could be but small, and so consequently what ever was sent, would all be loft. Upon this they reproach't him with ambition, that he would make himselfe their King, and that he had his private defignes, all which he patiently digested, till he found a good opportunity. in which he obtained them very advantagious Articles, but concluded this point upon their frowardnesse, That he who will serve the people, must now and then doe them good against their owne wills.

3. Boxhornius in his late Treatise de damnato aut exuto Rege hareditario to provide surely for the succession of the heir, though with never so much prejudice to the Predecessour, or to himselse when he is enter'd, divides the right of succession into two parts, the one into an hereditary or Patrimoniall, which he calls a right of Property to the thing into which one succeeds, and of all which we have right to dispose as we please: the other is into a right of blood, and a lineal succession, only into the place of the Predecessour, and not into his,

but the first Prince his right.

By this he unexpectedly falls into many inconveniences.

First, in justifying no other rights in Princes, but such as derive from the first Prince, of which there is no indubitable proofe: so that no intermediate successours (by his allowance) can acquire any new pretences of right, either for themselves, or for those who are to succeed.

Secondly, that those persons as well as Rights, which

which derive not clearly from the first in compact, can

have no right at all.

Thirdly he makes them all but Curatours, Administratiours, or (as he saith the Civill 1 aw calls them) V sufructuaries, who have no power to alienate any thing.

Fourthly in the trial of that point, he makes the people the judges of the whole, especially) in notoriis, in quibus,

Solennitas & ordo juris non requiritur.

I astly in making the right but Usufructuary, he takes away his supposed right of succession into it; by which he at once levells all that which he so fairely built be-

fore, and for which alone he so much contended,

The words of the law are, Licet V sufructuarius maturis fructibus, nondū tamen perceptis, decesserit, ad hæredes ejus non pertinent, sed domino proprietatis aequiruntur. Instit. lib. 2. tit. I. Sect. 36. That is, although the Ulustructuary should die whilst the fruits permitted to his use are ripe, but not gathered, they belong not to his heire, but to him who hath the property of the Land. And the reason of this law is very obvious, because an usufructuary right is but a personall right, and not a right of persons deri-

ving one from another.

4 But I content my selfe with this, that I finde him fix the right of Government in this principle of Curatorship, which answers so many other Questions and scruples of the peoples rights: And it is not an unpleafant observation to see how Princes declare as much in one anothers Cases; for the King of France in his declaration concerning the Catalonians and Portugalls revolts, acknowledgeth that the people of Spaine have a right of judging the mal-administration of their Kings; and the King of Spain during the time of the Guilards League in France, declared as much for the People of France, that they have a right to judge of their Kings Curatorship: And the King of England by his receiving the late King of Portugalls Embassadors, avowd the change there made by the power and right of the people, just at that time, when his Scottish Subjects began the same Controversie with himselfe here; onely all

of them would be exculd from allowing to much direct-

ly concerning themselves at home.

5. But if we say (as some do) that the State of the Common-wealth is a state of Pupillage in regard of Privilege, and that the Minor cannot make a Contract to his owne prejudice, then we must conclude, that the people may meliorate, and cannot by any Compact deteriorate their condition, and it is alwayes prefum'd for their liberty, when dangers are threatned. Out of this principle it is clear, wherefore it hath alwayes been argued that Salus populi suprema lex. It were cruell and unjust if they who pretend to the Guardian-ship of the Minor, should alwayes be pleading at his cost and damage who hath right unto him: Even to (faith ? Grotius) they were much to be bla-(De jure bel. & Pac. lib. 2. med who would alwayes contend, (cap. 4. Sect. 8.) who should exercise the Curatorship of the people, at the expence of their innocent blood, and

the desolation of the Common-wealth.

6. What then after all can mortall men conclude to themselves, out of these susque deque's of the world, out of its confusions and revolutions, out of the uncerainty of the rights of particular persons, or bounds of Empires and places; out of those various circumstances, wherby we are now cimented, as pieces which accident, and not nature fastens in the same frame (unlesse it be in respect of the society of man-kind whose nature is not to be an Ascriptus glebæ particulari like a Tree or a Rock, which alwayes keepe one place) what (I fay) can we conclude, but that we of the People must be contented with those governours, into whose full possessions it is our destiny to fall? and this now will appeare to be that Originall right, which all of them say we must look up to, in relation to the persons governing. It is held a good Maxim that publique Justice is defined best by the rule of private, and that the Government of a State is but the counterfit of a family, out of which a City derives its being.

7. But it is to be noted that the Originall right where-

by we were first stated in our private rights or goods

was meerely possession.

Princes should not be own'd by this title, according to the consequence of Boxbornius his position, they would hardly run a direct line upwards to any cleerer pretension aboragine: and though possibly there might be originally and truly a clearer, yet they would never be able to plant any certitude of that now in the understandings of other men. Excepting only the matter of sact contained in scripture (for the evidence whereof God hath annext his promise of old, and his sprit now) we have nothing else which is pretended antient, of which we have any certainty. Insomnch that those things which were antiently true to others, to us of this age have onely (as the schoole saith) veritatem propositionis, and we can onely say this of them, that in truth we are told they are true.

But wee know we'l, that bear-fay (being an Equivocall principle) confirms an errour as well as a verity, and we have as little affurance of what was before us, and we can have concerning the futurition of things afterus. According to which ordinary rule we cafily credit their predictions of future events tous, who can divine the fecret accidents of our lives past, which was the Samaritan womans case, who confidently publisht our Saviour a Prophet, because he told her all she had done in her life before. Wherefore I see not how coherently those Divins affirme that the wicked who have nota faving operating faith, may yet have an Historicall, such say they) as the Devilshave: w ch is otherwise. for the Devills have science of that which is to us but History and Tradition. They faw our Saviours miracles, heard his Sermons, and beheld him crucified and therefore may believe, & justly tremble. When therefore the pleas of Titles in severall persons or families, shall be but as the logicians fay, Argumenta ad homines, & that we dare lay no more of them but this, that they are one only as good as another, furely we may be excused if in this case we determine by the rule of Equity, that his is the he best of all, who is in possession.

How then can private men law fully draw calamity on the publique, by disturbing such possessions meerely because they are destitute of an old Originall true Title, especially if the lawfull things commanded, be in themselves alike in the government of both, and alike voyd of

all morall peccancy.

The persons therefore who may acquire power here, are of a double confideration, either such as can have no Vocation at all, but are persons totally and na. tural'y excluded from publique and supreme Command, or elle they are luch as by unlawfull means onely possesse themselves of power, by vertue whereof they do publique Acts of Government. (If the first fort we may understand such as the laws of Nature in its simplicity excluded from superiority; of which some understand all women-kind, it not having beene in Adams power to subject himselte to Eve. When a Queene is married to state who I pray you is then Husband? Can she be taid to have a Husband superiour to her? ff. sen. Cons. Vellei: Sect. 1. Ne pro ullo, nedum pro viris teminæ intercedant. Nam sicut moribus civilia officia adempta sunt fæminis, ita id officium, in quo non solum opera nudumque Ministerium earum vesaretur, sed etiam periculum rei familiaris. Wherefore it is but rationall to conclude, That it a woman may not plead, she may not judge, un'este she be bounded by the conjoint fanctions of those, who participate with her in the supremacy of rights. For this reason we finde in the Act of the Queenes pardon. 50. Eliz. c. 26. She declared their Pardon by a fiction of Law, viz. By her Kingly power. Thus in matters of Religion, Baptisine administred by a woman is not he'd facramentall, and that fex is to be filent in the publique Church. But our Saviout commanded the Jewes to obey the Scribes and Pharifes who had got into Moses his Chair, they teaching that which Moses commanded, and for the rest, that we should beware of their leaven. By which we may see that good things may lawfully be taken from a bad hand; and where

13

it may be without confusion, the good commands one, who hath not the right to a Kingdome, may as well be received as a Forreigners coyne may be into another Iurisdiction; and better I am sure, then his Commands, who commands impious things by a good Title.

CHAP. XIII.

Of the time for Legitimating new Obedience after Confusions.

\$ 1. Of the time for Legitimating new Obedience after Confusions.

2. The difference betwixt Conquest and Victory ..

3. Grotius and Mr. Hobbes arguments examined, whereby they would Oblige people to one standing and Perpetuall Obedience.

4. Freedome given upon a mistake wherefore valid.

5. How by a right of Zaele the people among the Jewes bad a right of punishing without consulting the Magi-Strate.

6. Injuries of private irritations best punisht by a Pub-

lique hand: but Zeale is of Common irritation.

7. Of the woman brought to our Saviour and taken in Adultery.

8. Wherefore the Scripture forbids us so firitily to obey untitled Prophets in the Church: but faith nothing of Iilegall Kings in a State, who may be all obeyed in lawfull things. Act. 20.

9. The end of Government the same in all Governments.

10. King James his opinion of such a Temporall Obedience.

g. r. The

Sect. 1. The time when we are said to be fully polsest and acquir'd to another is a circumsance here much materials for the legitimating our

obedience, or Allegiance.

For there is a great deale of difference betwixt the property of Land which is over-flow'd onely by inundation or torrent, and that which a River enters into, as into a new bed or channell; the property is lost in the one, and becomming publique, but not in the other; Neque inundation fundi speciem commutat, shith the law: and if Titius plant a vine or a Tree belonging to Sempronius in ground of his owne, neither of them are his, till they take roote, at which time the Law saith, they are partes fundi, parts of the Field, Adeo autem ex eo tempore quo radices egerit planta, proprietas ejus commutatur. ff. Tit. de rer. divis, et acquis.

Though never any Prince that I know of (except that one H. 7. above mentioned) ever made any Lawes, but for the perpetuity of our obedience to him, and to the interest of his Title: (Because it would be a diminution of present sacrednesse and Majesty, if we should thinke such could ever dye:) Yet God the onely immortal King hath not left us without an Equity, even when we may be left without this mortal transsent Magistracy; by which Equity all our importantest difficulties receive a secure determination in foro interiori, when the

externall may be quite taken away.

Here therefore I am forc'd to distinguish (and I hope not too nicely) betwixt the over-running and the conquering of a Conntry, and secondly betwixt Conquest and Victory.

The first like a Torrent changes no property nor right, the other doth, if (as Grotius and Mr. Hobbes say) there he a dereliction of command in the person of whom we speak, or if the country be so subdu'd, that the Conquerours can no longer be resisted, and after the subjects have used all their endeavours to oppose such a new power; likewise when subordinate Magistrates are created by the Conquerours, and that Courts of Justice actually dispence Law under them, for the meum and

for the whole land, and permit publique meeting (for the worship of God. After which manner) and in the resignation of which publique rights, T. Livius saith those of Campania anciently fell into the Roman power and jurisdiction.

Populum Campanum urbemque Capuam, agros, delubra deum, divina humanag; omnia in vestram P.C. ditionem dedimus.

2. As for Conquest different from Victory, I conceive that to be properly an Heroicall Title, not upon difference of right, and is a meere subjugation, Though one may have duration as well as the other, yet it hath not its rile from any controversie of right, or of Government, as Victory hath; which controversie when it happens betwixt fundamentall parties, must needs receive its decision and acquiescence in an appeale to the sword, there being no Supreme Tribunall here, to judge of those who are the supremest in the exercise of Jurisdiction.

Conquest therefore is an effect of warre, undertaken both for Dominion, and for the possession of the whole, as when William the Conquerer chang'd the Tenure of publique and private rights: Victory is an effect of war, undertaken onely for Dominion, and the possession of the former power, as it resided in the person or party governing. Thus severall of our other Kings were content to usurpe onely the rights of those who were in Possession of the Crowne, and to give lawes of greater security

to the private rights and possessions of the People.

Though Conquest and Victory thus understood seeme one and the same thing to us of the people, viz. in their effects and plenary possessing us as subject onely to their Dominion, yet none will say, but the difference which is betwixt them in their causes, and in their chiefe effect which is when in a case of Conquest every private man loses his estate) may (I say) worke in us a more inward acquiescence to the one, then to the other, which was the case of this Kingdome in the consuled times of those several Kings above mentioned.

3. Mr. Hobbes and H. Grotius are pleased to argue everall wayes for obliging people to one perpetual and standing

anding Allegiance. Grotius supposes such a fixt Alleciance in a people, because a particular man may give simfelfe up to a private servitude for ever, as among the Tewes and Romans. Mr. Hobbes supposes, that because a man cannot be protected from all civill injuries, unlesse all his rights be totally and irrevocably given up to another, therefore the people are irrevocably and perpetually the Governours.

To these two arguments I answer, that what weight of reason soever they may have at the beginning of a

warre, they fignifie nothing at the end of it.

For both of them suppose the tyes made to those only

who are in possession of us.

Secondly, the case varies againe when we distinguish betwixt a simple and a mixt State, when the supreme power of making and taking a way Lawes lies divided betwixt severall persons deputed for that purpose. The Kings of England were never to great, but they had regni Comites, and the people never lo low, but they shared in the supreme authority of ab-

rogating and making I awes.

4. Thirdly, the yeare of Jubile among the Tewes caution'd for a returne into Liberty; and it was an old Romane Law in the manumission of servants, that if they were once freed upon a cause approved on when they were manumitted, (whether right or wrong it matter'd not) they could not upon that mistake be taken into servitude againe, because Liberty is a favourable Case. Inst: Tit: 6. Sect. 6. semel autem causa manumsionis approbata, five vera five falsa, non retractetur.

5. Fourthly, such a totall refignation of all right and reason, as Mr. Hobbes supposes, is one of our morall impossibilities, and directly opposite to that antient Ius zelotarum among the Jewes, who though they reverenc't their Magistracy, and their Sanbedrim very much, yet they conceiv'd they had a right of judging and punishing acts notoriously contrary to the light of nature and reason, without consulting the formes of either. In which equity Suarez, Vasques and Grotius de

Iur. bel. lib. 3.cap. 19. so farre concurre, that by the light of Nature qui atrociter malesici sunt, neque pars sunt ullins Civitatis, a quovis homine puniri possunt si jus naturæ respiciamus: They who are notoriously impious, and belong not to any Common-wealth, may be punisht by any man whatloever, according to the Lawes of nature: Grot. lib. 2.? One of them only denies, that this I common right of punishing such transgressours, is permitted to any in a State except the Magistrate; because who ever else undertakes to punish another, thereby puts himselfe out of his station of subjection: Besides this right of punishment belongs to the supreme Magistrate, not so much (saith he) because he hath command over others, as that he is subject to none himselfe; which reason (I conceive) only shewes, that such an intire absolute Magistrate may not himtelfe be purisht by any, rather than that we may in no case punish others. As for that other reason, if it hath fuch an obligation upon us by vertue of our Civill State and subjection, then no father might exercise his domestick Justice either on his Children, or on his servants: Neither could it ever have been excusable, that a husband should kill his wife surprized by him in Adultery, or an affaulted person a thiefe, according to an old maxime, In notoriis solennitas & ordo juris non requiritur.

6. How beit, it is but equitable that a publique hand should inflict punishment in case of meum and tuum, and of private injuries; Because in the heat of our personall passions we might be too severe in our owne cases, being both Judges and Parties: but if most of the cases permitted to popular and common punishment among the Jewes, sure Zelotarum, were such as little concern'd the difficulties of Meum and tuum, nor could be of personall irritation more to private, then to publique persons, (as in things evidently against nature, and the glory of God) then the Case evidently changes. Wherefore out of this same principle we finde, Deut. 13. and Lev. 20. that if a Jew in the Jewish Commonwealth

wealth should withdraw another from the worship of the true God, to that of a false, he might immediately be carried out of the City, and be ston'd by the people: the same likewise was allowed against those who were

taken in flagranti, and in unnaturall lusts.

7. From hence the woman taken in Adultery was immediately brought before our Saviour, which they durstnot have done so confidently, if it had been clearly against the right of any other Magistracy; to which our Saviour did not remit them, but shew'd only how unfit they were to punish her for that, which themselves were so guilty off. Thus was holy Stephen put to death by the sentence and execution of the people. The familiar practice of this among the Jewes, made, iome of the Apostles cast how they might attaine extraordinary meanes for the confuming of those, who were fent by the High-Priests and Elders to take our Saviour: They thought the consequence was good, that if they had a right to punish some apparent transgressions, they had as cleare right to punish those who would destroy apparent innocence. They would have fire from heaven (as well as Elias had) to confume the Captaines of fifty; and our Saviours Question to Peter, was but a tacite answering another question of his, viz. Wherefore he would not in defence of his innocence implore the power of heaven, and instead of twelve Apostles, have twelve Legions for his succour?

Out of which, and many other arguments, it is evident, That our Generall and Originall rights are not totally swallowed up either in the property of goods or in the possession of persons, neither is all that which was naturall now made Civill: wherefore that old Law was but old Reason, Quod populus postremum jubet id ratum esto.

After this it will not be amisse to see whether there e not a Theological I reason for our acquiescing in this

selsion.

f

,

C

ıt

e

d

)-

d

5.

1-

th

8. Next to those Divine Sermons which our Saviour made

made on the mount, that which Saint Luke hath recorded of Saint Paul at Miletum, is one of the solemness; where (like a willing victime going to be sacrificed)
he first assembled all the Officers of the Church of Ephesus, assuring them, that they should never more see his
face; but that after his departure, wolves should enter
their flock, and others come in at their windowes:
Though he was carefull to premonish them of such sures as might ensure their Consciences, yet he spoke
nothing to them in that imbroyld State of the world,
how farre they might conforme to untitled Magistracy
in their Commands morally and Civilly lawfull.

But as our Saviour left all the world, so he left them to the present possessours, and fore-warn'd them only of false Christs who should invade the Church by a wrong title: he said nothing of Kings who should so invade a State. He bids them beware of the first, and to oppose them with the words of truth; for the other, he saith all powers and principles of might come from God, and that Princes are not all borne such; For he that brought all Natural things out of nothing, sometimes in Civil things exalteth the lowly, and humbleth the mighty. Besides, earthly Governours (whosoever they be that in the scusses of the world get at top)

administer the same Justice to the people.

And if we divide all Justice into Commutative and Distributive, we shall finde, that the People set the Proportions of the first to themselves in meat, drinke, clothing, and other necessaries of life; and the Magistrate sets the proportions of the other, in which distribution though we were not defrauded (by the partiality of Usurping Princes) in Honours, dignities and places of Temporall advantage, (which we conceive our selves and others have merited) yet after all we must confesse, that as Christians we are enjoyned not to prize them, but to be ready to abandon them, Christianity being de jure contented with as little, as they say Nature is.

9. If we aske to what end the Magistrate is given

gu

0

le

**

*

65

**

60

cel

cc i

ma

hay

reaceable and godly life. And why this? For the glory of God and the good of our Neighbour. The Duty of the Magistrate then (in what forme of Government sever) is to tand betwixtus and such injuries, as may hinder us in doing this worke: so that if he be filent, orabsent, after all disputes if we performe this worke, we doe that which we came into the world for; and further then this whither can any presumptuous or interrested Casuist carry us? or why will he not allow Scribes and Pharises to be heard in those things, which Moses did command, and would have still Commanded if he had being in Nature? but rather seeke to put snares upon mens soules and Consciences, obliging us to Morall impossibilities?

To. If we will take the Judgement of a King in this Case of Conscience, King sames determined a little otherwise for his Catholique Subjects, who by Paulus Quintus his Brief, by Cardinall Bellarmine and others were taught, that they might not obey or render Allegiance to him, an Hereticall and unlawfull Prince, though commanding things Civilly lawfull: and therefore they were accordingly dispens't from their

oathes of Allegiance and Supremacy.

The Kings words in his Apologie for the Oath of Allegiance, p. 25. are these: "I ever held it for an infallible Maxime in Divinity, That temporall obedience to a temporall Magistrate, did nothing repugne to matters of Faith, or salvation of soules; but
that ever Temporall obedience was against faith
and salvation of soules, as in this Breve is alleged,
was never before heard nor read off in the Christian
Church: So that Pius Quintus cannot but be guilty
of the blood of those persons and families, whom
he doth so wilfully cast away and expose to ruine.

I know not what could be drawne up stronger in maintenance of this Argument of ours, then what we have here received from the Kings owne pen. It will not be chough here to reply, that the King speaks only in behalfe of those Princes, who are qualified with in dubitable true Titles, and therefore are upon no pretence to be disobeyed: For his words though upon the most Logicall rack stretch not so farre: and he was wise enough not to cast himselfe upon that difficulty of proving certaine Titles ab origine, the other being of a selfe, and as they urged it, great enough, viz. That how good soever his Title might be (which was not question dby the Pope) it was solt to all Papists in his Heresie, and he thereby made to them an unlawfull Governour. To salve this inconvenience, the King speaks only concerning their Temporall Obedience, which he saith was never yet affirm'd to be against saith and salvation of soules in Lawfull things.

CHAP. XIIII.

Whether Prescription can give a right or Title, especially such as will except against our Obedience in plenary Possession.

of rights to Prescription.

2. The internall Court of Conscience findes only an Ofinion of right in Prescription.

3. Why States ought not to be disordered for the defect of Right in Prescription.

4. Why Prescription may run against goods better then against Persons.

5. Right not reciprocall betwint goods and Persons, but only betwint persons and persons.

Though the Evidence of Originall Compacts and rights stand at such remote distances from us, that they

are hardly discernable; and that the Principle of Civill things, as well as all Naturall, is lought in a Chaos and Confusion: so that the Evidence of ncient facts have - Vestigia nulla retrorsum, no in-Ilible marks of their pre-existence (one step doth so infound and obliterate another) and that time it selfe but an imagination of our owne, and an Intentioall, not a reall measure for Actions, which passe away concomitantly with that measure of time in which they were done; for which reasons we talke of ancientthings, but as blinde men doe of Colours: Notwithflanding, Prescription is suppos'd by most to hold out such an Evidence, that (as they say) it ought to silence all Counterpleas in all Tribunalls, and by the present allowance which is indulg d to it, it either proves a Good, or cleanes a Vitiated Title; And hath this Prerogative in the Civill constitution of the World, and for quietnesse sake, That what it cannot finde, we grant it a Power to make.

Sect. 1. But if we examine all this strictly at the two great Tribunalls, the Externall and Internall, and argue the Ius of it as States-men and as Casuists, we can then raile the Argument for the Validity of it no higher in the Externall or Temporall Court, then That it is only very convenient that it should have the effects of right, Lest Properties and dominions of things should be uncertaine; and that the apparent negligence of true owners should be punisht, and Controversies have a speedy end; States looking more after Publique repose and quiet, then after strict virtue, and more after those things which are ad alterum, then after that which concernes a mans owne selfe; for say they, Denrum injuriæ Diis curæ, The Gods looke well enough after their owne injuries: States meddle not so much in great Prodigalities, as in petty larcinies; Our chiefest Liberty, Privilege or Prerogative in this World, confitting only in an uncontroulable right which we have to undoe our selves if we please.

2. But if we plead at the other Tribunall as conscien-

out of that law que inciditur non ere, sed animis, which is not engray d in Tables of Brasse, but in the Tables of our Soules: For the rules of our Lawes tell us, que principio vitiantur ex post-fatto reconvalescant; and that Prescription or Usucapion (which is but the Lapse of to much time) hath the Power to make wrong become a right, year o change the Morality of an Action, and turne quantity into quality. Upon the result of all which we must now say, that instead of being a right, or a certaine cause or proose of it, it onely makes an Opinion

of right :

3. So that when we have with eagernesse run it to its end, and finde nothing, we are but in Pompeys astonishment, when after his Conquest of Jerusalem, he had with such reverence and Curiosity visited the Sanctum Sanctorum, and found nothing there, Præter duo candelabra & sedem Deo vacuam, A paire of Candlesticks, and a chaire in which there was no God fitting, Yet for all this mistake he would not (as losephus saith) disorder or robb the Temple which he tooke by force of armes; because the very Opinion of Religion hath fomething of Religion (which made Iacob accept of Labans oath by an Idol) so ought not we I say, for the defect of right in prescription disorder a state, though in Conscience we can onely say that it conteins nothing but the Opinion of right, and makes people strangely forget by what insensible degrees they fall into extremes and Contraries, as into the same things, whereby wonderis better taken away then fin.

4. Here I conceive we may well admit of a distinction betwixt Goods and Persons prescribed. These are inanimate, ever free from sin; the paines of Dammation, and joyes of Salvation belong not to them, and so consequently it matters the lesse, (in every mans judgement) into whose hands they fall as instruments to be imployed: But it is otherwise with Persons, whom Princes would irrevocably acquire and imploy in every thing. For they may be constrained either by force, or

example,

fours to lote eternall life: Neither will a vicious contagion diffuse it selfe any wayes to potently as thus, even as water sheds it selfe strongliest from the declivity of a high Hill; Thus we read that some Kings made all Israell to sinne, and that blinde and publique guides lead others into ditches and the precipices of Hell.

5. Right is not reciprocall betwixt Perfons and things: For Landhath no right to us, but we to it, and therefore its servitude is perpetuall, according to the first Dominion which God gave us in it, and over the Animals which have nothing to doe here but to feede on it for our service. But betwixt Persons there is mutuall (though unequall) right; which formes focieties; and therefore we having reason and Lite, which things have not, know how and why to free our selves from being tooles and instruments of evill and wicked actions, and from being imploy'd in abominableuses. For which reason we can neither be to eafily Acquired by fuch an Accident astundis, nor be retain'd in tuch perpetual! flavery as other things are; which yet when they incline to a Corruption; break their forct societies, and returne into their Elements, which is their first state of Nature: where they cannot standlong, but returne back againe into other societies or Compositions more worthy of them, as we our selves in the like Cases of our Civill Corruptions (according to the History of all times) have done.

*CHAP. XV.

Of the Severall Formes of Government, And how farre they may in their Changes Legitimate Obedience.

2. How

^{§.} I. The absence or change of the Civill Magistrate leaves us not without a Magistrate or Justice.

2. How Monarchy, Aristocracy, and Democracy are the same.

3. Why a change of government frees not from all the

Inconveniences of Government.

4. The severall wayes of circumscribing Magistracy, and of Publique transactions.

5. Why people of severall Governments differ rather in

figure then in forme.

6. How little change a change of Government makes in or of us.

7. Why Christ bad us more beware of false Prophets then

of illegall Princes.

8. Whither nothing can be just, but that which is defin'd by the Legall Magistrate.

9. How a man may have a right to take what another hath not a right to give.

10. Of Non-obedience.

11. That all Obedienc is Passive.

Sect. 1. Come thinke the variation of a forme of Government, makes a variation of the forme of Justice, even there where all Justice is distributed by the same internall equity, the same fixt Lawes, and the same Tribunalls, but not by the same hand of flesh; not confidering that the end of our creation and confervation is the same in all formes, viz. that we might glorific our Supremest Magistrate, and doe good to our Neighbour or fellow Citizens all the world over. Such as these conceive they are without a Magistracy when they change from one mortall forme to another, whereas indeed they should looke higher then our Subordinate Magistrares, and thinke we relate principally to God the unchangeable Magistrate, who hash writ Lawes of Pietv and Justice in the breast of Angels, and hearts of all men in the world, which our transfient Magistrates must observe as well as we, and for all which we pray conjoyntly that His will may be done on earth as it is in beaven. It

It is necessary we should live alwayes under a superiour will and Government, and that is his; because heisalwayes, and every where intimately prefent with us, whereas our other Magistrates in what formes soever may (by many accidents) have neither vertua!! nor personall presence with us, and their ministerials formes of governing us, are as mortall as their ministeriall persons, according to the will of him, from whom all of us equally derive, and who hath stated the Government of the world for ever in himselfe. So that no man denies, but that it is by him that one power or forme is set up, and another cast downe, only we are loath to fay concerning the powers of our immediate Interest, by whom it is, That they cease to raigne. Which makes me still conclude more determinately that our immediate Allegiance is due to those who immediately protect us, and plenarily possesse but in and above all to God, the Universall Eternal Magistrate, under whose Jurisdiction we are to live eternally in another world.

2. The difference which is betwixt Monarchy Aristocracy, and Democracy, is no more then is betwixt
one Jacobus piece of Gold, twenty two shillings, and
forty source six-pences, which put together are equivalent one to another, and of the same intrinsick valew.
Even so the supreme acts of Government are the same
in all the three governments. For no State hath a capa-

city to goe higher, then

First, to make and take away a Law. Secondly, to make warre or Peace.
Thirdly, to judge of life and death.
Fourthly, to fix all appeals in it selfe;

At the top of these source steps all the three States of

Government meet:

3. And be cause no forme is perfect here nor free from inconvenience, therefore it is with any of them, when changed, as with a great Statue of brasse, melted into many little ones, which though it change its figure, yet it sends all the qualities of its mettall and its erugo along K 2

with them, which in time will appeare, if not oft cleaned: even to the inconveniences which people feele, rather then suffer in subjection, for the benefit of Protection, are for the most part the same in those severall formes of Government. And it is in our desire of Change of Government, as in our desire of change of dyet; of which though one fort may be more healthy and nourishing then another, yet we must feele some gnawing of appetition, and paine of repletion, for the sweet of that which we turne into nutriment afterwards.

If we would make a mixture of these three Governments, yet they make no change still, as to the Product of a supremeact; For they who concurre with, or limit one another, in that Capacity, are co-partners, and doe the same thing together, which one alone doth

Leg flatively.

4. Severall Countries have severall wayes for circum cribing their Magistracy, and for ordering their supreme transactions. In Poland any Gentleman may fafely and freely accuse his Prince: In Arragon the chief Justice hath a Tribunitian power. In Venice the Duke stirres not out of the City without leave, and he is made so much greater then any of the est, only thereby to allay the growth of Ambition in any one befides. And for the forme of transactions, all every where follow plurality of suffrage, but in severall wayes; For in the Senate of Venice there in It in many Cases be a concurrence of three parts, of foure; In the Conclave of Rome ar the election of a Pope, two parts of three. In the Confistory the Pope alone carries it against all the Councell of Cardinalis: In the Convention of Poland when the importantst businesses are propounded, potior est condition negantis, one negative hinders all; In Holand, the States Generall of the seven Provinces have but seven Votes in all, and those obliging according to Pla ality of fuffrage; but with an excellent remeay for the inconveniences of that way of Voting, as becomes those who are distinctly supreme in themielves,

felves, and intend that for their supreme collective Actembly. For, the number of States, sent to consult, and to mannage the Interest of their Single and Provincial Votes, are without limit, and as the respective Provinces according to their Supremacies please to delegate. In somuch that at the concluding, their Truce with Spaine, there were 800. States deputed by the Provinces to deliberate and conclude upon Seven Votes. For which reason we may say, that Votes

there are rather Locall then Personall.

In England all is contrary. Votes with us being rather Personall then Locall: for which reason Persons are neither so revocable, nor accountable here as there, their deputation being a kinde of Legation and Embatsie. For we represent not Provinces, nor any Places distinctly Supreme; but Provinces and Townes mixtly together, and so, as Townes are of greater authoritythen Provinces, there being more Burgesses then Knights of Shires, although this be a Privilege of State, no way proportioned to the Burthen borne in a State, but rather a marke of Kingly grace, and diffribution made and contriv'd for the better support of Kingly Interest. With us therefore the odde Voyce carries all things alike, by which it may so happen that one man may make or take away the best Law that ever was made.

fo many learned Pens who have all writ otherwayes, we may fay, that People of severall Governments differ rather in Figure, then in forme. For the forme of a State is that which gives it life and being, by the administration of Justice, whereby we are secured from suffering wrong, enjoy, the communion of rights, and have punishments for Vice, and rewards for Virue. These be the Vitalls of all States, and are the same in all Governments, so that in a Change, where unlawfull things interpose not, there is nothing that really affects us so much, as the Novelty and the Opinion of it, to which if the circumstance of a Little time be added, all our wonder is gone.

K 3

6. For

6 For what change is a change of Government? It changes neither our humane Natures, nor our spirituall relations, but onely a Ministeriall circumstance of our meane Civill station. The Law and Equity of a Court is still the fame, whither the Judges be sometimes more sometimes fewer in Number. Into what intermegiate lubordination lo ever we fall, we are still as the fame Equidittant lines; which come from the same Center of Justice and being, God: And to speake properly, we can have but one supremacy, because there can be but one Center, otherwise severall Lines, of several! Justices will cut one another. A civill circumstance (Inch as any Magistrate may bee) cannot obstruct the passage betweet our Supreme Lord and us, nor hinder the Conveyance of his spirit into us, nor his Angels (which are alwayes going up and down the Ladder) from carrying up the Almes and prayers of the faithfull before him, nor prevent or disturbe the low which the bleffed have in Heaven at the Conversion of sinners.

7. No wonder therefore that Christ bad us so much beware onely of faise Prophets, who might cheat us of our inestimable Jewels, and said not a word concerning false or Illegall Governours which we might afterwards Live under; I eaving us to the Indisferency of their Ministerial sunctions for securing our meat and drinke, and Clothing; St. Paul I ikewise bad the Corinthians, Cor. 1. 6. avoid the I egall Magistracy of that Country (of what forme soever) and rather end their controversies concerning humane rights, by Judgement among themselves: crist they would make use of their Tribunals, yet he left them no Instructions for excepting against the Competency of the persons them in Power, no more then our Saviour did against Scribes and Pharises who were

A Christian may be perfect in any State of Goverment, because his perfection comes not from the World, and there is but one thing necessary for him, for which he must be alwayes ready to adventure his life. But see how ingenious men are to argue themselves into their

Possest of Moses his Chaire.

OWM

S

n

e

h

d

h

of

g

d

r.

2S I-

et

re

r-

d,

h

ut

wneunnecessary Misery? Some say we may not, nay cannot do any just thing under an illegall Magistracy. and yet that all intermediate intrusions betwixt us and those who were the first in Compact, are illegall: and after this where shall we indubitably finde a Legall Magistrate? Or if we finde him who hath such a true Originall title, yet another Prince who is in Possession of his Kingdoms, will be loath to make any Voluntary Cession of his Possession for him: (rif possibly he who is in Possession, be likewise descended from the Originall Compactours, yet how will he prove tomuch now to us by tradition only. especially so indubitably, that we may dye, or fecurely kill others upon it? The proofe of this is a proofe of old fact, a thing of great difficulty. These being doubts neither humanely possible, norabsolutely Necessary for us to be resolved of, we may then Comfort our selves with this, That the Questions which will be propounded to us at that dreadfull day of. Judgement, when Princes & People shall stand mixt together before our true and great Magistrate, will be only concerning the things themselves, just or unjust, which we did here in the flesh; not under what Persons, Titles or Politicall formes we did them For they wil justifie no irregularity at that tryall. There is a Necessity that there should be a Change of the tersons of Governours, but not of the Equity of Government: the Course of Nature doth the one, but still endeavours to strengthen the other.

The reason wherefore there are such great Changes in jure publico more then in jure Privato. and why in the midst of so many Changes private persons keepe their Estates, when Publique pe sons cannot keepe their Stations and Places, is, Beca de a I motions, or Mutations in that Station, are above Il Tribunals and coerdions. The jus ad rem, or of Meum & tuum belongs to an inferiour Court; but the jus ad regnum hath none, nor any thing elle but just Necessity and Possession to justifie a change made either in the lame, or in a different Government.

Ob. One very considerable Objection is here op-

what form soever) who derive not I egally from the first in Compact, may not obey such even in lawfull things. Because no just thing can be done, where Justice cannot be had and justice can be derived only from the legall

Magistiate.

anf. I answer, That this Argument supposes that true, which is the maine in question, viz. Where a Mag ltrate can evidently be found with such an indubitable Title ab Origine? However we see St Paul bad the Covintlians avoid the Legall Magistrate of that Country, therefore all just things relate not formally to the Legall Magistrate. Moreover our Saviour bad the Jews harken to the Scribes and Pharifees, they commanding what Moses commanded. For as Divines lay, concerning the Sabbath, that the change which the Apostles made of it from the last, to the first day of the Weeke, was not confiderable, because the Morall part of it remaines for perperuall observation in the day which we now are chang'd to: Even so we may say concerning the changes which are either of Governours, or Governments, viz. If that which was of Morall duty and juif ce be still conserved by them to us of the People, we may then rest well assured that we may justly conform to them, as they are dispensed to us by such meanes. Equity is above Law, and if an Obedience to an Illegal Magistrate in lawfull things be equitable, then the defect of legallity in it, will not be furficient exception against it. The circumstance of the Magistrate is no part of the definition of Justice, which therefore may be rightly done. without any confideration of his Right. For Justice is nothing else but a constant intent to give to every one that which is his own. The Romans were not unhappy under Numa, because as Florus saith, Quod vi & injuria occupaverat Imperium, Religione & justitia gubernavit. The Empire which he had invaded by force and wrong, he governed with Religion & Justice.

Divines ho'd likewise, that Christ instituted a Mission whereby his Gospell was to be continued to the

worlds

Worlds end by Ministers deriving from on another: Yet in the Controversies which we have had with the Papists, when they object that we have no continued Mission from Christstime, because for many Centuries the Christian World was totally under Popery (fo that though their Ministers might be true Ministers, yet ours certainly could not) Here our Divines thinke it enough to distinguish betwixt a Personall, and a Do-Arinall luccelsion, and affirme, That the Doctrinall fuccelsion is above the Persona'l, which serves only for dispencing that true Dostrine, which we now have, and by faith in which, they who liv'd fo long under Popish Pastours might be saved. Even so why may not weas well fay, That where there is not in Civill Governments the fame formall succession of Persons. that there the People may Lawfully conforme to them, they holding out the same Law and Equity, which the Excluded Magistrates ought to have done, if they had succeeded.

So that the same Justice of Peace dispencing the same Lawes for Peace under one sort of Government as under another, doth the same Justice; the Circumstance of Magistrates is only to see that it be done with security and quiet; and when it is effectually so done, we are to do more then obey them, that is, to pray and praise God for them who ever they be that so govern us. For when one Government is excluded if another did not presently succeed in its place, we should fall into Consuson, and it is a Little time that serves to ruine a man, especially in the Privation of all Government: in which state all things are Common againe, as deach man is left in his Naturalls to cast how he may defend himselfe from all the rest of the World.

Object. It is objected in the second place, that such an Obedience even in Lawfull things to unlawfull Governours, doth affert those Governours as Lawfull

9. Ans. I answer; Is it be upon a p'enary Possession, such an Obedience only asserts the Irresissibility of their Power. He who takes a Necessary almes, from

him who got his estate by Oppression, sinnes not, neither affirmes the right which the oppressour hath to his Estate; by which we may see that we may have a right to take, what another may not have a right to give. He who Lends upon biting Usury or Extortion sinnes, but he who borrowes money so of him, when he cannot get any from him or others otherwayes, sinnes not, nor

afferts the Lawfulnesse of the others Loane.

In this Argument there is no place for a distinction of a Government establisht with I ong rooting, or without rooting: For if we sinne in doing Lawfull or unlawfull things under either, the Laple of time (as hath been shew'd) takes not away sinne in either, so that one is (to our actions) as Lawfull as another. Besides into what Condition would we put our selves if we will not obey? for in a State there can be no such thing as Non-obedience: Eevery man must either Command or obey, or else live by himselfe, by his owne I awes, and his owne Militia. This is according to a good Regula Iuris 167. ff. Qui jussu Indicis aliquid facit, non videtur dolo malo facere, qui parere necesse babet; That is, He who doth something in conformity to the Command or sentence of a Judge, doth not in any appearance doe what he doth, with an intention of fraud, because his Obedience is Necessary. Just so State-Obedience is Necellary, and what we to conforme to, is acted under Command, for which reason we are acquitted from the Imputation of Treachery. Petronius might boalt in his Plalmes.

Hoc amo quod possum qualibet ire via

But there is no such Privilege in a State or Society; the Conveniency whereof is not to be left at Liberty and freedome. For he who would keep his Naturall Liberty without Relation to a State shall loose that and every thing else; and he who will resolve to loose that Liberty may conserve to himselfe the enjoyment of all necessary things.

Some are pleas dto say, that they can obey such Possively, but never Actively; yet consider not, that all Obedience Obedience is Originally Passive more or lesse; that is, as both are lussual Imperantis. Nay their Passive Obedience (as they understand it) may be worse and more unwarrantable, then that which they understand to be Active. For he who executes actively the Office of a Justice of Peace, or of another Inseriour Magistracy, by Virtue of a Commission sent to him by a supposed Illegall Magistrate, and thereby doth good to his poore Neighbours, doth not a thing so bad, as he doth, who even under his Legal Magistrate is press out to warre against those, whom his Conscience cannot condemne, nor designe to death; yea or pay Taxes to be imployed against those whom his Conscience justifies.

CHAP. XVI.

Of Politicall Justice, Or the rule whereby we know the Magistrate to Command, and our selves to act Lawfull things under him.

\$. 1. The difficulty of distributing Justice aright.

2. Of the Corruption of first Rights.

3. Foure parts of Justice.

4. Why the Violation of Politicall Justice hath the worst effects.

5. People obey not to the prejudice of any, if they doe actions according to their Natures under plenary Poffessours.

6. That a thing be Civilly Lawfull it is not Necessary

that it begin rightly.

7. The Merit of the Magistrate makes a Debt upon the People.

8. How

8. How Contracts may be made with us without our Con-

lents.

9. Whether Religion and Iustice be knowne by, and pra-Hizd of themselves, by virtue of originall Impression, or by derivative authority?

10. The three convincing evidences of Religion and In-

stice, authority Reason and the Spirit.

11. Of the Logicall and Morall Principles of Reason.

12. Whether there may be a mixture of Authority and Reason, so as to make a reasonable authority?

13. How religions are defind by Mortall authority.

14. Whether we have any outward authority which evidences Religion more then Law or Iustice?

15. Whether Religion be a part of Instice?

16. How people in the greatest evidences of Religion are most vicious.

17. Why there are most exemplary Virtues and Piety

when people are most vicious.

18. Of the Affinity of Iustice and religion.

19. Naturall religion or Iustice findes nothing to satisfie Gods Justice, nor his will which it cannot discover.

20. How the Spirit the best evidence of that which we cannot finde by bumane meanes and of our selves.

21. How we may in our selves and any Fosesour, finde enough to evidence both the justnesse and expedientnesse of all our actions.

WE have hitherto treated of the obscure birth of Majesty, of the Naturall State of Families which depended on one another in Patriarkship, and in Heroicall or irrefistible seizures: Likewise of a State of voluntary Compact, but where we now can have no certaine evidence, either of it in its Originall, or of the Criginall Families belonging to it, but fall sometimes under the Plenary Possession of one Power or Family, tometimes of another, as pieces which at this time Accident

Eart the l ing ! right

In **Itice** itrate ple: Agit paffe

liket abou ons o

Sec

way or tal great hand being the di

molt

Inter p Itis thatis touch ot Po overt

traude even 1

to the

that the state of the People, is a state of Prilege, Guardianship, and filiation, not of servitude; that all Government is not Penall, and that Preziption cannot runne against truth and Men, as it oth against sensible and inanimate things: Lastly, the severall formes of Government, shewing how bey dispense the same Justice to us, and differ rather figure then forme; that the Circumstance of the sarthly Magistrate (legals or Illegals) is no part of the Definition of Justice, and therefore that our obeying such in Lawfull things, is no affertion of their ight.

In this Chapter I shall search after that sort of Julice only, which is due to the People from any Magistrate, and Likewise to any Magistrate, from the people: So that whilst we are in our Stations, or rather Agitations, the winde, like the steddy foot of the Compasse, may be fixt in its right Center, whilst the Body, ike the other foot maintaines an equall perambulation bout it, performing Varieties of Duties, with Moti-

ons of affurance.

Sect. 1. If that be only just, which must be every way just, then Little Justice would be either given or taken. For that being the greatest Virtue hath the greatest difficulties; especially if none but one sort of and must hold the ballance; and the Center of a thing being afterwards so hard to finde what wonder that in he dispensation of Justice we should hold it to be the most perplext thing in the world to distribute equally interplus of minus?

It is a good Maxim of Religion, Id verum quod primum, that is true which derives from the beginning because it touches upon God. This now is drawne into a Ground of Policy to evidence the right which Princes pretend over their People by ancient Compact. But as the Piæfraudes of old corrupted the best parts of Religion, even so it hath far d with Politicall Justice, according to that qualification of Originall Pact. For Princes

in this case doe for the most part as the Egiptians old did; Who that they might appeare to be the fin of men, seigne a Deduction of innumerable Years incredible actions of their owne; and as great incomgruities of Nature, as that the Sunne anciently role in the West, &c. So those Princes who claim d highest Allegiances, feigned that they were either begot ofth Gods, or were lent by them to undertake the Govern ment, as Varro laith, Vt populis boc modo utantur fecundioribus, That they might frame the People to a more facill obedience. Nebo and Bel were two Affyrian Gods; and therefore the Emperours of that Countrey, the better to fortifie their Authority, mixt the names, as well as the Authorities of their Gods with their owne. Thus from Nebo we have Nebochadnazar, from Bel Belsbazar, &c. But those times are gone, wherein it was easie to seigne any Lawes with obligation, when it was so easie to seigne any Deity with Admiration. 3. Justice hath severall Measures and proportions;

for it is either { Universall. Politicals. Legals, or Private. }

First Universall Instice relates chiefly to God, (the sole Magistrate of the Universe) and to all mankinde; and consists in a freedome from sinne, and in an Universall Obedience to all Gods Lawes, as we stand immediately under him by derivation, and collaterally one with another as sellow-Citizens all the World over.

Secondly, Political Iustice confists in the reciprocallnels of mutuall humane rights paternall and filiall duties as we are congregated into Common-wealths, and publique Societies.

first, As the natures of all in those precincts derive from the unity of one common parent, as in Patriarkship, which is but the diffusion of the same family.

Secondly, As they, through the shufflings of the world, derive their persons separately, but their Wills united

the Past of their separate Originals at first, in which they as Minors, are by fiction and imitation of

ture in paternall relation and guardianship.

Lastly, Where there is no naturall derivation of our persons, nor Civill derivation of our Wills from any originals. Unity; but that we lye floting and open to be controlements of that which to us seemes fortune, and that we become fixt in the acquiescence of our own wills (which is tacite consent) under the plenary possession of those whom God secretly and justly, though sometimes severely sets over us, but vet who ought still seven without pact) to governe us Minors according to the same rules and paternall duties in guardienship, which any of the other should have done, if we had been orderned to be bornunder such.

That which might be objected here concerning Nebochadnezars different right of commanding the Itraelits (who had fortested their rights to God) being answered above, I come clearly to divide this politicall Ju-

stice into two parts.

First, It is that Equity whereby a private man is rightly ordered under the Publique Magistrate of his particular Country, and collecterally towards his fellow Citizens of that Country by publique care and inspection.

Secondly, It is that equity whereby the Magistrate likewise of that particular Country imploies his chiefest care according to his chiefest Lawfor the safety of those sellow Citizens in that Country.

Thirdly, LEGALL justice is that whereby we maintaine equality in private Contracts with other private

men.

Fourthly, PRIVATE justice is nothing but Virtue in its internall habits, and relates to God and our selves

only, and not to another.

Although we infringe Justice very much in all these our Stations and Relations, and thereupon have dayly contests either within our selves, or without with others, yet none hath such sad effects in this World, as the viola-

tion of Politicall Instice. When this runs into a War, it disorders all the other relations of Justice, the Religion of God in Univertall Justice is suspended, Temples are fired, opportunities are given to revenge, and w delolate a private neighbour, and to account for all the displeatures which were received from him in the time of Peace, and of a egali Justice: Innocent Families are desolated, and private Vice or Injustice hath no limits The worst of all this is, that many times ambitious or angry men forme subtilties and pretences, and afterwards the poore people (who understand them not) are taken out of their houses, as horses are out of Pastours, to fight and maintaine them at the perils of one anothers lives; and such Wars not being of their Interest, they are fure to reape nothing but defolation by them. If they recover peace, that great bleffing, (whereby they return to their Nature, and to the exercise of all those parts of Justice againe) yet if it end with a change of a Governing Family, or of a Government, with what subtleties still doth Ambition and Revenge perplex their consciences, as if they could do no just things at all when they are plenarily possest by such powers, whereby they are unwittingly forc'd (as out of duty) to make their publique Commotions necessary, perpetuall, and if not recoverd out of their great distempers and practicable errours, they think they do God good service in acting that which will at last oblige men neither to give nor take quarter all the world over.

regnum one may possibly command to the prejudice of another, yet the people obey not to the prejudice of the excluded pe son, provided they be commanded nothing out of the above-mentioned formes of Justice. If all politicall Justice be Adalterum, then they who are Ministeri II for the distribution of it, are so too, and not for the misters: So that in the ejection of Governors or Governments the Peoples case is most favourable as they ought to be conserved in a State to communicate just things and actions one to another. For which reason it

is that it hath been so much argued, That their lafety is Law superiour to those Laws which are made for the Persons governing; it being really true, that Populus non moritur, the people cannot dye; and but a fiction of Law, That Kings cannot dye, or rather of those Courts. where after they are really dead, they are (for some dayes) serv d with the same Meats and Ceremonies, as when they were alive. There is no Morall obligation which lies upon any People to receive Politicall Justice only in such a forme, or from such a Mortali hand; For then both ought to be perpetual, and we ought to have a perpetuall power to possesse both to our selves plena-

rrly.

eli

m-

l to

the

THE

are

115

SOF

ter-

are

urs.

ners

hey

hey

urn sot

ern-

tle-

on-

hen

hev

heir

not able

ting

nor

e ad

cot

the

ning

tall Mi-

net rsor

they

jult

on it 15

Gregory Nazianzen in his first Cration against Iulian the Emperour saith, that he the easilier to beguile the simple Christians, did insert the Images of the falle Gods into the pictures of the Emperour, to which the Romans were wont to bow, with a Civill kind of Reverence; to that no man could do reverence to the simperours picture, but withall he must adore the Images of the falle Gods: and he who worship'd not at all, was punish'd as one who contemn d the Emperour in his Image. Thus usually it is with our present Princes, who expect that God and they should be alwaies reverenc'd concomitantly; whereas it were apparent Idol try to admit of another God, but is not so if we be constrein d (as in those 24. yeares confusions) to conforme to other trinces, who are as Mortall as their circumstantiated Laws, whereas Gods Laws, as they are either Naturall or Morall, are fixt like himselfe, and therefore fit for perpetuall Allegiance.

6. Beauty, in a body Naturall confifts in the symmetry of parts; and in a Body Politick, in the aptiongruity of the Members of a State, when provision is made of good Laws, and of inferiour Meg stracies for giving every man his own. The body of a Baltard may have al naturall symmetry as well as a Legitimate child: even so that State which is not crown'd with a Prince of I egall authority, may in him have all its congruities at

for Subjects to live under. For, that a thing should be Civily lawfull, it is to be noted, That it is not necessary that it should alwaies have Purgatum principium, an entry without fault. For Custome is a Law, and yet it is a Legall Axiome, Vt consuetudo inducatur, nec titulo nec bona side opus est, For the introduction and authority of a Custome, it is not necessary, that it should be either by a good Title, or without deceipt, provided it be rationall, and not against the Laws of Nature. 1, 2. C. que sit lon.con. And if this be so for a law, which is adalterum, then much more may it hold for him who is but for the Dispensation of that Law, the rules of Right being alwaies above right persons subservient to them, and the equity of a thing due to another, more considerable then the hand which is to reach it to him.

Having thus far examined that which is due to the People from the Magistrate, I shall now enquire after that which the Magistrate (what ere he be) may for this

Merit expect as a debt from the People.

7. The reason wherefore War is not so bad as Confusion, is, because in war there is an creder, and for the
most part Private rights only suffer by it, whereas confusion levells all, both publique and private. Therefore people owe not a little to the present Magistrate,
who keeps the parts of a State in their apt Congruities
and Relations, whereby men enjoy the fruits of their
own I abours, communicate with one anothers Virtues,
sleepe composed (without any Alarums Jin their beds;
so that none would desire to disturbe such a State with
the certain desolations of war, but the Vicious and Ambitious, who alone know not what care is requisite for
procuring the simple necessaries of life.

They therefore who enjoy such a protection, are indebted for a returne of Allegiance; which is due upon two Actions to the Magistrate what ere he be, viz. Acti-

one negotiorum gestorum, & de in rem verso.

8. In this place we must attentively observe (what hath not been glanc't at by any in these Controversies, that I know off) that there is an Obligation, yea Controct here,

it

0

1

.

15

IS

nt

1,

-

e

r

15

-

e

1-

2,

ir

s,

h

1-

r

n

i-

h

I

here, which comes not by consent in Compact, sed ex Tola rei contrectatione, as the Civill I aw faith, That is, when a man of his owne accord takes upon him the management of another mans affaires, by medling in them, so as he for whom it is done actually doth, or probably may reap great commodity thereby. Common-wealth is said to be as a Minor in Guardian-Thip: but betwixt the Guardian and the Minor there is a Contract for the administration of his Guardianship at his (the Minors) charges, although he know not of it. It is not alwayes necessary that he for whom the businesse is undertaken should reap effectuall commodity thereby, it being sufficient in some Cases (where there is otherwise imminent prejudice) that the businesse be begun well, though it end not so; as if a Physitian undertake the cure of a fick childe, whose father is absent from home, and he dye; Notwithstanding the Physitian hath his action Negotiorum gestorum. L. sed an ultro. 10. Sect. 1. ff. de ob: ex qua: con. So he who voluntarily, and by his great paines, hath recovered other mens Children from Slavery, from which they (the Parents) were bound by the Law of Nature to keep and recover them, they are accordingly indebted to this It followes then a fortiori, that they who Protectus and our Children in the Gommon-wealth, as Guardians, Physitians, and Redeemers in Perills, ought in Equity to be rewarded with farre greater Privileges.

Oh. Here I expect to meet with this objection. That mens affaires are not thus to be intermedled with whether they will or no; especially when it belonged first to another Officer to doe it, and who is kept from doing it by Violence, as in the Case of those severall excluded Kinos above named. It is not sufficient that this new Mag strate doth just things for us, if they be not done justly, that is, without prejudice to a third perfon. Judges then judge rightly, when they judge excequo & bono conjunctively, not separately; for want of which, all Justice and the whole Government is vitiated.

Anf. To this I reply, that the Minors affaires are to be taken care of by others, whether he will or no: and in this case of the Peoples Protection it hath been proved, that there is no Naturall Supreme Magistrate to appoint this Supreme Guardian, nor any Tribunall or Law to examine the rights of any such Power, unlesse it be in the antecedent Compact, or present acquiescence or Possession of the People, for whom this is done; nay, iometimes though they would through pecvishnesse have it otherwayes done, as hath been already proved; For which reason, the Quarrell about the Judge of our Controversies will be perpetuall. unlesse we will submit to that plenary possession. The Apostle Rom. 13. faith, we ought to submit to the supreme Power, because it holds not the sword in vaine: where he speaks of those only who doe actually hold the sword, not of those who have actually lost it, for then this were in vaine. It this fort of Possession be no Argument, then how will it indubitably appeare, that the prefent Power commands to the prejudice of thethird Excluded persons right, which consisted only in Possession, backt with Prescription, which is no Argument for a Casuist, though it be for a Lawyer. Moreover in the Revolutions of these Kings Governments, it is not disputed whether they commanded exbono & aguo conjunctively, but whither those of that Age might ex equo have obeyd them in bono, That is, have obeyd them in the good things which they Commanded! which I afrirme, because it hath beene proved, that the Legality of their Authority was not afferted in the Peoples obedience to them. From all which the Conclusion Naturally falls, That all Justice and Government cannot be Vitiated with injustice in the People, when they to obey Princes in their Unirpations.

Divines, who distinguish betwixt Points fundamentall, and not fundamentall, acknowledge, that every errour or Corruption, even in a Church, makes it not cease to be a true Church, yea though there be no one Church of any Denomination infallable in all its Proposals.)

posalls) nor every errour or sinne makes a man cease, to be a true believer: Much lesse doth every inconvenience or vitiated circumstance which is not fundamentall in government, make it no Lawfull Government, orunfit for humane lociety. It hath been shew'd, that the Law of Custome, whereby we doe and receive Juflice, may be continued, though it began by fraud and a vitiated entry; and then why might not the People of thole times as Lawfully have obey'd those Princes who enter d by as fraudulent Usurpation to looke after that law? The difference is great betwixt a mans intermedling to improve a private bufinefle, and improve a Government: For though a man may looke after his private affaires well enough himselfe, yet he mult necessarily have other to looke after the quiet of the State, 'in which he and all he hath is imbark't.

For the further satisfaction of this Argument, (which saith Justice proves Injustice, not only when it recedes from the due point of Equity, but also when that equity is not Authoritatively dispens to others by such a particular third man, though there be sufficient lest betwixt the Parties for satisfying the Meritum and Debi-

tum of both)

3

11

KS

ot

111

cn

ent

n-

on.

ra

the

di-

on-

ex

eyd

ed!

the

'eo-

non

an-

they

nen-

vcry

not

one

Pro-

9. I cannot I say but observe, that they who argues hus, un wittingly inferre, That equity and Justice ought formally to be resolved into humane Authority as into their direct Principle, and that the truth and Evidence of Divine and humane Rights, the duties of Religion and Justice, and althat which relates to God and Casar, are both to be immediately learnt by, and practized under some transient Authority here and so, as the Precepts of neither ought to be obey'd for themselves, but for their sakes solely who immediately not originally aftert and command them, although Authority derive ab authore

Contrary to this others affirm that the Nature of Religion and Justice, is such, that they rather evidence themselves by Virtue of the first Impressions which were of both in the mindes of the first men, by the Origi-

L 3

nall

nall authority of him who was the Author of all things in Nature: and that as the seedes of Passions, so the seedes of Virtues, and Powers of Truth and Goodnesse derive from his making them such, so that they now are shed from one into another, rather by Traduction, then Tradition, and may be knowne and practized without delegated Authority immediately continued upon us for that end.

There are but three wayes for evidencing Religion

and Justice,

The first is \{ \begin{array}{l} Publique. \ 2. Common. \ 3. Private. \end{array}

judges from the other two, and the second from the Last:

11. The first is of true Publique Authority: The second is of Reason as it lies Common betwixt all men, either considered Logically for the Power of our understandings, whereby we can free a thing from Contradiction, lest we take quid pro quo; in which acceptation our Ministers preach by Doctrine, reason and life: I else Reason is considered Morally, as it relates to Prudentiall habits, and Chiefly to the Virtue of Justice. The Third is of Private Spirit and Revelation, to which no more but that Private man, in whom it is, can submit, because of its Privatenesse.

In true Authority men must not be believ'd and obeyd for their reasons, but for their Votes. Thus then we know God hath said this or that, we conclude without

any further examinarion, that is true.

In Reason men speake as Doctors, not as Judges and are not to be Credited for an lpse dixit, or a vote.

The Spirit hath the greatest proofe for it selfe within, but hath the least Evidence for it selfe without; and therefore it cannot be offer'd either as the Sentence of a Judge, or as the Reason of a Doctour; because no body

on of it, unlesse it be accompanied with Miracles which may be seen. 2 Rev. 17. He who is regenerated beth for his marke or superprova white stone, in which a name is written, which no man knowes but he who hath received it. The gift of this Spirit is an act of trivilege dispenct by the grace of our Supreme Governour, and s not to be argued against for being only of private advantage to one man.

be a Composition of the two sist, viz. of Authority and Reason, so as to say there may be a reasonable Au-

thority?

10

1-

<u>|</u>-

ادا

n

I answer No, because the ground of the first is peremptorines of Will, and the ground of the other is the Intellect and Infinuation of Perswasion, so that in their refults they necessarily exclude one the other. For in making all perswaders, and thereby equall Judges of Reason, authority is presently dethroned: and on the other fide in admitting Authority, there must be no dispute. In the one there is freedome, in the other necessity, in the one my Obedience is conditionall. if you can shew me why and wherefore, In the other it is absolute and without nicenesse. In fine, the one beginning at Reason, keeps a Schoole with Philosophers and Doctors to reade Lectures to us, and to befeech us, and then Leaves us to determine by our owne private authorities: The other beginning at sence, keeps a Court Marshall with Sergeants, Souldiers, ha chets and Gibbets to act us to our Duties by publique authoritv.

I would faine marry these two in a state, but that I know Divorce will immediately follow the Vow for perpetuals Cohabitation: and therefore though we may Reason among our selves, till we come to the sword point of Authority, yet arriving there, we should forfet our best Reasons, if we would not be filent, and stop at that point, beyond which there is no appeale,

argument or Motion allowed.

That

That Religion and Justice came first into the world by Authori y no man doubts, but how both ought to be continued is the Question. For if nothing be Religious or Just, but under persons qualified with the due authority of Church and State, then into what Labyrinths are we plung d, and how little can we do with faith, or without sin, we not being sure of lawfull Authority? Whereas by the allowance of our Reasons we may better know, whether our Magistrates command us right things, then that they command them aright:

The Church of Rome defines the Laws of heaven, and all truths, as they are received from the authority

of the Pope.

King Charles disputed to His death, That if he took away the Authority of Bishops, he lest the Kingdom destitute of any right to heare the Word, and to receive the Sacraments.

The Presbytery of Scotland say as much for their Authority to continue the VV ord and Sacraments in the

Church, and go farther in Temporall rights.

In a Civill State they say all Justice ceases in the absence of the right and lineall Magistrate; although indeed the Powers which possesses, can only explaine, and recommend, not authorize what God alone was the Author of.

as are here pretended, and that they were like Balaam, without power to say otherwise then God said, which none now but one Church-man pretends to. But if we will at all hazzards thus resigne all to some immediate authority now in the world, I would then know what authority we have for our Religions, more then for Justice? Or what proofe have we that the Laws of heaven are truer (though better) then the Laws of this world? Seeing the congruousness which they have with the goodness of a Deity, infers no necessity of their Actuall being such, and every book which is good, is not rherefore of divine Revelation. For God made his Laws freely, and might have made them different from what

what they are, if he had so pleased. Nay, upon this ground what Principle have we of this age, to make us Christians, different from what makes one of this age as well a Turke? If you will say the of Tradition. Authority of our Officers, theirs are of Tradition. If evidence of greater Tradition, that is not an immediate witnessing to a truth, but a witnessing to anothers witnessing; and after all some errours pretend to longer standing, then our truths, and methinks it is not fitting that we give that to time, which is only due to truth. Cur Mediums of proofe ought to be different from theirs, if we would have a difference in the Conclusion; otherwise the choice is dubious, though the mistake be damnable.

Miracles, and the Spirit, hath begot a strange Question concerning a Naturall Religion more Catholique and Universall than any other, viz. Whether Religion be apart of Iustice? Which Justice not depending immediately on Authority, Religion they say doth much less, and therefore reason may make it out, as it is a holy

Virtue.

to

gi-

lue

ith

u-

WC

nd

n,

ty

ok

in

re

1-

C

C

For further strengthening this Objection, Whether the native and purest principles of Justice may be the best principles of Religion? I cannot omit a famous disputation to this purpose solemnly made between Cardinall Peron and Tilenus who was Minister at Paris. Tilenus maintain'd the Scripture to be of divine Revelation, and that it had a Character which evidenc'd and accompanied it proper only to the Spirit of God. The Cardinall was to prove that it could be known only by the Authority of the Church, and Tradition as necessary & equivalent to it, but before he began his disputation (as preliminary to it)he offered Tilenus eight Sentences, and defired him to declare whether they were precepts of Scripture or no? Whereupon Tilenus shew'd them all in St Mathew, and in the Epistle to the Corinthians. The Cardinall then called for Plutirch

and

and Seneca, and fliewd them all in both those human Authors, as preceps streaming from native Justice and Virtue, and not from divine Revelation. The Protestants that were in the Chamber were not a little perplexed at this, but Tilenus (though a little surprize hereat) recover'd himselfe by this subtilty, desiring the Cardinall to suppose eight Hostia's in his Hat, and that one of them only was confecrated, and that after they were fecretly shakt together and thrown upon the Table he would declare what externall fignature of confecration he could find in that Hostia which was the Body of God. Here the Protestants (as I have heard some of them say who were at the Disputation) triumpht as in full victory. But alas! what did these subtilties prove more then the Confutation of the interest of an errour, and no positive truth received strength by either. though Transubstantiation hereby received some foile; yet it was left a Question, Whether the native principles of Justice and Virtue might not be the best principles of Religion.

Ans. For Answer, I know Religion to be a Doctrine of faith, as Faith relates to a principle out of the discovery of Nature, and is of things not seen, to be rendred to a Superiour not seen: Justice is the Doctrine of works, in the discovery of Nature, of things seen, and to be dispenced to those with whom we visibly converse. However I shall examine both in their severall States, because Interest makes Gods, as well as Kings, and Laws for heaven as well as Laws for the earth, and that Justice and Religion are (for the most part) but one the counterfeit of the other; If one have a Santtum san-Horum, the other hath Arcana imperij. and we are all the VVorld over ingaged in our swathling clouts to the Religions of our Parents, not having more choice of our Religions, and divine Laws, then we had in what part of the VV orld we would be borne, of what Parents, Complexions, and under what humane Lawes: So that Religion, how devious soever, is confirm'd in every Country by the same habits, and with as much zeale.

de, as if it were every where of equall truth, Jews sing dyed as cheerefully for Moloch, as we do now christ.

People much given to Justice, were irreligious; and neefore at the day of Judgement they shall rise with heir Virtues of Justice, to judge the others who had revelations. But it hath been oft seen that a People, when in the greatest evidence and exercise of Religion, were most vicious. I shall only instance in Gods own People; who when they were in the Wilderness, betwixt the armes of God, eating and drinking miracles, yet were more propensly vicious, then ever they were in Cities, and through extraordinary hardness of their hearts, Moses was faine to give them greatest dispensations. When they were form'd into a Kingdom & at that time that God built his Temple by Solomon, they were then the vicioucest that ever they were under Monarchy.

17. I hope Ishall not be mistaken here, for Ispeak of Nations in grosse, beleeving that when people were so generally vicious in States, then there were private persons of greatest exemplary Virtues and Piety. For Nature helps us so far, that Vice upon trial not satisfying the height of our defires, we easiliest fall into the other extremity of detesting it. Thus the Essens and Recabites took upon them a severity and simplicity of life, amidst the pompe, softness, and luxury of the lews; the retired Brackmans among the delights of Persia, even in Darius his time; the Pythagorians and Cynicks during Philips and Alexanders riotoustimes in Greece; the Stoicks in the highest sensual ties of the Romans, and now it is commonly noted of the Carthusians (who speak together but one houre each Thursday in the week, and never eate Flesh) that they prove the strictest Votaries among them, who were most sensually extravagant, before they entred the Order.

18. Object. But to bring Justice and Religion into a nearer Afrinity, they argue, that all Justice is ad alterum, and the religious part of this Virtue is, in rendring

dring what is due to God; They affirme all Sacra ments to resolve themselves ultimately into Virtues as into detestation of our ill lives past, and resolution to live better for the future. David Pfal. 15. 25ks who shall enter into Gods Temple, and dwell in the holy hill? but answers, those only who bring expresse virtues with them. Saint Paul speaking of those Gentiles, who were cast away of old, intimates that they first had a sufficient declaration and Evidence of Gods will for his worship and glory Rom, 1. 18. He saith the wrath or punishment of God, was of old reveald from Heaven, against all ungodlinesse, therefore they had the Revelation also of the Lawes of Godlinesse: They had enough to glorifie him as God, yet were not thankfull, nor had any feare of him. If we aske what was that Naturall thankfulnesse, and how a man is a Law to himselfe; They answer that they know they owe God Homage, recognition, and thankfullnesse as he is supreme Lord, on whom all depend. They can naturally love him, for his goodnesse; fear him, and hope in him for his Omnipotency; They can honour him, as they finde others doe, because honour is confinde to, and defind by, the Opinions of the places in which we are: They can pray, praise and Confesse to him in sacrifices.

19. Anf. But for Answer to all this, what can they finde either in themselves or in Nature, which can expiate their sinnes here? For nothing can satisfie or efface demerit, but merit or Pardon; The paines which they voluntarily undergoe cannot doe either. For that which must destroy or take away another thing, must be contrary to it, whereas a fault and chastisement may agree well, and not destroy one another, year they may per-If they will fay that they cannot fect one the other. indeed satisfie the rigour of Gods Justice, but may eafily satisfie the clemency of his will, then they confesse their religion is not (according as they argued) a part of Justice; and for the arbitrarinesse of his Will, nothing in Nature can discover it, and therefore much lesse fatisfie it. Phiett.

20. Object. What then shall we positively fixe to our elves in matters of Religion? We will not goe to Ender for Answer; Yet sew heare Reason, sewer heare the whisperings of the spirit, and none heare the Voice of God, for he is departed, and rarely answers either

Prophets or dreames, 1 Sam. 28. 15.

Ans. I answer, that not having a continuation of ficient Authority, as Authority signifies sufficient Magistracy, for our beliefe (without hazard of mistake in what it proposes) for our believing that which we cannot discover in our selves, it remaines then, that in matters of faith we cast that great worke on the Operation of Gods spirit, which like the winde moves which way it listeth. If they who have neither indubitable Tradition, nor indubitable Miracles, nor indubitable Authority, nor this indubitable oblignation from heaven, rest unsatisfyed, I wonder not at it; because I see they cannot find fatisfaction any where elfe, though they ought still to act according to the virtues of their natures, which we finde will serve at least to condemne others, who misse of salvation under the certainty of Revelations, as those of Tyre and Sydon shall judge.

21. As for Civill Justice, would it were dispenc't by the Authority here contended for; it would then lave us the paines of many cloudy and uncertaine reasonings, which by the prolixity of disputes, make us lole many advantageous opportunities of : bedience: But because we cannot have it, as we desire, it remaines that we take it, as we can have it viz. In plenary Posfession, most men being able to discover in themselves the equity of their owne actions, as the Possessour doth the Conveniency of them. Though we cannot make a mixture of Reason and authority yet we may make a mixture of reason and bedience: For our reafons and anothers authority relate to separated persons, but our reasons and our owne (bedience relateto the same persons, so that it is but reasonable, just, and Necessary, that we obey those, who in good and Convenient things, command and Plenarily possesse us. Here

Heretherefore after to many Arguments of Scri ture and Reason, I shall conclude all with that m morable advice of Saint Aultin, after he had confidered the translations of the four great Imperiall Seats from one quarter of the world to another, the confusions which Goths, Huns, and Vandalls had brought upon Ital ly, Greece, and Affrick, the disorders and Usurpations of the Romane Empire in it telfe, the Changes of Go vernment which the Romans made in other conquered Countries, and the putting to death io many Royall families of leverall Kingdomes, after they had serv'd as Ludibria fortunæ to adorne their Triumphs at Rome; the good Father (I say) could not Leave that sad Meditation, without bequeathing this important advice as a Legacy for all the World which should come after him, Quantum ergo pertinet ad banc vitam mortalium, que paucis diebus ducitur & finitur, quid interest sub cujus Imperio vivat homo moriturus, si illi qui imperant ad impia & iniqua non cogant? Nam quid intersit ad incolumitatem. bonosá mores, & veras hominum dignitates, quod alii vicerunt, alii victi sunt , ommino non video , præter illum gloriæ bumanæ inanissimum fastum, in quo illi perceperunt mercedem suam, qui ejus ingenti cupiditate ardent. That is; For somuch therefore as concernes this anxious life of ours, which is begun and ended in very few dayes, what matters it under wholegovernment we, who are thus hourely expiring, Live, if they, (who ere they be that rule over us) command us not Impious things? For I cannot any waves perceive what advantage it is, either to our safety, to integrity of Life and manners. and to the reall dignities of men, that some are Conquerours, others are conquered, unlesse it be, that there is no footing left for our vaine aspirings, after which whoever are petulantly carried, they have already received all their reward in this life. Aug. Civ. Dei. 1.5. cap 17. He who cannot see a similitude betwixt this holy advice, and the confus d time out of which Austin deduced it and those confus'd Governments set downe at the beginning of this booke, with the Conclusion thence

(159)

rence inferred, Viz. That all Governours who plenarily leffe Nations, may be obeyd in Lawfull things, I define he would not take icandall at my Pity of him, That after the dethroning of his Reason, he should hold him-elfe obliged to obey Passion, when it so plenarily possibles him, and yet not allow Nations plenarily Possible Civill Powers, to obey them even in reasonable and Lawfull things.

The

The third Part,

Wherein it is examined:

WHETHER

The nature of Warre be inconsistent with the nature of the Christian Religion?

OR,

Whether some War may not now be lawfull in the State of the Gospel?

CHAP. I.

& 1. The description of Warre.

2. Bellum quare dicitur a belluis.

2. What it is to be unjust, or unlawfull.

4. Society twofold.

Hilst all the Christian world is imbroyl'd in Warre, and that the very state of mankinde is nothing else but Status belli; yet not a few perhaps of the best Christians finde their Consciences check't, as if they had an Interdict from Heaven restraining them (even in the extremest necessities) from desending their Persons and temporall Rights by the effusion of humane bloud. They conceive such

now required of us in regard of those excellent promises of raigning with Christ in Heaven, that all fort of Warre fights now against him and his Religion. This made an eminent States-man pleading for Toleration of Religion in France, say, Qu'il valoit mieux avoir une paix ou il y avoient deux religions, qu' une guerre ou'il n'y en avoit point; That it was better to have a Peace with

two Religions, then a Warre with none at all.

These Christians of whom we now speak assure themselves, That if they wallow in one anothers bloud here, they cannot afterwards tumble together in Abrahams bosome; their heavenly Shepheard drives them through a narrow way full of thornes and briars, so that they expect necessarily to lose locks of Wool in their estates, and to feele pricks in their persons. But in the midst of all this they take unexpressable comfort in Christ, knowing well that they who touch them now in this new Creation, touch a new forbidden fruit, even the apples of Gods eves.

But we are borne to two Worlds, and are made of matter proportionable to both, and therefore cannot but naturally have some kinde of affection for both; Yet such, that seeing two supreme collaterall powers cannot stand together oppositely, our affection to the first and worst, oblige us not to any thing which might traverse our happinesse in the other: And seeing without our will or knowledge we are (and all they who come after us are likely to be) borne into a world of miseries, the greatest of which is perpetual! Warre, I shall therefore esteeme it worthy my re-search to see Whether War

be one condition exclusive of Salvation?

1. In the first place I aske What is Warre? Too many can answer loud enough to this Question, by its mineries and sad effects: But I finde that Bellum dicitur a belluis, from Beasts.

2. In the first peopling of the world, after the deluge, menliv'd scatter'd up and downe, and most in woods a and Ambition not having place in a time of such simpli-

city, they liv'd in a Community free from all Alarms, fave of the beafts of the field: Wherefore their Warre then was totally against them; and hence we see the heads of Lyons, Bears, Bores, &c. for the ancient marks of our ancestors honors: And when men came to be more civil by living nearer together in Cities (for Civility is deriv'd a civitate) they still kept those Armes, which

now we hold derived from them.

Warre therefore was first against Beasts, and after-wards against those who were like Beasts; in bringing the same effects of milery on us which Beasts did, in destroying our subsistence, in devouring us and our children, in chasing us from our habitations, in keeping us in perpetual frights, and in taking the sweat of our browes from us. They therefore who knew God and nature permitted them to destroy destroying Beasts, thought they had a larger Commission to destroy such kind of men; who though they were of their owne kinde, yet they were worse then Beasts, and did that hatme which the Beast of the field knew not how to doe: So that the Proverb of this malicious vermin is not impertinent, Homo homini (non Lupus sed) Da-mon.

h. But to speak nearer to the definition of Warre, It is not sus datum sceleri; But a publique prosecution of surfice by force, even to the effusion of humane bloud. The better to understand it, we are to conceive, that there where a Court of Justice ends, and is not able to put us in possession of our rights by its paper-power, there the law of Warre begins, and makes every Souldier's Sergeant: and hence it is congruously said, that Inter arma necessario filent leges: For in natural reason, what can be opposed to force but force? Yet Justice and Equity may be consistent with force: Hence the Athenians acknowledged Mars the Founder of their samous Senate, and from him call'd it Areopagus.

4. What is it to be unjust or unlawfull? That is properly unjust, which hath a repugnancy, a disconvenience, or inconsistency with the nature of humane So-

ciety

and Communion; as for a man to take violently

from another to enrich himselfe.

But Society is twofold. First, Equall, where both are in equall partnership of gaine and losse, and as is betwixt Brothers, Friends, Citizens, &c. Secondly, Vn-equall, as betwixt a Father and his Children, Masters and Servants, Magistrates and People, God and man a Betwixt whom there is something mutually due upon apposition of, and the I attitude of the relation to, society. The use of this distinction will appeare in the sollowing discourses.

CHAP. II.

Whether all Ware be unlawfull in order to the Lawes of nature?

5. 1. Vpon what matters lawfull Warre is grounded.

2. What things are equivalent to life.

- 3. Three conditions prærequisite to a just Warre.
- 4. How Innocents may be innocently slaine.
- 5. Wherein Gods Dominion over us consists.
- 6. Concerning Gods destroying of Innocents.

7. Concerning Abrahams Warres.

8. All cases of Warre not written with the Israelites Mi-

The Answer to the Question of this Chapter is Negative: Because nature recommends us to an internal honesty and equity; as also to our owne preservation, and the acquisition of those things without which we cannot be preserved; Provided they be not unjustly taken away from the due preservation of others.

L. Isay in prosecution of that without which we can-

not be preserved. For as Warre introduces the greatest of evils, viz. the taking away of mens lives, and that which is equivalent to life: so right reason and equity tells us, that it ought not to be undertaken without the greatest cause, which is the keeping of our lives, and that without which our lives cannot be kept, or if they could be kept, yet they would not be of any value to us, seeing there may be a life worse then death. Wherefore as we are forbid to goe to law for a little occasion, so we

are not to goe to VVar but for the greatest.

2. But what are those things which are equivalent to a mans life? Those things may be best collected out of the cases wherein God himselfe (in the Law he gave the Israelites) pronounc't sentence of death; They are many and different, and I shall only point at a few of them. Gods indisputable Justice therefore inslicted the same punishment on breakers into houses, breakers of marriage fidelity, publishers of a false Religion, and on those who rage in unnatural lusts, together with many others: I say he inslicted the same Capitall punishment on them which he did on murtherers, I berefore they

are cases equivalent to a mans life.

3. I say moreover, That it must be so, that for our own preservation we take not unjustly from the due preservation of others. That we might lawfully invade mens persons or goods by VVarre, one of these three conditions is requisite. I. Necessity, according to the tacite contract in the first dividing of goods, as is shew'd in the first part. 2. A debt. 3. A mans ill merit; as when he doth great wrong, or takes part with those who doe it, as the rest of the Benjamites did with those who forc't the Concubine. Many things may be ab folutely necesfary for our subsistence, which yet we may not take from the right of others, especially if they be in the like calamity: for then the rule holds, that In pari jure potior est conditio possidentis. Thus no Admiralty judges it theft, if a diffressed ship forcibly takes water from another, which hath more then in humane probability will derve it to another watering. If one would afflict my person,

my selse, and reason sets the measure. God and naturall equity required eye for eye and tooth for tooth, but no more: because all paine above, was but satisfaction in opinion, and confer'd no reall advantage to the first sufferer: Wherefore God might justly say Revenge was his, and he would repay it. When a life must be lost Eavorabilior est mibi possession expreservatio anima mea quam aliena.

Object. It is objected, That in naturall reason and equity, the punishment ought to be no greater then the offence, nor the payment greater then the debt; listens modus in delicti partem quis venit, quo in danni dati; For one house pillaged, or a few cattell driven away, a whole kingdome is not to be laid desert. Though in Civill causes Children ought to pay their Parents reall obligations, yet in Criminall causes they ought not to be charged with their personallengagements; To cut off a few nocent, we are not to cut off multitudes of Innocents, such as are woemen and Children (as in sieges and other depopulations) of whom the one is to be spared for sex, the other for want of age.

Hector adest secums; Deos in prælia ducit, Quag ruit mors est tantum trabit ille timoris.

But what is there to be feared from them who know not what Armes are? Gods justice would not that in Sodome the just should perish with the unjust; nor those in Nine by who knew not their right hand from their lest. A woman with-child condemn'd to dye, suffers not till she be brought to bed: Armies come actually resolv'd, year oblig'd, to cut off each the other; but the same is not to be apprehended in women and children, though they be never so great a multitude together. VV arre is alwayes a Physick too strong, which entring the body with a force greater then the instrinity, must needs increase the distemper, and sike thunder purging the bad qualities, corrupt the good. Therefore by all this its evident, that VV arre is against equity and nature,

Ans.

Anf. To all this I answer, That Innocents are not directly designed to punishment, nor to pay that which they do not owe. But if the case be such, that they who are innocent must perish, or live a life worse then death by the cruelty of an Enemy, then to protect their innocency, all may be done by those innocents that extreme necessity permits; and if their guilty Enemy obstinately refusing to do right in such a case, involve innocents likewife on his fide, and in his dangers, then that guilty Enemy is to answer for the effects of his own acts. And certaine it is, that the right whereby a man may defend his life by killing him who would take it from him, is not alwaies because the invadour is faulty, but by reason of that right which Nature hath given every man in preserving himselfe; as is larglier proved in the first part, and by the second, cap. 2. They therefore who thus innocently take up armes, are as little guilty of their evill effects, as he is of the ill finell, which followes his cleanfing a chanel. VVe know that a Chirurgion in dressing a wound, puts a man oft to greater paine, then the affassinate did who gave it: yea, we know no evill which can be cur'd, but by another; and thus it is that by perill we escape perill. That Query which serves to guide us in other cases, will serve as well in this, viz. Quis causam dederit? And if they who occasion so many evills would present themselves to Justice, then those would be all avoided: But there are few Jonas's now adaies, and too many Benjamites, who chuse to see all ruin d with themselves, rather then give up those to Juflice who are guilty.

5. As for God's Justice in War, or other punishments of his Enemies, I answer, That though he have more right by a thousand times to kill us, then we have to kill dunghill Flies, in respect of his absolute dominion, vet he never doth the least act of injustice. True it is, that he made us out of his own matter, and at his own cost and paines; Is autem qui non solam operam prastitit, sed & partem solummodo materiæ dedit, speciei domimus est; so that being of Gods intire specification we can

claime

sime no right at all in our selves; and having no right can do us no wrong. Notwithstanding by his free codness he hath invested us in some right, both by Promile, Oath, and Covenant, by which it is impossible, as Saint Paul saith, that he should play false with us; so that though he may feem to treat us unjustly here, yet he can and will recompence it happilier to us in an other world, whereas dunghill Flies by his holy will are not capable of another life: But the question is, Whether God before the Law of the Gospell did not the same thing

which is here objected as unjust?

6. It is evident that God spared not the very Infants of the stubborne Nations; and though he said he would not punish those who were innocent in Sodom together with the nocent, yet he slew the children; and still punilhes the parents in their children to the third and fourth generation: Yea, David pronounces a blessing on them who shall take the young children of Babylon, and dash their braines against the stones. VV herefore out of that which God de facto hath done, we may fafely conclude, That though God had saine those in Ninevie who knew not the right hand from the left, yet he would not have been unjust for that.

7. Arg. Sect.7. Abraham received no expresse command to fight with the foure Kings: yet God expresly approved of it after the battell, and bleft him for it, by Melchisedech: It remaines then that Abraham arm'd himself by the Commission of Nature, or by the light of naturall Equity: Therefore all VV ar is not repugnant

to the Laws of Nature.

Arg. 8. Eccl. 3. Salomon faith there is a season fit for every thing. A time to kill, and a time to heale; a time to love, and a time to hate; a time of VVar, and a time of Peace. Therefore VVar is not simply bad, nor contrary to the love which we naturally owe to our neighbour, no more then a fathers beating his child is alwaies an argument of an alienated affection, or that a man abhors himself because he now and then dismembers himfelf.

Ma

___ Ne pars sincera trabatur.

9. Arg. Sect. 8. God set the Israelites a Militia, yet numbers not up all the occasions and causes which might justly move them to wage VVar. Therefore it remaines that in natural reason the causes may be easily comprehended and found out by our selves. Such as was (according to Grotius) septhe's VVarre for maintaining his Frontiers against the Amonites, and Davids for his Ambassadours being abused. It is not against natural equity, that a man should suffer as much as he made another suffer: Cain out of this sense, gave sentence of death against himselfe, He who shall finde me will slay me.

Seeing therefore warre was lawfull in Nature, and under the law, the maine difficulty now will be to

know---

CHAP. III.

Whether all Warre be unlawfull by the Law of the Gospell?

2. Capitall Iustice still lawfull.

3. How the Magistrate carries not the sword in vaine.

4. The durance of the judicall Law, in Judæa, till Jeru- salem was destroyed.

5. The Judiciall Law not yet totally abolisht.

6. Whether they who have committed single theft and without violence ought to suffer death? which most punishable, Adultery, or simple theft?

7. Of the foure Monarchies.

8. The necessity of Magistracy. Whether Magistracy should

^{6. 1.} The Law of Nature how farre unalterable. Why difficult to finde out what the Law of Nature is.

bald bave been, though man bad not fain? None so to be a Magistrate as a Christian.

dare not be so hardy as some who say, That the Law of Nature is so intrinsecally good, right and sonable, that it is immutable: so that as if warre ere once lawfull by the Law of Nature, for that reain it should of absolute necessity be so still under the Law of the Gospell. For the Law of Nature is unalterable only in what it commands to (The I awof Na-) be omitted or committed, not in what & ture how far unit permitted, that is in Praceptis & Calterable. vetitis, non in mediis licitis. Those Pracepta & vetita relate most to the first Table I and to the ninth Commandement against lying) where warre hath not its place. For as there are many things relating to God, which he cannot dispence himselfe from, so there are many things relating to himselfe, which he cannot dispence Thus we must ever worship God in Spirit and Truth, because he ever is both spirit and truth. But we know not what the law of Na- (Why difficult) ture is, because we cannot penetrate) to finde our right reason. God at the beginning what the Law shed light into the world withour a of Nature is. Sunne; but after deriv'd it from the grossenesse of that Planet, perhaps to typifie two things to us.

First, the Sabbath, that man should observe the first day and light holily to his Creator abstracted and free from all sensible actions, according to that Light which

derives not from Nature,

Secondly, that Light might signifie the light of mans understanding, which at first was filled with insused knowledge only, and acquired or derived nothing from sence, or from any created thing. For Adam by some of his first acts, in the first Sabbath day, christened (as I may so say) all the creatures with proper and significant names, as the true Godsather brought them to him in their infancies: But when the Devils ill breath had sullied that cleare mirrour, then this supernaturall

naturall light was intercepted, and he left for helf he time in night and darknesse, and to begin his science a sence; which is so clouded and rounded with unequal medium's, that we rarely discover straight things other wife then in refraction, as were in water, according to the distemper suvenall speakes of.

- Cum jam vertigine tectum Ambulat, & geminis exsurgit mensa Lucernis. Everything therefore sports with us now, as the Phill Thims boyes and girles did with Sampson after his eyes were out: and as Noahs children had their Maternals wip't out of their mouthes, so had we Natures first lesfons out of our memories, and long right reason, wee lost the right rules of our Actions. Our Lawes define that of Nature thus; Iusnatur & est quod natura omnia animalia decuit : But this is extremely faulty; for it is both zwo large, and too narrow: It is too large, in respect of the subject, because it takes in irrationall creatures, and two warrow, in the respect of the object, because it comprehends onely those acts which we have in common with beasts. and not those which wee have properly as men. The modus colendi Deum, or the severall waies of doing bomage or Destalage to our Lord, is a thing which God held alterable, and so alwaies did Nature: for God and Nature com-5 Rom. 2. I manded the same things; the Gentiles who 214. 15. Shad not the Law, doing by Nature the things contained in the Law. So that Schlichtingius faith ill. that Lux Religionis tollit legem nature. For though our Religion hath in it many things above Nature, yet it hath nothing in it properly so contrary to it, as to take it away. What Nature saith concerning the lawes of the fecond Table is much altercable; for if they be according to Nature unalterable, and that God and Nature goe together, then we see evident contradiction. manding Abraham to kill his innocent fon,

sinon ut sontem saltem ut victimum, and the Israelites to carry away, not the King of Ægypts goods, who had so much wrong'd them for its likly they were not so familiar as to borrow of him) but the peo-

ples.

hus therefore I prove that some warre is still per-

Self. 2. Gods primary interdict (Gen. 9. 5. 6.)

ding humane blood, and his re- Capitall Ju

ng it againe, is no more then what flice still law
expressely enjoyned in the Sixth full.

ment afterwards; which Commandement ther it was given, was no impediment either to capitall sunishments, or to warre: But that Commandement is not abrogated, nor capitall Justice now interdicted either by it or any other injunction of charity, as afterwards will more evidently appeare. Therefore some warre is still lawfull, which is nothing else but Capitall Justice; and is not the lesse unlawfull, because others forcibly oppose it, and make it calamitous thereby, But

on the contrary.

ls

1-

ee

10

1-

th

be

00

is

s,

ne

11

0

s I,

ic Ic

it

e

5

2. Arg. Gods Justice must still have controversies with the unrighteous; and by the same reason that hee still continues exterminating Angels, hee continues the power of the sword in the hands of Princes and Governours, for the vindication of that which was ever morally binding, and by Gods approbation occasioned cruell warres even betwixt Jew and Jew. And if any Analogicall collection be of force, we may coherently inferre, That if God placed fire and sword at the entry of the earthly Paradife, to repulse their entry who would prophane it by adoring a false Deity, why then may not his Church be defended by the same meanes? Christs hand to accultomed to give bleffings and healings, was never arm'd to give stripes but in order to this. Not as if his intent was to force his Religion, or any then to the Altars, but rather to legregate the impure Proteflor from disturbing the pure; for they all profest the same God. All the fwords & whips in the world cannot constraine a man to present God the pure sacrifice of the heart; Its Gods referved prerogative to move that by his spirit in the new Creation, as well as he did the indisposed masse by it in the old. Freemen were never dragg'd to the Altars, neither were those victims sacrificed, which led unquietly to the Temple. 3. Arg.

Nation and the chiefe Priests have delivered the unito me; what hast thou done? Jesus answered, My Kingdome is not of this world: it my Kingdome were of this world, then would my servants sight, that I should not be delivered to the Jewes. Christ whose lawes symbolized not at all with those which relate to the meum and thum of this world, would not seeke the protection of Act. 18 3 them from earthly force. But withall he 14 15. I clearly denotes this tous, That they whose rights and Kingdomes are of this world, may desend themselves by this right of warre.

4. Arg. Sect. 3. Kingly power is still lawfull, for Saint How the Magi- Paul invited Nero and King Agrippa to the Christian faith, without any intinot the sword mation that they ought thereby to deinvaine relinquish their Crownes; but on the
contrary that they carried not the sword in vaine, which
yet were in vaine if they might not therewith have pu-

Rom. 3 nisht capitall offences with death; and if so, then by just consequence prosecute Justice

by War, in which the worst is but death.

Obj To this argument there are two answers: First, that though execution bee not done by the Magistrates sword at all, yet it may not be said to be carried in vaine; for it may be carried ad terrorem. Secondly. That Saint Paul tacitly dissivaded King Agrippa from exercising any I function of blood in wishing him altoge.

ther fuch as himselfe was saving being in his bonds.

knew that the Magistrate would not, and durst not make use of his sword, then it would never be ad terrorem to any except to himselfe, because according to this I aw any Rogue might take it from him, and execute him therewith most securely. To the Second I answer, that that elegant prece of Saint Pauls Rhetorick is to bee understood with a temper and according to his scope, which

Faith. Otherwise if to be a Christian were to Saint Paul in all things save his bonds, then the necessary to be of his Country, his Towne, his

ally, not married, and the like. org. 5. If Christ or his Aposties by him, would have troduced sych a strange state of living, as was ever heard of before, undoubtedly hee or they would have told us in expresse manner, That it was now no ionger lawfull for any governours to judge of other mens lives, and that upon paine of dammation armes were no longer to bee born. If Christ among other Mysteries brought from the bosome of the father, had commission to deliver this, furely he would have spoken boldly of it even to the faces of the Potentates of the earth, and without mincing it or of leaving it to each mans particular logick to bee deduced out of the Analogy of his Religion, especially when there are such strong presumptions against such a deduction. God put the rules of Religion and of Governement into Moyses his hand at the same time, and yet wickednesse could northen bee restrained by force, much lesse can it be now without it. Here Schlichtingius replies, That wickednesse is best restrain'd by the Laws of Christ.

I answer, this is very true, but it salves not the doubt. For it is here questioned what may be done by Governours, when de facto wickednesse is neither restrain'd by Christs I awes, nor by any other conformable to Christs.

Arg. 6. Paul sought a guard of Souldiers; and Acts 25.

11. he saith of himselfe, If I have committed any thing worthy of death, I refuse not to die. Therefore Saint Paul thought, even after the Gospell publisht, that there were some crimes which might yet be punisht by the Magistrate with death. And if hee had commission to declare hell to those who should doe capitall Justice according to a law but immediately before lawfull, then he would not have said that which was quite contrary to it, and so have confirmed them in a damnable errour, at least by his silence when hee was bound openly to speake the contrary.

Arg. 7.

Arg. 7. Sett. 4. It cannot be shewed by any ind The durance of) out of the Scripture, That the The the Judiciall (Law of the lewes Common-W till Jerusalem (which contained capital Punisher (was defroyed.) lem was deffroyed: and it was not e quall that it should be in force with the lemes, after the ceas'd to be a people, and I being made a Roman Province) were without hope of freeing themselves from that Government. Neither did Moses, who wrote those Lawes, prefix a terme of time to the durance or expiring of them; Neither did Christ or his Apollies (wholeve ry office it was to tell us all that would exclude us from (alvation) ever speake of the expiring of such a Law: unlesse it were implicitly comprehended in Christs prophelie of the destruction of Ierufalem; which was the expiring of the lewes Common wealth onely. But the quite contrary is most apparent: For after Christ was ascended, and the Holy-Ghost was descended, and that the Apostles were sent with sufficient instructions to teach all Nations all that which was sufficient to bring them to Heaven, I say after all this, Saint Paul avowed the Judiciall Law. For in his plea for his life, hee argued, (Aft. 25. 8, 9) that he had done nothing against the law of the lewes, which was the ludiciall. And in another place he said (Acts 23. 3. the High Priest was then to give judgement according to the Law of Moses, which was still the Judiciall, inthe dispensation whereof the High Priest was as a Civill Judge. And Christ came not totake away the Law, but to fulfill it, by filling onely the Rituall shadowes with his substance: A miracle which no body but his could doe, to take away, and not make a shaddow. So that if their Law of government lasted unblameable so long as their Common-Wealth stood, and that the Christian Religion as it was profelt by the Apostles, after the defcent of the Holy-Ghost, was as sufficient to bring men to Heaven as it is now, then Iewes converted needed not at that time to have quit their Magistracy, neither ought they

co

the

cia

12

us.

w

to

of

deed otherwise then according to the Law of hich judge of life, death, and of Warre.

by Christ, because he forbad that which before which by it; as in matter of divorce, in the number ives, in revenge of a tooth for a tooth, in forbidding

to goe to Law, &c.

wist thole Precepts and the Law, there is no conadiction, no not contrariety, but onely a difference. For he that abstaines from divorce and revenge, and the like, doth nothing against the Law, nay hee doth that which the law chiefly desires, in taking away and reconciling differences: And thus it is that the state of health is not repugnant to the nature of Physick. But if Christ had said, it is now no longer lawfull to punish amurtherer, then he had spoke something contrary to the Law, which saith, the Magistrate is bound to punish a murtherer, otherwise that he is guilty before God,

5. But for a further strengthening this principall Argument I conceive we may goe a little farther then this,

and say with some other Doctors (whose all Law not all Law not Godnever yet totally abolisht the Judiciall yet totally abolisht.

The Judicial yet totally abolisht the Judiciall yet totally yet totally yet totally yet totally abolisht.

The proper to the Jewes Common-Wealth, as the freeing of Hebrew Ser-Exod. 21.2.

The Brothers Widow in case hee died without sons; And of marriage betwixt (Num. 36.8.) those alwaies who were of the same Tribe. But it was not abolisht in those things which are immutable and concerne all Nations, and by reason of the equity of them, many learned men conceive that even the Gracian Lawes were derived from thence, as from them the 12. Tables, and thence the Civill Law was deduced to the reason of the former is Resause those things.

us. The reason of the former is, Because those things which were peculiar to the Jewes cannot be said common to the Government of all Christian people, The reason

of the latter is,

First, Because if all those Judiciall Lawes were about gated, then there are none lest in the word of God, and is is if so, How then is it true that the word of God consumer feetly the precepts of all Morall vertues? For according to this it would be destitute of distributive Iustice, and to be imperfect in a principall vertue.

Secondly, if all Judiciall Lawes be abolish universally, then there will be no certaine rule for punishment, but according as each Magistrate shall judge it just or unjust so he shall doe and it will be just; So that if Adultery shall be death in one Countrey, and not in another, both

will be just.

Thirdly, if they be all universally abolisht; then of these two one must needs be: That God in the new Testament commanded nor the Magistrate to punish wickednesse (which is falle Rom. 13.) Or if in generall hee commanded it and did not prescribe how punishment should be proportioned to wickednesse, he then left it to the arbitrary will of the Magistrate. But this is absired. For the Magistrate as a man may erre, and therefore it is not to be suppos'd that God left it solely to his will. Befides, when the Magistrate shall punish, he must needs doe it with a conscience doubting whether he hath proportioned his punishment rightly or no. But Rom. 14.23. What ever is done with a fluctuating conscience is ill done. Upon this ground Moses twice durst not pronounce sentence on those who Numb.15.34 were great offenders. God hath prescri- C bed punishments for his Church, and hath hee none for States? Is it because he would have that doe justly and these not? or that he hath a care of his Church, and not of Common-VVealths, of which he is Authour! Some Politicians have thought good to retaine some parts & not others of Moses his Judiciall Law, even such as by a common reason relate to all men and not to Jewes particularly. Murther and Adultery were in that Law cqually punishe with death, but simple theft was not. However some States have alter'd these parts of divine and universall Justice so farre, as to make one of these, which

was by Gods order Capitall, not to be so; and the hich was not Capitall, now to be so. Yet the states cannot pretend to be so Legislative as to that no sinne which was and is a sinne, nor to make it a lesse sinne; How then can they justly change

the punishments which God ordered them?

Fourthly, It is but congruous to conclude, that if God have such a care of Families, as to make Lawes for the private societies of Husband and Wife, of Parents and Children, of Masters and servants, he hath then provided Lawes for the regiment of publique societies, in the temper of publique lawes and punishments, which otherwise might become the greatest injustice.

Ob. It is objected. That Moses his Lawes are not sitted to determine all those cases which may now

happen.

Anf. I answer, That God thought them sufficient for the accidents and time of the Jewes Gommon-wealth, which was 1600 yeares; and how can 5 Deur. 17 9, 7 any man prove that the like cases hap- 10, 11. ned not then in that long time which happen ordinarily now? A Law is a rule, and if the Author of it be perfeetly wife, perfectly just, and perfectly good, then his rule cannot but be likewise perfect. Though it be granted that all cases are not defin'd by Moses expressely, yet the perfection of his Lawes requires. that we fay no case can now happen which may not be determined by some Analogy with that which is exprest; of things which are alike, the equity and judgement ought to be like. For example, Exod. 21. 33, 34. If a man shall dig a pit, and not cover it, and an exe or an Asse shall fall there in, the owner of the pit shall make it good, but the dead beast shall be his. But what if a horse or a sheep (which is not named) should fall therein? From the analogy it is easie to conclude the equity.

Ob. It may be againe objected, That the Gosp I doth not abolish particular Common-wealths; therefore it permits each State its owne Lawes, and there-

fore our Magistrates are not oblig'd to Gods Judiciall Lawes.

Anf. I answer, That as the Gospel abolishes not the severall States of men, but corrects and reformes them. to doth it not abolish, but correct and reforme States and Magistrates, Mat. 14.3, 4.

For pressing the further consequence of this Argument.

I shall propound this question;

Quest. Sest. 6. Whether they who have committed sim-

ple theft, and without violence, ought to suffer death?

Gods Judiciall Law permitted it not; but moderne States thinke that that Law now would not be equitable, and therefore ought not to oblige. For it is, fay they, an ordinary rule of Justice, That Wickednesse increasing, pnni/bments should likewise be proportionably increased.

In Davids time the children of Israel had chang'd fo much from their former fimplicity, that he then dispenc't himselfe from the letter of the Judiciall Law: For when the Prophet Nathan told him, that a rich man had taken from a poore his only lambe, he presently gave sentence that the rich man should dye, and restore the lambe

fourefold.

Ans. To all this I answer: First, that if God thought it once justice that they who committed simple theft should not dye, then it is against the ordinary rule of equity that they now should dve, only by humane au-Mority: justitia enim semper sequitur partem mitiorem.

Secondly, If punishments may be so exasperated and (Which most pu- ? heightned, that that which was nishable, Adultenot capitall before, may justly be-(ry or simple these.) come capitall now; then no reason can be alleged, why theeves should now suffer death, and fornicators not.

The Commandement which forbids stealing a mans goods, tollows that which forbids stealing away his honour: and good reason for it; because he is to leave all goods and relations to maintaine the possession of this.

Thirdly, it is ill suppos'd that the fins of these times exceed ods punishment on Sodom and Gomorrah, and versall deluge, argues the contrary: Morcover our exaggerating the Insquities of the last times,

they shall be like those of Noah and Lot.

The instance in Davids passionate sentence proves nothing: He gave sentence of death against all Nabals house, which was not therefore just. Neither was that given against Mephibosheth more equita- 52 Sam. 19. The ble, whose servant missinformed David 29.

on purpose to share in his Masters estate.

Besides it was suppos'd to have been, not a simple theft, but a theft with violence and rapine, and therefore David might justly give sentence of death upon the theefe. VVherefore the encrease of the same impieties encreases the same punishment according to the same quantity extensively only, and not accurding to the quality or intensively. For it is not the number of offenders, but the quality of the offence which proportions punishments. Out of all this it follows, That Christs Lawes tooke away those of Moses only in those respects, whereby Jew was separated from Gentile, that so place might be made for the extension of a Catholique Church, and for an universall Communion of Saints. Those things therefore 5 Phil. 4.8. which are of intrinsicall honesty and Li Cor. 11. 13, 14. 5 piety, and which are common to all men in the Judiciall Law, are still in force, and by that consequence fo is Capitall Punishment and VVar.

8 Arg. Sect. 7. The foure great Monarchies which ruled in the world were by Gods lawfull appointment; and according to the Prophet Daniel, the last shall stand to the worlds end, and till the Saints of Christ possesse that Kingdome of his which endures for ever and ever, Dan. 7.21, 22. For out of the ten Hornes, (or Provinces) which were in the head of the last beast (or Monarchy) r. 24. another Horne shall arise (the Turke) and shall make three Hornes or Provinces sall, which are according to interpeters, Egypt, Asia and Greece

He shall speak great words against the most high. (in his Blasphemy against Christ) and thinke to charge times and Lawes, (by his new Religion) and because the soles of his seet are of Iron therefore he shall stand till the last, and all the time of his raigne shall maintaine Warre against the Saints, which Warre cannot be supposed but where there is mutuall opposition; and yet during this mutuall opposition the Professours of Christs Religion may continue Saints, v. 21. And Rev. 17. 16. These Saints shall exercise a bloudy Victory over the Whore of Babylon. By all which it is evident, that some Warre is still lawfull under the Christian Religion, and shall be so till the worlds end.

9. Arg. Sect. 8. Out of the fourth Argument it was prov'd, That the Magistrates duty was not to carry the (The necessity ? sword in vaine. But because some say that they who are yet no farther then na-) ture, may perhaps have need of such a Magistrate, and he consequently have need of such a sword; but they who have renew'd natures, and are above Lawes and Ordinances (as true Christians in their sense are) have no need of any Magistrate, and consequently no need of any sword: Therefore here I shall shew that none is so proper to be a Magistiate as a Christian; and desire those who pretend to be so rehned as if they had no bodies (but to be as Moses and Elias in the transfiguration) that they would humble themselves by the 7th. of the Romans, where they shall see Saint Paul (notas an Individuum vagum, or an unregenerate person) say, I am Carnall, not that he was onely heretofore Carnall; and v. 24 he cties out, Who Shall deliver me from the body of this death? v. 22. he saith still of himselfe (as regenerated) I delight in the Law of God after the inward man.

Magistracy should bave been though man had not fall n: much may be faid in the affirmative; for it's powers grounded on what is naturall and

Secondly, man here is to be confider'd ociable then as a finfull creature: and a reor intimidating power in fociety, is more nendable in preventing, then in after correcting finall acts. Lastly, there is an impression of this power naturally in the hearts of all men. It is not enough to object here, That man could not have been any way intimidated unlesse he had sinned first; For that seemes to cast in doubt the force of Gods first Law, The day thou eatest thereof thoushalt surely dye: in which words there was a threat, which would have been without effect, if Adamthen should have been without a sense or apprehension of it. The morall and standing ground of Magistracy is in the Fifth and Eighth Commandements; Honour thy Father, and Thou shalt not steale.

The Qualification which God un-(1 King. 10.9. der the Law made of a Magistrate was such as lasts still, Exod. 18. 21. Thou None so fit to be shalt provide out of all the people able a Magistrate as men, such as feare God, men of truth, a Christian. bating Covetou[ne/le, and place fuch over the people to be Rulers. But what is there which to strictly recommends and enjoynes these vertues as the Christian Religion? which by the promises of a better life depresses in us the tumours of ambition and avarice, which are the feeds of all publique troubles, one taking too much, the other giving too little. Our new law therefore most reasonably perswades our Magistrates, like Samuel. when they are leaving their charges, 5 confidently to aske their subjects, [1 Sam. 12. 3,4.] whether they have oppressed or defrauded any of them of an Oxeor an Asse? For this were a theft worse then Sacrilege, as Guevara saith, Antes tomaria lo de los templos, que no lo de los pueblos; porque lo uno es de los immortales dioses, y lootro es de los plebeyos pobres; which is that he had rather take from the Gods then from the poore, because the gods were immortall and unexhaustible. If any inconvenience in government arise, there was ne-VCI ver any thing found out so proper to perswade people to a patient suffering it, as the Christian Religion. There States permitted these (as the Lacedemonians) Adultaties, Incests, Murtherings of those who were born with desormities, and of old unserviceable people, which makes me conclude with the Gos-Matrh. 15. Spel, that the wise of this world, are the blinde who lead the blinde into the precipice; and that to enjoy a happy government, we should receive our orders from God, who I Tim. 2. 2. hath given us Princes, that for the bonum animale we may live quietly, and for the bonum spirituale holily, under their protections.

CHAP. IV. Objections and Answers.

6. 1. The Christian Religion why not revealed altogether by our Saviour.

2. Of Christs and Moses his Lawes in order to the cause and the effect of sinne. Or loving our Enemies.

3. Of the Jewes Enemies. Of unequal love.

4. Of Retaliation, and of an eye for an eye. Publique vindication of some private injuries not unlawfull by the Law of the Gospel.

5. Why the private retaliation of some blowes unjust. How clemency and punishment goe congruously toge-

ther.

6. What Revenge is.

7. Our dispositions to Enmity and Amity. The convenience of our being borne imponent. Why there are more Enmities Voions then Unions. Way Religion proper to make

her eye for eye be equitable.

peremptorily slay men now for Civill then for Religious causes. Civill rights easily knowne.

10. Of the repentance of Malefactors.

11. Concerning Peters sheathing his sword.

12. The Conclusion, perswading not without extreme necessity to make use of the naked sword.

Thus farre I have endeavoured to make cleare, that if Christs purpose had been to take away War and Capitall punishments, he or his Apostles would have declared it in expresse termes; in regard of the consequence of such a command, and of the newnesse of it: And somuch the rather, because no Jew could imagine, but that Moses his Judiciall Lawes in things of common equity should stand in Judea, so long as the Judi-

ciall Common-Wealth should stand.

However Schlichtingius and the rest of his Tribe object; That though Warre be not expressly, yet it is vertually forbid by our Saviour; as being totally repugnant to the Analogy of his Religion, and to the Oiconomy of his Crosse: That our Saviour Matthew 23. 2, 3. said, the Scribes and Pharisees sat in Moses seat, therefore what soever they bad those of that time doe, he commanded likewise that they should still observe and doe it: For those times (say they) were not yet nervous enough to be are all that which he brought from the bosome of his Father.

Sect. 1. As he discovered not himselffully til within three yeares of his death, so did he not. The Christian Rehis Doctrine till he ascended and ligion why not rehad acquired Regall Authority to vealed altogether make and abrogate Lawes. He by our Saviour. Some consider'd the capacity of his infant Church, and would not that new Wineshould be put into old Bottels, for

N 4

feare

feare of breaking them. This made the Apollics themselves even after his Ascension remaine yet a good while halfe Judaicall; and adhere to circumsion and other rights; as if it were right which the Law saith, Quilatte natritur pars viscerum matris adhuc censetur. Moses comming directly from God out of the Mount shin'd in the peoples eyes like a second Sunne, and therefore could not presently be lookt on, but through the Eclipse of a Vaile. However (say they) our Saviour in his Sermons upon the Mount (Mat. chap. 5, 6, 7.) said enough to prove the incompatibility of his Spirit with the rank and Carnall spirit of V Varre.

Obj. Sect. 2. For there we are expressly commanded to love our Enemies, and to pray for those who curse and persecuteus. Therefore it not being now lawfull for us to have any Enemies, it is as little lawfull for us to have

any Warre.

Ans. As I can suppose a defensive VVarre, against which charity can make no exception, so I presume that the Analogy of the Christian Religion can no more except against it, then against Justice. And because many objections are rais'd out of our Saviours Sermons, Mat. chap. 5, 6, 7. therefore I judge it necessary here to premise, That our Saviour really never intended to take away, but exactly to fulfill, both by his example and precept, that which was morall in the law of Moses, Mat. 7.17, 18. It is faid, Ier. 31.31,32,33,34. Heb. 8.6, 12. Act. 13.38 39. That the time should come that God would put his Lawes into every mans minde, and write them in every mans heart; and all men should know him; For hee would be mercifull to their unrighteousnesse. and remember their sinnes no more. This promise farreexcceded the fe of Moses in the way of expiating our finnes; for every man now in every place carries about with him his facrifice in his owne heart, which being humbled by faith and repentance makes sufficient expiation; whereas before it could be done onely in one Towne of the world, Ierufalem, and through many perplext and chargecommies. Moses his Law Of Christs & Moses Lawes in order to the suffirme, as Christs doth; in order to the cause as well as it is the expiating sa-course as well as it is the expiating sa-count, and were most solicitous about the external and most manifest effects as relating to the cognizance of a humane and penall Tribunall. But Christ who was to perfect us by a more perfect Law and promise tels us, that to God the causes are as manifest as the effects, and therefore that wee have before him committed Adultery if we admit the lustful thought, and murther if we nourish anger or malice in our hearts. All these and the like Moses (whose lawes concern d the severall kindes of our actions) forbad, but not in the same degree and punishment that our Saviour did.

If the substance of the Law consisted most in love, then our Saviour gave an extraordinary augmentation to it in extending it to the Enemies of the Iewes; for the Law was straightned onely in the exercise of charity; The enemies which our Saviour here spoke of to the Iewes were

of two forts.

First, They who were derived from the Amorites, Hittites, and Iebusites, whom the Children of Softhe Iewes? Is rael were at their first planting to de-Enemies. Softhey, but utterly did not; and on whom the King of Is rael alwaies levied tribute of bond-service, I King. 9.

20, 21.

Secondly, They of all parts of the world who were not circumcifed. By the renting of the Temple vaile these distinctions were taken away; and many of those Cananites, and the others, happily converted to the Faith, were not for their old quarrells, still to be persecuted with hatred by the Iewes; for that might probably beget afterwards a greater contempt of themselves as defalto it hath since all the Christian world over. Our Sa-

viour therefore justly prest this command (as the there which concern'd the extent of Charity) stable of the lewes; for their Law was most desective must themselves were most tenacious to the world themselves were most tenacious to the world themselves; and advantages gathered upon strangers from that the sect; and for want of such a diffusive vertue as Charity Christs doctrine in a humane morall way could not

have had to free a propagation.

But though all the world be at this time so neare a kin through the blood of Christ, yet the course of Judiciall I aw ought no more now to be suppos'd forbid thereby, then it was before betwixt Iew and Iew who were brethren. For it being granted that love is to be exercised 5 Of unequal 1 7 in a larger degree then before, yet it is still I to be with some inequality or disproportion, according to the distinctions of societies, chap. I. Beyond all doubt, all men are not to be lov'd alike, wee are to be tenderer of our Parents then of others; next of those who are of the houshold faith; then, that the good of the innocent be prefer'd before the good of the nocent. Out of which unequall fort of love VVarre and Capital! Justice is founded. VVe are bound to love our enemies according to Gods example, whole Sun equally thines on the just and unjust, and yet for all that, he punishes the wicked here, & will punish them worse hereafter. Some therefore may still be reputed our lawfull enemies, if the conditions of juffice, unequall love, and revenge except not against us.

an eye, and a tooth for a tooth; but I say unto you resist not an injury, but to him who strikes one cheeke turne the other: Therefore we are no longer to repell injuries, neither publikely nor privately, and consequently not to

goe to warie.

Ans. I answer, That though God would not have us given to such an unnatural thing as revenge, yet he would not have us justifie disorder and cruelty; such as one wretched Philisthim might with sport act upon a world of Sampsons if we were obliged tamely to let him put out

sor dash out all our teeth: After which bee well able either to beg or eate our

as misero gingiva panis inermi. Juv. the Italians say, Chi si fa pecora, il lupo la mangia; He mankes himselfe a sheep, a VVolse will presently youre him. This were a doctrine furely much to the Devils advantage, and therefore we ought to bee tender in the consequences of it, lest, because that we are obliged to martyrdom in the particular case of our Religion (there where the Magiltrate and Kingdome beleeve not the VVord of God) we conclude, that innocence and Innocents are in all other occasions and places to be abandon'd; which is to magnifie Gods elemency to such a height, as to take away his justice: whereas indeed their may bee a lenity, which effectually may bee cruelty. Wherefore in doubtfull interpetations wee are to follow that which drawes the least inconvenience, especially if other Texts fayour it afterwards. Upon which ground I conclude,

by our Saviour, is the private person cation of some injured, whose sence provokes him to private injuries that revenge which the publique Mannot unlawful by gistrate must needs be void of. Se-

ry, but of a blow which neither wounds nor different bers. It is evident that our Saviour, by those words intended not for ever after to a brogate the course of publique Justice. For at his owne triall afterwards before Pilate, when a stander by sinote him (in the manner hemention'd) on the cheeke, hee did not silently turne the other, but immediately reprehended the injurer, saying: If I have spoken evill, beare witnesse of the evill; but if well, why smitest thou me? John 18.23. Out of which words it appeares, First, That our Saviour thought not himselfe oblig'd to receive a second blow before he reprehended the striker for the first. Secondly, That he conceived it then lawfull to forme actions before publique Maginstrates,

strates, in case of doing or speaking evill. of witnesse, is in order to the Magistrates jud if our Saviour should have said; Why said then feeing we are both before the publique Mazistrate, w to heare and to take witnesse of all the evill which is done or thoke. Wherefore by those words here objected, and which our Saviour spoke in the Mount some yeares before his triall, he did not understand, That we might not by a third publique person calmely resist important injuries: Neither did Saint Paul, long after the Ascenfion, (when the Christian Religion was perfectly declared) judge it contra Oiconomiam crucis. He saith, the J Cor. 6.1, Plaintifs among the faithfull (who might Schule whom they would to be their ludges) did ill, having private controversies with other Christians, to goe to heathenish Consciences and Tri-The Israelites were never in so little security, as when they were faine to goe to the Philistims to whet their fwords. Wherefore Saint Paul only chang'd the Judges, faying; If ye have any matter against one another in things pertaining to this life (and are not de jure publico) rather then to goe to Infidels for private justice, set them to judge who are least esteemed in the Church. iceing Courts of Justice impleadings, Magistrates, and unequall Love, arestill lawfull; therefore we may publiquely refift injuries still, in such a way as shewes we are not poyloned or tainted with revenge.

Instit. That which seemes most to perplexe the Text (here objected) is the particle BUT. For some understand the Text thus: By the Law of Retaliation, and by the hand of a Magistrate ye have heard, a tooth for a tooth, BUT I say unto you, resist not such injuries by the hand of a Magistrate, BUT rather privately take injury upon injury: where (say they) the particle BUT in both places doth by two degrees in the same kind diminish negatively, that which before was permitted. So that if the Retaliation before was understood to have been made by the Magistrate, then the prohibitions of resisting afterwards, were meant in resisting by the Ma-

gistrate

therefore say they, we are neither rivately now to resist injuries at all.

To this I reply; That the relifter here then the private person (Why the private retaliation vate retaliation of some blowes)

beets him and the striker immediately (unjust.

which (as is cleared by the former argument) were most desective in charity) permitted the party injured a present returne of injury, as the Civill Law did, ad vitandam secundam percussionem, quia in dubio is qui fecit insultum babet animum repercutienai, for avoiding the second blow, because in this doubt it is to be presum'd, that he who sirst assaulted hath a minde to strike againe. But because nature hardly digests the first blow while she is heated with it, and that heat seldome doth suffice, but transports both the one and the other resister farther then they at first perhaps intended

Therefore our Saviour (who came to make the Law perfect in charity) commands rather that we would turne the other cheek to receive a second blow, then vindicate the first with private rancour. If we remit our wrongs to the Magistrate he (not having our personall passions) can doe cy and put is Justice with preservation of Charity, ment goe toge because he being oblig'd in all his sentence.

tences to incline to that which is most favourable for the delinquent, his punishments necessarily have a stream of Clemency alwayes running through them: So that it is not contrary to charity, to have recourse to the charitable Megistrate, as our Saviour and Saint Paul by their examples and words allow.

6. But in all this it is to be well observed, that neither of them countenance any revengefull retaliation. What therefore is revenge? Some say What Revenge revenge is when there is more in the list punishment then was in the fault: But this is not unnaturall

cents and nocents sufferings should be self to the punishments would not be so effectually to the other nor to give future security to innocence.

Schlichtingius defines it thus; Vinditta est path au nullam veram injuriæ aut damni illati compensationem verimet; revenge is a punishment which containes not reall compensation of an injury or of harme done; as of an eye so an eye, a tooth for a tooth: but this definition is not perfect, and is too negative; Seneca comes somewhat clearlier to it when he defines it, Vinditta est voluptas in Sen de tran I humana, quæ alienis delettatur malis, and Lan. c. 15. Sthe Poet likewise, when he saith

Delectant hebetes lasso lictore secures, Invidios i dabit minimus Solatia sanguis. Juve.

Revenge therefore is properly an insolent delight in the sufferings or paines of another, whom we judge to have injured us, which paines residing in the person of the sufferer, are not naturally sitted to produce a contrary sense of joy in the person of a stander by, nor to transferre any reall profit to him in the way of compensation; and therefore it is no part of Justice, and consequently is unlawfull. Justice represents a malefactors paines to us, not to excite delight but feare, Vt pana unius sit metus multorum. The paines and diseases of others naturally Communicate themselves more then their joyes and healths can: Neither hath any man such a high sense of joy as of paine, from whence the greatest resentments ought to be of paine.

Dum spectant læsos oculi, læduntur & ipsi.

Nay, man is not able to stand his joyes; for sometimes there are such profusions of the heart as kill immediately; and a laugh may be so heart y as to draw tears along with it in the eye: which is because nature comming out of imperfection and nothing, carries alwayes along with itselfe a disposition to ruine, and a Perta Sabina, treacherously to let in thousand Enemies upon us. From the just apprehension of which we conclude,

who take a delight in the S Rom. ? Il finnes of others, offend 1.32. 5 ers who commit them (because they h a violence offer'd to their fenses as nthey who raise a delight out of the paines are more blameable then the former, or then the upon passion or fury inflict those paines on the Heres. Wherfore revenge seemes to be even beyond inuffice; for this ordinarily aimes at some positive conrenience either in fearity, profit or honour, though it be by the ruine of another; but the act of revenge begins when all this is done, and infults like Tarquins Queene, who when her father King S. Tullius was murthered and throwneinto the streets, she drove her Chariot over his body, at which fight her horses were frighted, but she sate fixt as in a Triumph, Super cruentum patrem, vetta carpento, consternatos equos egit. Flo.

This was that which made the sweet in Catalins banquet, in which he and his complices dranke a Round in their owne bloods; an act said to be very horrid, were it

not for the cause which was more horrid.

formesteps and conveyances to Re- Enmity and Avenge) we are disposed to them, be- mity fore we are borne, and continue them after we are dead, and all without contradiction: For Iacob and Esau quarrell'd in their mothers wombe, and as soone as they had received their signatures. The learned sujacius missing preferment in his owne Towne of Tholouse, and the late Duke of Roban receiving many disobligations at Court, concurred both (perhaps accidentally) in this one Epitaph, which they would have their ashes alwaies hold out as a stag of desiance, the one at Bourges, the other at Geneva.

Yet Nature disposes us powerfully to Amity: for the feeblenesse and indigence of new. The convenience of borne Infants hath this good in it, that they are thereby immediately potent.

ingaged

ingaged to love their Parents who one provide for them,

Ore volat pleno mater jejuna And confequently to have a reciprocall care of rents feeblenesse, when old age shall seize on them fides, Children are by their native impotencies inneed to obedience, and thereby fitted for fociety, and in this time on nature leaves them : Policy afterwards feekes to strengthen it by the Allyances of marriage, kinreds, arts. commerce, &c. Then comes Religion which feeks to cover all the others defects and differences, reducing us to the unity of our beginning and of our end. Yet for all this, Love is not secured nor fortified enough; for those generall causes produce contrary effects when they are applied to the particular conditions of men, as the Sun Why there are more one place of the earth, and an Anridote in another: Besides, Policy hath multiplyed the objects of our love by the goods of opinion, and reason ordinarily is debaucht away by sence, and every part of the body is made of contraries; and without them, would not be able to keepe our heat alive, to co-act or move from place to place; yea, nature hath made us with the same inclinations to the same things, which we cannot all possesse together. From all which we may justly conclude, That there must needs bee more Enmities then Unions in the world, and that many will draw matter of hatred out of that, which another will take to be a Principle of Love.

Sapiens risum ubi stultus iram colligit. Pute:

But as a little winde easily defeats those Armies (Why Religion) which are form d in the aire by the accidental incounters of Clouds, emities then Universe of the spirit of grace working in the heart of a Christian, easily dispels all those cruelties which the sumes of our passions may raise in us against our Enemies: A generous dog will not turne against those little ones which alwaies run barking after him, neither should we be alarm'd at every

Cur Empities therefore ordinarily rinciple, in respect of our Naturall d through our different pretensions in the world; But our Amity comes Vature, with which it is very intimate y therefore ought to be the oyle to fwim at top bever any other vapour of choler would rife up pue us into a tempest. Most equal therefore it is that e should be ready to love our very Enemies, to turne the other cheek, rather then by private and immediate retaliation hunt after a revenge, and so, readily to give the other coat, which is a covering only of another covering, Lex enim non curat de minimis. Yet this love on one hand must be without imputation of cruelty on the other, and for feare of displeasing the thiefe I must nor let him securely dispoile the Orphelin of all his subsistence, lest I be worse then an Infidell. Equity therefore looks to Equality both in quantity and quality, and when one scale is defrauded to sway the other, it sets it right and moderates it againe, and this temper is a pi-Ous Peace.

Obj. Sett. 8. Schlichtingius objects that there is no equity or equality in a tooth for a tooth, \ Whether eye \ an eye for an eye, a blow for a blow; \ for eye be \ for in this restitution, that which is ta-\ Equity. \ ken from the one, is not restored to the other, but both one and the other have lesse then they had before. One mans eye will not fit another mans head, nor one mans tooth another mans mouth.

fuch a kinde of retaliation is intrinsecally against E-quity and the nature of Compensation; But then it condemnes this Law among Jewes (which is impious) as well as among Christians, and so concludes nothing against the present question, but leaves us in the same Justice which the Jewes had before Christs time; which indeed is Diodats and other interpreters opinion upon Exod. 21.24, and that eye for eye, tooth for tooth were

Saint Paul's advice and Limitation of this Text is fittest to conclude this Argument, Rom. 12.18 19. If it be possible, and as much as lieth in you, have peace with all men : as if he should have said, It is possible you may bee constrained to breake the bond of peace, but let not that necessity come from you, and then you shall be guiltlesse, and Epbes. 4.26. Be angry but sin not: discountenance in justice and impiety, but so, as to be alwaies disposed to entertaine peace.

Idempacis eris mediusque belli. Hor

Obj. Sect. 9. Under the Law, Deut. 13. They were not so peremptorily commanded to go to war in vindication of their owne rights, as they were to war against those who profest salse Religion; and the cases of war were not specified, but the cases of salse-worship were, as being superiour to all other interests. But now under the Cospel we are forbid the greater, which is to slay those of salse Religions; therefore wee are forbid the lesse, which is to slay in Warre for some private injustice offered us.

A. To this I answer, That though the Apostles in plan-

but to it, they proto high punishments, as in

Concerning killing for Religion, and why wee may more peremptorily, flay men now for Civill, then for Ecclefiaftick causes.

The Israelites might have stoned an Agyptian, who at terusalem should have persuaded any to worship his Country Idols, when perhaps it was not lawfull whilst they hiv'd among the Agyptians, & the Idols themselves, at which time the Israelites were not Magistrates among them. Even so, if any man should allure us to a worship contrary to the light of nature, no question but the Magistrate is still oblig'd to punish and restraine such a

perverter.

But every difference in Religion, makes not an other Religion. For the Pharifees, Saduces and Essens, though they differ'd in high points which the I aw spoke not clearely to, yet they went altogether to the same Altars, and Sacrifices; and for that reason were not throwne out the Temple. As Religions are now commonly differenc't and call'd, we may fay, that it is harder now to know which are the falle, then was anciently; and a civill errour or offence is more certainely knowne then a Theologicall, and so may be more peremptorily punisht by us. Heretofore all Religion was exprest in a conformiry to visible Ceremonies, but now God will be served by that which is written retiredly in the heart, Ier. 31. and demands that, as an inward sacrifice. Of those who transforme themselves into Angels of light, there is no outward character whereby we might be able to diftinguish of them, so that no wonder if they may almost deceive the very Elect. Therefore in foure respects it is dangerous rigidly to punish (in person or estate) peaceable dissenters in Religion thus differenc't and understood.

0 2

First,

First, In regard of the alliblenesse and another than that which we may judge down Hereattern for their one time hath been judged heretically which at an other hath been esteemed Orthodox; and most men living are ingaged to their Religions and Four when the know nothing at all.

Secondly, In regard of the Heretique or different him felfe, how he is affected within: we know not who de well, nor who die ill: God (onely) knowes his owner.

2 Tim. 2. 1.

Thirdly, in regard of the future event; whether that which is now tares, may not afterwards become wheat; for by hearing, a man may come to change his opinion. Nolite ante tempus judicare. I Cor. 4.5.

Fourthly, In regard of others, left punishment thus in-flicted on some, make not others either hypocrites or

more obstinate

But as for humane rights and injuries they are easilier 5 Civill rights known, and therefore the Magistrate Leafily knowne. Smay be the more peremptory in punithing them. Hence Gallio the Deputy of Achaia, Act. 18. 12,13,14. answered pertinently to the Jewes, when they brought Paul before him for teaching a worship contrary to the law; If it were a matter of wrong or wicked lewdnesse, O re sewes, reason would that I should beare with you: Likewise the Town-Clerke of Ephefus, Act. 19.38,32. prudently advertized the people in their uproare about Paul'spreaching, faying, If ye have a matter against any man, the Law (which was Capitall) is open, and there are Deputies, let them implead one another : but if ye enquire any thing about other matters, it shall be determin'd in a lawfull assembly: Wherefore Paul in a Civill cause and as a man. rightly appeal d to Cefar, and in a divine as a Christian, to God, who referves a day of judgement to account for all that which we as his servants have done, or have omitted to doe to others for his fake. Hee who thinkes himselfe competent enough to judge of this here, is Nimis suriosus in aliena republica, and will doe well to rememher

The dealer of the Christian Residence of the History of ancient to be being in the world, of his Resurrestion as but by the spirit of God, which hee sends not the heart of every man for reasons secret to him-the and for which we cannot safely undertake to affect the sends of the land for which we cannot safely undertake to affect the sends of the land for which we cannot safely undertake to affect the sends of the sends of the land for which we cannot safely undertake to affect the sends of the

ving a malefactor of his life, deprives him of Repentance, and that deprives him of Heaven: whereas Christ came not to take away, but to secure and save the lives of men. Therefore Warre is against the Law of the Gospell.

A. Tothis I answer, That we cannot (Of the repen- ?

cell when men doe really repent, al- < rance of Malehough they might have time enough: (factours. Nay they will be very few who will fay they have time enough, by reason of the terrour and distractions of death. Moreover God himselfe doth not alwaies remit all punishment to those who repent, and seeke him in teares, wirnesse Esau and David: and some there are, who are so hardned, that time cannot mend them, wherefore the sooner they cease to do ill, the better. Usually Magistrates allow a convenient space of time for the reconciling of a fouleto God; and though they did not give any quantity of time, yet a little time well qualihed may be enough for that act; One good moment is enough to convey a foule to Heaven, witnesse the Thiefe on the Croffe. For as they are the last and the smallest touches of the pencill, which give life to the picture; even so they are the last, though the shortest compunctions, which restore life to the dying soule.

Ob. We are to imitate Christ who dyed for the bad

as well as for the good.

A. I answer, That Christ died, not as having been obliged to it by a Law, but by a voluntary contract with his father. Without doubt God having more right in

03

us patiently to be kill at the common makes, and to kill, but that we here deny, and is now the confident Ob. celt. II. Christ bad Peter put up to toward, there-

fore it is not lawfull for Christians to draw in out. A

An. I answer, That it is lawfull for us to present one for

(Concerning Peters) another : but after we know sheathing of his > absolute decree against such a s (fword. we may not pray him to kive it 118 Cur Saviour told Peter in the company of others, that Gods decree was, himself should suffer at Ferulaiem, wet Peter after the knowledge of this from Christs divine mouth, told him it should not be, whereupon our Saviour justly call'dhim Sathan, as in St tobis. After this, when our Saviour had told his Disciples, that the houre was now come according to Gods determined will, Reter againe was so vaine as to promise him protection by his tword, which as it was opposite to Gods decre our Saviour would not make use of it no nor of Legions of Angels. Wherefore the force of this objection shews onely, that there may be an occasion when a man may not warrantably draw his sword, which is very true, but not to the purpole.

The conclution, 12. But upon what causes a man without extreme is not the scope of this Discourse necessity to draw (wich is deduced out of a War alreaor make use of dy formed; and by which I hope it the naked Sword. is now proved, that some War may be lawfull both by the Laws of Nature, and of Christ; though I confesse he may do best, who doth not alwaies exercise the utmost of that right which is permitted him. The unmarried condition, according to St Paul, is more commendable then the married, yet it is lawfull to marry: Even lo a just vindication of an injury is still permitted, but patience is more commendable, especially, when in War we are for the most part assisted by io deform da passion as Publique impatience, (which is the ordinary complexion of War) and when the injuries comor to knock out transport of patrion we may eafily with our felves.

Ferres eque aviga nec audit currus babenas. Vir.

Tis the and Revenge which poilons Justice, even as the sacred found foule body did the sacred sountain which he had the impudence to bath himfe immediately after his rioting. Videbatur potus sacros

ceremoniam loci toto corpore poluisse. Tacit.

But how much happier are they who try their strengths by bearing the heaviest burthens, and though spurr'd by the quick sense of their own Lusts, yet flye not headily out? Something there is in the mannaging of the best offensive VVar which is displeasing to God, otherhe would not have excepted against Davids Zeale building him a honse, only because he had been a nan accustomed to shed bloud; for the battailes which e fought were faid to be the Lords. Humility and neeknesse of spirit, with our assisting to carry one anothers burthens, to be often dust and ashes, will (if it be possible) give us that peace here which will not traverse ur better repose hereafter. Some Physitians doubt not ut if the Di mond could be pouder'd as well as Alablaer, it would be as good an Antidote as it, and not opewith such poison; Even so if we could reduce our rections by a fincere mortification and humility, we bould not only be void of Venome our selves, but have preservative, or an Amuletum against others who would zintus. A small thing oft-times hath the power to redresse a great inconvenience, yea, to take up a cruell feud, s Virgilsaith of that of Bees when they are actually inged in battaile.

Hi motus animorum, at que hæc certamina tanta, Pulveris exigui jactu compressa quies cunt.

Godlinesse with content is great gaine: Iasob till he had

had built God as have the lived to the form of the best of the bread to eate, and the many be how trutch of our right we ought to forgoe, before we come to the naked word in which Tragedy we must either be becatours of other mens deaths, or spectacles of our own.

FINIS.

